

LECTURES ON PATAÑJALI'S MAHĀBHĀSYA

Volume VI

(ĀHNIKAS 23—28)

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI, M.A., PH.D., (Vidyāratna, Vidyānidhi, Vidyābhūṣaṇa, Vāṇī-trivēṇī-prayāga)



THIRUVAIYARU 1962





॥ श्रीगुरुभ्यो नमः ॥

अष्टाध्यायी गणेतारं दाक्षीपुत्रं सुपाणिनिस् । वानयकारं वररुचिं भाष्यकारं पतञ्जलिम् ॥

चोकनाथं मस्वित्ररं भाष्यरत्नावलीकृतम् ।

वेङ्कटाध्वरिणाईशब्वं रामभद्रगुरुं वरम् ॥

नीलकण्ठं यतिवरं क्षेत्रपालनिवासिनम् । अद्वेतमार्गनिष्णातं शब्दशास्त्रमहोदधिम् ॥

वैद्यनाथं द्विजं मित्रं मरूर्यामनिवासिनम् । शब्दशास्त्रपवक्तारं गीताद्यथेपकाशकम् ॥

प्रणम्य तान् गुरून् सर्वान् प्रसन्नमनसाऽधुना । कुर्वे आङ्गलभारत्या महाभाष्यविमर्शनम् ॥





Printed at
The Trichinopoly United Printers,
Tiruchirappalli-2
Number of copies -500







For copies, apply to

THE AUTHOR or KRISHNAN, M.A., M.Sc..

12, North Madavilagam,

Thiruvaiyaru P. O., South India,

Price Rs. 12.50.

PREFACE

This volume contains six āhnikas and ends with the second adhyāya of Pāṇini's Aṣṭādhyāyī. The size was cut down on account of unforescen circumstances. Till now nearly 1500 pages have been printed. The remaining manuscript matter may come to another 2000 pages. I wish it too finds the light of day.

I am grateful to the Sahitya Akademi for financial assistance in the publication of this volume. My heartfelt thanks are due to the Government of India, Kāñci Kamakoti Mutt, Kumbaconam, Annamalai University and University of Travancore for their encouragement. May Mother Śivakāmasundarī & Lord Naṭarāja enable the remaining volumes to be published.

Thiruvaiyaru, P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI.

CONTENTS

TWENTY-THIRD ĀHNIKA

	. Sūtra		Page
l.	अनेकमन्यपदार्थे (7 topics)	•••	1 - 24
2.	सङ्ख्याव्यय (4 topics)	•••	2428
3. 4.	दिङ्नामान्यन्तराले । तेन सहेति तुल्ययोगे	•••	28—31
5.	तत्र तेनेद्मिति सरूपे	•••	31-32
6.	चार्थे द्व-द्वः (4 topics)	•••	32-48
7.	उपसर्जनं पूर्वम्	• • •	49—50
8.	अल्पाच्तरम्	•••	50 - 53
9.	सप्तमीविशेषणे वहुवीही	•••	53-54
BO.	निष्ठा	•••	5457
11.	कडाराः कर्मधारये	•••	57
,	TWENTY-FOURTH ĀHNIKA		
1.	अनभिंहिते (2 topics)	•••	5873
2.	कर्मणि द्वितीया	•••	73—74
` 3.	तृतीया च होच्छन्दसि	••••	74—75
4.	अन्तरान्तरेण युक्ते	•••	75—77
5.	कालाध्वनोरत्यन्तसंयोगे	•••	77—79
6.	अपवर्गे तृतीया	•••	79—80
7.	कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया	•••	80—81
8.	यसाद्धिकं	••••	81—85
9.	.गत्यर्थकर्मणि	•••	85—87
10.	्चतुर्थी सम्प्रदाने	•••	87—90
11.	नमः खस्ति खाहा	••••	90—91
12.	मन्यकर्मण्यनाद्रे	•••	91—92

vi

TWENTY-FIFTH AHNIKA

	$\mathbf{Sar{u}tra}$		Page
1.	कर्त्तकरणयोस्तृतीया		93—94
2.	सहयुक्तेऽप्रधाने		95 — 97
3.	येनाङ्गविकारः	,	97
4.	इत्थंभूतलक्षणे		97—98
5.	संज्ञोऽन्यतरस्यां	,••	98-100
6.	हेतौ		100-101
7.	अपादाने पञ्चमी		101-103
8.	अन्यारात्		103
9.	पष्ट्य नसर्थप्रत्ययेन		103-104
10.	पृथग्विना		104-106
11.	दूरान्तिकार्थेभ्यो		106
12.	सप्तम्यधिकरणे च	****	107-110
13.	पञ्चमी विभक्ते	•••	110
14.	साधुनिपुणाभ्यां	•••	111
15.	प्रसितोत्सुकाभ्यां	•••	111–112
16.	नक्षत्रे च छुपि	•••	112
•	TWENTY-SIXTH ĀHNIKA		
1.	प्रातिपदिकार्थ (8 topics)	•••	113-121
2.	पष्टी देवे (4 topics)		121-126
3.	अर्धागर्थदयेशां	•••	126-127
4.	रुजार्थानां	•••	128
5.	द्वितीया व्राह्मणे	•••	128
6.	प्रेप्यव्रवेशः	•••	128
7.	चतुर्थ्यर्थे		129-130
8.	कर्त्वभंगोः सृति	•••	130-133
9.	उभयप्राप्तौ कर्मणि	•••	134
10.	क्तस्य च वर्तमाने	•••	134–136

vii

	Sūtra		Page
11.	न लोकाव्यय		136-139
12.	अकेनोः	•••	139
13.	क्रत्यानां कर्तरि वा	•••	139-141
	TWENTY-SEVENTH ÄHNIKA		•
1.	द्विगुरेकवचन म्	•••	142-145
2.	इन्द्रश्च प्राणि		145-146
3.	अनुवादे चरणानाम्	•••	146
4.	विशिष्टलिङ्गो	• • •	147
5.	भूद्रजन्तवः	•••	147-148
6.	येषां च विरोधः	•••	148
7.	च्या च ।चरावः चूद्राणां		148-149
8.	रह्माणा गवाश्वप्रभृतीनि च		149
9.	_	•••	150-153
10.	विभाषा वृक्ष … विभाषा समीपे	•••	153-154
11.		•••	154-156
12.	तत्पुरुषोऽनञ् परवछिङ्गं	•••	156-161
13.	•	•••	161
14.	रात्राह्वाहाः पुंसि अपथे नपुंसकम्	•••	161-162
15.	अर्द्धर्चाः पुंसि च		162-163
16.	इदमोऽन्वादेशे (3 topics)	•••	163-166
17.	एतदस्त्रतसो	•••	166-167
18.	द्वितीयाटौस्लेनः	•••	167-169
19.		•••	169-173
20.		• • •	173-174
21.	े ॡङ्सनोर्घस्ल	***	174
	हनो वध छुङि)	•••	17475
	लुङि च	•••	175
	इणो गा लुङि	•••	175
25.	णौ गमिरवोधने		
	_		

viii

	Sūtra		Page
26.	सनि च		175
27.	गाङ्क्षिटि	•••	175-178
28.	चक्षिङः ख्याञ्		178-180
. 29.	अजेर्द्यघनपोः		180-183
30.	ण्यक्षत्रिय		183-184
	TWENTY-EIGHTH ÄHNIKA		
1.	तद्राजस्य वहुषु		185-195
2.	यजञोश्च		195-196
3.	बह्व इञः	•••	196-197
4.	न गोपवनादिभ्यः		197
5.	उपकादिभ्यो	•••	197-198
6.	आगस्त्य	•••	198-199
7.	यङोऽचि च		199-200
8.	गातिस्था	•••	200-201
9.	तनादिभ्य:		201-202
10.	आम:	•••	202-206
	अब्ययादाप्सुपः		206-207
12.		•••	207-209
13.	तृतीया सप्तम्योः	•••	209-210
14.	छुटः प्रथमस्य (2 topics)	•••	210-217
(i)) Index of Sūlras.		
(ii) Index of Värttikas.		
(iii) Index of Paribhāṣās etc.		
(iv			

LECTURES ON PATAÑJALI'S MAHĀBHĀSYA

Volume VI

Ahnika — Twentythree

(Second adhyāya, second pāda, second āhniku)

अनेकमन्यपदार्थे (2, 2, 24)

There are seven topics here: 1-3. The need for the words pada, anya and anēka in the sūtra (4). The appropriateness or otherwise of using a word denoting individuality after a bahuvrīhi as in Citraguḥ Dēvadattaḥ; (5) The need for the parigaṇana of Bahuvrīhiḥ samānādhikaraṇānām etc.; 6-7. The nature of the samāsa in kimsabrahmacārī and arddhatrivā.

T

पदप्रहणं किमर्थम्? Why is pada read?

इह अनेकमन्यार्थे इति इयत्युच्यमाने वानयार्थेऽपि बहुवीहिः स्थात् - यथा में माता तथा में पिता, सुस्तातं भो इति
If anēkam anyārthē alone is here read, the sentence yathā mē mātā tathā mē pitā too which suggests the meaning susnātam

Note:—The sentence $yath\bar{a}...pit\bar{a}$ suggests the needlessness of a bath on account of his high pedigree in answer to the injunction $sn\bar{a}hi$.

पद्महणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति But if pada is read, there will be no difficulty.

may compound into bahuvrīhi.

IJ

अथ अन्यग्रहणं किमर्थम्? Why is then anya read?

अनेकं पदार्थे इति इयत्युच्यमाने स्वपदार्थेऽपि बहुत्रीहिः स्यात् - राजपुरुषः, तक्षपुरुष इति । If, $an\bar{e}kam \ pad\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ alone is read, the compound expressing the meaning of the component parts like $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$ and takṣapuruṣah may be considered $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । तत्पुरुषः स्वपदार्थे बाधको भविष्यति । This is not the benefit. *Tatpuruṣa* which expresses the meaning of component parts may veto it.

भवेदेकसंज्ञाधिकारे सिद्धम् । परङ्कार्थत्वे न सिध्यति । आरम्भसामर्थ्याच तत्पुरुषः, परङ्कार्यत्वाच बहुव्वीहिः प्राप्नोति ।

It is secured on adhering to the doctrine that there is only one $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ before the $s\tilde{u}tra$ $Kad\tilde{a}r\tilde{a}h$...; but it will not do if $Pr\tilde{a}k$ $kad\tilde{a}r\tilde{a}t$ param $k\tilde{a}ryam$ is acceded to. It may be taken as tatpurusa on the strength of its being at the commencement and $bahuvr\tilde{i}hi$, since it, being para, may operate.

परङ्कार्थत्वे च न दोष: । शेष इति वर्तते । अशेषत्वान्न भविष्यति
There is no harm even if parankāryatva is acceded to. There is anuvṛtti for śēṣa. Rājapuruṣa, being away from the range of śēṣa, cannot be taken as bahuvrīhi.

शेपवचने उक्तम्

It was said with reference to the reading of sesa.

किमुक्तम्? What was said?

तत्र शेषवचनाद्दोषः सङ्ख्यासमानाधिकरणनञ्समासेषु बहुन्नीहिन्नतिषेध इति
The reading of śēṣa will lead to bahuvrīhi-pratiṣēdha with reference to saṅkhyāsamāsa, samānādhikaraṇasamāsa and nañsamāsa.¹

अधेकसंज्ञाधिकारे नार्थोऽन्ययहणेन ?

Is not, then, anya needed in ēkasanijāādhikārapakṣa?

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे च कर्तव्यम् । अक्रियमाणे द्यन्यमहणे यथैव तत्पुरुषः स्वपदार्थे बहुन्नीहि वाधते, एवमन्यपदार्थेऽपि वाधेत ।

It should be read even in ēkasamjāādhikārapakṣa. If anya is not read, tatpuruṣa will veto bahuvrīhi even with reference to anyapadārtha, as it does with reference to svapadārtha.

^{1.} Cf. Vol. V. pp, 16-17.

III

अथ अनेकग्रहणं किमर्थम्? Why is, then, anēka read?

अन्यपदार्थे इति इयत्युच्यमाने, एकस्यापि पदस्य बहुर्वाहिः स्यात् - सर्पिषोऽपि स्यात्, मधुनोऽपि स्थात्, गोमूत्रस्यापि स्यात्

If anyapadārthē alone is read, one word also may be taken as bahuvrīhi like api (which means a drop) in the expressions sarpiṣōspi syāt, madhunōspi syāt and gōmūlrasyāpi syāt.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya\underline{t}a$ says, that $sy\bar{a}t$, in the opinion of some, means drop and it will be taken as $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

नैतद्क्ति प्रयोजनम् - सुप् सुपा इति वर्तते This is not the benefit. There is anuvrtti for sup supā.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं, बहूनामि समासो यथा स्यात् - सुसूक्ष्मजटकेशेन सनताजिनवाससा ।

This, then, is the benefit that even more than two words as in su- $s\bar{u}k$ sma-jata- $k\bar{e}$ s $\bar{e}na$, and su-nata-ajina- $v\bar{a}sas\bar{a}$ can become $sam\bar{a}sa$.

उत्तरार्थं च अनेकग्रहणं कर्तव्यं 'चार्थं द्वन्द्वः', अनेकमिति । इहापि यथा स्यात् प्रक्षन्यप्रोधघवखदिरपलाजाः इति

The word anēkam has to be read also to reach by anuvṛti the sūtra Cārthē dvandvaḥ which follows, to allow samāsa in plakṣa-nyagrēdha-dhava-khadira-palāśūḥ.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित बह्नामपि समासो भवतीति, यदयम् उत्तरपदे द्विगुं शास्ति ।

This, too, is not the benefit. The procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that more than two words form $sam\bar{a}sa$, since he enjoins dvigu when it is followed by another word.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaļa$ reads: -Dvigugrahaņam Taddhitārtha ... (2, 1, 51) ityanēna vihitasya samāsasya upalakṣaṇam.

तखुरुपोऽपि तहिं बहुनां श्रामोति

If so, more than two words may form tatpurusa too.

नेप दोषः, ग्रह्णेन तत्पुरुष उच्यते ; तेन व्हृनां न भविष्यति

This difficulty cannot arise, since *tatpuruṣa* is enjoined with specific reference to the former member and the latter member. Hence there is no chance for more than two words to form *tatpuruṣa*,

अत उत्तरं पठित Hence he (Vārttikakāra) reads the answer.

अनेकवचनमुपसर्जनार्थम्

Reading of anekam is for the sake of upasarjanatva.

अनेकग्रहणं क्रियते उपसर्जनार्थम्, प्रथमानिर्दिष्टं समास उपसर्जनम् इत्युप-सर्जनसंज्ञा यथा स्यात् - चित्रगुः शबलगुः इति ।

The word anēkam is read for the sake of upasarjanatva, so that gō in citraguh, and śabalagah may take upsarjanasamjñā by Prathamānirdiṣṭam samāsa upasarianam (1, 2, 43).

न वैकविभक्तित्वात्

No, it is secured from their taking the same case-suffix after them.

न वैतद्पि प्रयोजनमस्ति This, too, is not the benefit.

ं किं कारणम् ? Why?

एकविभक्तिस्वात् । एकविभक्तिचापूर्वनिपाते इत्युपसर्जनसंज्ञा भविष्यति - चित्रगुः शवलगुः इति — चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगुः तिष्ठति ; चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगुं पश्य । ; चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगुंणा कृतम् ; चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगये देहि ; चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगोरानय ; चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगोः स्वम् ; चित्रा यस्य गावः चित्रगो निष्ठहि ; चित्रा यस्य गावः हे चित्रगो इति ॥

On account of their being ēkavibhaktika. Upasarjanasaṃjūā of gō in citraguḥ and śabalaguḥ is secured by the sūtra Ekavibhakti cāpūrvanipūtē (1, 2, 44). Ekavibhaktitva is seen in the vigrahavākya here:-citraguḥ (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) tiṣṭhati; citragum, (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) puśya; citraguṇā (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) kṛlam; citragavē (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) dēhi; citragōḥ (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) šānaya; citragōḥ (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) svam; citragāu (citrā gāvaḥ yasya) nidhēhi; Hē citragō (citrā gāvaḥ yasya).

^{1.} Pašyēti is another reading.

यदि तर्हि ¹ यतः कुनिश्चरेव किञ्चित्पदमध्याहृत्य एकविमक्तियोगः कियते, एतद्व्येकविमाक्तियुक्तं भवति, इहापि प्राप्तोति – राजकुमारी, तक्षकुमारी – राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमारी तिष्ठति; राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमारी पश्य; राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमार्या कृतं; राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमार्या कृतं; राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमार्या केतं; राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमार्या क्ष्मारी राजकुमार्या क्ष्मारी राजकुमार्या किष्ठिह; राज्ञो या कुमारी राजकुमार्या हि राजकुमार्या हिष्ठिह; राज्ञो या कुमारी हे राजकुमारि इति ।

If some word is brought in from somewhere and ēkavibhaktiyōga is secured, the following too will be ēkavibhaktiyuktu and
hence upasarjanatva will appear in kumārī also of rājakumārī
and takṣakumārī. Ēkavibhaktitva is seen here:— Rājakumārī
(rājñō yā kumārī) tiṣṭhati; Rājakumārīm (rājñō yā kumārī)
paśya; Rājakumāryā (rājñō yā kumārī) kṛṭam; Rājakumāryāi
(rājñō yō kumārī) dēhi; Rājakumāryāḥ (rōjñō yā kumārī)
ānaya: Rōjakumāryāḥ (rōjñō yā kumārī) svam; Rōjakumāryām
(rājñō yā kumārī) nidhēhi; Hē Rājakumāri (rōjñō yā kumārī).

एकविभक्तियुक्तमेव यन्नित्यम् । न चैतिन्नित्यमेकविभक्तियुक्तमेव, राज्ञः कुमारीं पद्य राजकुमारीं पद्य इत्यपि भवति ।

The ēkavibhaktiyuktatva which is nitya is alone taken into account. The ēkavibhaktiyuktatva mentioned above is not nitya, since both the forms Rājūaḥ kumārīm paśya and Rājukumārīm paśya may be read.

किं वक्तन्यमेतत्? Is this to be so explained?

न हि No, it need not

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is to be understood without its being so explained?

एकप्रहणसामर्थ्यात् । यदि हि यदेकविभक्तियुक्तं चानेकविभक्तियुक्तं च तल स्याद्, एकप्रहणमनर्थकं स्यात् । विभक्तियुक्तमपूर्वनिपाते इत्येव वृयात् । By the strength of the reading of ēka. If both ēkavibhaktivuktatva and anēkavibhaktiyuktatva, can be had, no purpose will be served by reading ēka and he would have read the sūtra Vibhaktiyuktam apūrvanipātē.

1. Yatah is not found in some editions.

IV

पदार्थाभिधानेऽजुप्रयोगाजुपपत्तिरभिहितत्वात्

Inappropriateness of reading after bahuwīhi compound a word in apposition, since its meaning has already been denoted by it.

पदार्थाभिधानेऽनुपयोगस्यानुपपत्तिः चित्रगुर्देवदत्त इति

If bahuvrīhi is anyapadānthapradhāna, it is in appropriate to use a word in apposition after it, as Dēvadattaḥ in Citragur Dēvadattaḥ.

किं कारणम ! Why?

अभिहितत्वात् । चित्रगुश्रव्देनाभिहितः सोऽर्थ इति छत्वा अनुप्रयोगो न प्राप्नोति

Since its meaning has already been denoted. Taking that its meaning is denoted by the word citragul, the word Dēvadattaļi has no place after it.

न वानभिहितत्वात् । सामान्यभिधाने हि विशेषानभिधानम्

No, on account of its meaning not being denoted. For the individuality is not denoted, though the species is denoted.

न वेष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं करणम्? Why?

अगमिहिनस्त्रात् । चित्रगुशब्देनानानिहिनः सोऽर्थ इति कृत्या अनुषयोगो मुपिर्पान

Since it is not denoted. Taking that it, meaning is not denoted by the word citiagu, the word Diradattah is used after it.

જસ્મનમિહિલ્લ, સર્વક્રંગ્રાનીમેથોનો - પદાર્ગનિષ્ઠાનેડનું (યોમાનુવપધિર્મમહિન-રમાં કોલ

How can be said that the meaning is not denoted, one e just not the dess tren read of all the dedless on apair from properties of short of 12.

सामान्याभिधाने हि विशेषानभिधानम् - सामान्ये हि अभिधीयमाने विशेषोऽ-नभिहितो भवति । तत्रावश्यं विशेषार्थिना विशेषोऽनुप्रयोक्तव्यः, चित्रगुः कः ? देवदत्त इति ।

On account of the individuality not being denoted at the mention of the species. For, even though the species, is denoted, the individuality is not denoted. Hence the word denoting the individuality has to be used by one who wants it to be denoted. He puts the question, "Who is Citrajuh?" and answers "Dēvadattah."

भवेत् सिद्धं यदा सामान्ये वृत्तिः । यदा तु खळु विशेषे वृत्तिः, तदा न सिध्यति - चित्रा गावो देवदत्तस्येति चित्रगुर्देवदत्त इति ।

It is true if $pad\bar{a}rth\bar{a}bhidh\bar{a}na$ is with reference to the species. If, on the other hand, it is with reference to individuality as in $citr\bar{a}$ $g\bar{a}v\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ iti citragur $D\bar{e}vadattah$ – it is not achieved.

तदापि सिद्धम् It is achieved even then.

कथम् ? How?

नेदमुभयं युगपद् भवति वावयं समासश्च । यदा वावयं, न तदा समासः ; यदा समासः, न तदा वावयम् यदा समासः, तदा सामान्ये वृत्तिः ; तत्र अवङ्यं विशेषार्थिना विशेषोऽनुप्रयोक्तत्र्यः चिल्नगुः कः ? देवदत्त इति ।

Both - sentence and $sam\bar{a}sa$ - cannot be formed at the same time. When it is $v\bar{a}kya$, it is not $sam\bar{a}sa$; when it is $sam\bar{a}sa$, it is not $v\bar{a}kya$; when it is $sam\bar{a}sa$, vrti is with reference to species. In that case individuality has to be denoted by one who wants it by putting the question "Who is Citraguh?" and answering it " $D\bar{e}vadattah$."

सामान्यस्येव तर्ह्यनुप्रयोगो न प्राप्तोति - चित्रगुः कः? चित्रगु तत्, चित्रगु किश्चित्, चित्रगु सर्वम् इति ।

If so, species can have no chance of being the predicate, as in Citragu tat, Citragu kiñcit, Citragu sarvam which serve as answers to the question Citraguh kah?

Note:—The $p\bar{u}rvapak$ sin here thinks that no pronoun, definite or indefinite, can be used in apposition with a bahu- $vr\bar{i}hi$ compound after it.

सामान्यमि यथा विशेषसाद्वत् । तत्र चित्रगु इत्युक्ते सन्देहः स्यात् सर्वं वा अविश्वं वा इति । तत्रावश्यं सन्देहिनवृत्त्यर्थं विशेषार्थिना विशेषोऽनुपयोक्तव्यः, चित्रगु तद् इति

If species too has the differentiating capacity like the individuality, it will be used as a predicate. At the mention of Citragu, it is not clear whether the species is referred to or individuality. At this there is absolute need for the individuality to be used as predicate by one who wants it, as citragu tat.

Note:—Mention of citragu tat (that is citragu) by pointing to it by one serves as the answer to the question of another citragu sarvam asarvam $v\bar{a}$?

अथवा विभवत्यर्थोऽभिधीयते । एतचात्र युक्तं यद्विभवत्यर्थोऽभिधीयते, तत्र हि सर्वपश्चात्पदं वर्तते अस्य इति ।

Or the meaning of the case-suffix (like sambandha) is denoted by $sam\bar{a}sa$. It is but proper that the meaning of case-suffix is denoted. For, the word asya is used after all the words forming the compound.

विभक्त्यर्थाभिधानेऽद्रव्यस्य लिङ्गसङ्ख्योपचारानुपपत्तिः

If vibhaktyartha is denoted, there is inappropriateness of the $vyavah\bar{a}ra$ of linga and $sankhy\bar{a}$ to it on account of its (the sambandha) being adravya.

विभवत्यर्थाभिधाने अद्भव्यस्य लिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यामुपचारोऽनुपपन्नः - बहुयवं, बहुयवा, बहुयवः बहुयवाः वहुयवाः इति

If the meaning of vibhakti is denoted by the samāsa, the vyavahāra of linga and sankhyā to it is not appropriate, since it is not a dravya as in bahuyavam (neuter), bahuyavā (feminine) bahuyavaḥ (masculine singular), bahuyavāu (masculine dual) and bahuyavāḥ (masculine plural).

अपर आह - विभक्त्यर्थाभिधाने द्रव्यस्य लिङ्गसङ्ख्योपचारानुपपत्तिः । विभक्त्यर्थाभिधाने द्रव्यस्य ये लिङ्गसङ्ख्ये ताभ्यां विभक्त्यर्थस्योपचारोऽनुपपन्नः -बहुयवं, बहुयवा, बहुयवः, बहुयवो, बहुयवाः इति । कथं ह्यन्यस्य लिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्याः मन्यस्योपचारः स्यात् ?

Another interprets Vibhaktyarthābhidhānē dravyasya linga-sankhyōpacārānupapattiḥ thus:—It is inappropriate to associate with vibhaktyartha, linga and sankhyā which are connected with dravya, if the meaning of vibhakti is taken to be conveyed by the samāsa.

Note:— Vibhaktyarthābhidhānē dravyasya is capable of being split in two ways, one having adravyasya and the other dravyasya as the following word.

.सिद्धं तु यथा गुणवचनेषु

It is appropriate as in the words denoting qualities. ·

सिद्धमतेत् It is appropriate.

कथम्? How?

यथा गुणवचनेषु As in the words denoting qualities.

कथं गुणवचनेषु ?

How is it found in words denoting qualities?

ं गुणवचनेषूक्तम्

It has been said with reference to words denoting qualities.

गुणवचनानां हि शब्दानामाश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भवन्ति इति । तद्यथा, शुक्कं वस्तं, शुक्का शाटी, शुक्कः कम्बलः, शुक्को कम्बलो, शुक्काः कम्बला इति । यदसौ द्रव्यं श्रितो भवति गुणः, तस्य यिष्ठङ्गं वचनं च तद् गुणस्यापि भवति । एविमहापि यदसौ द्रव्यं श्रितो भवति विभक्त्यर्थः, तस्य यिष्ठङ्गं वचनं च तत् समासस्यापि भविष्यति ।

² किमुक्तम्? What has been said?

^{1.} Cf. Vol. IV p. 118 & p. 176.

^{2.} This is not found in some editions.

That linga and vacana after the words denoting qualities agree with those of the gunivācakas, viz suklam vastram suklā saṭī, suklah kambalah, suklāu kambalāu, suklāh kambalāh. The gunavācaka takes the linga and the vacana of the gunivācaka. So also the linga and the vacana of the dravya with which vibhaktyartha is associated are used after the samāsa.

यदि तर्हि विभक्त्यथींऽभिधीयते, कृत्स्नः पदार्थः कथमभिहितो भवति सद्रव्यः सिलिङ्गः ससङ्ख्यश्च ?

If, then, the meaning of *vibhakti* is denoted, how is it possible for the meaning of the whole word consisting of *dravya*, *linga* and *vacana* to be denoted by it?

अर्थग्रहणसामर्थ्यात् । इह अनेकमन्यपदे इति इयता सिद्धम् । कथं पुनः पदे नाम वृत्तिः स्यात् ? पदं नाम शब्दः, शब्दो ह्येषः । शब्दे ह्यसम्भवादर्थे कार्ये विज्ञास्यते । सोऽयम् एवं सिद्धे सित यद्धेग्रहणं करोति तस्यैतत्वयोजनं, कृत्सः पदार्थो यथाभिधीयते सद्दव्यः सिल्कः ससङ्घ्यश्चेति ।

On account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ of the reading of artha in the $s\bar{u}tra$. It is sufficient if the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $An\bar{e}kam$ $anyapad\bar{e}$. How can $sam\bar{a}savrtti$ take place in pada? Pada means $s\bar{a}bda$ and sabda refers to $sam\bar{a}sa$. Since $k\bar{a}rya$ is not possible in sabda, it has to take place in its artha. Since $Ac\bar{a}rya$ reads the word artha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ even though the purpose can otherwise be achieved, it suggests that $sam\bar{a}sa$ conveys vibhaktyartha associated with the dravya, the linga and the vacana.

यदि तर्हि कृत्सः पदार्थोऽभिधीयते, लैंङ्गाः साङ्घ्याश्च विधयो न सिध्यन्ति lf the whole meaning is conveyed, the rules enjoining linga and sankhyā will serve no purpose.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abha!!a$ says that this is the view of $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}\hat{s}ins$, since $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ has everywhere the meaning of the five.

डक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्! How has it been answered?

लेक्नेषु तावदुक्तं, सिद्धं तु स्त्रियाः पातिपदिकविशेषणात् स्वार्थे टाबाद्य इति With reference to the lingas it has been read by the Vārttika-kāra (under 4, 1, 3) that the suffixes ṭāp etc. are used to suggest strītva after prātipadikas tho' the latter denote strītva.

साङ्ख्येष्वप्युक्तम्

It has been answered with reference to number also.

किमुक्तम् ? How has it been answered?

ेकर्मादीनामनुक्ता एकत्वादय इति कृत्वा साङ्ख्या भविष्यन्ति

Number-denoting suffixes are used under the assumption that the number associated with karman etc. was not expressed.

प्रथमा तर्हि न प्राप्तोति

Nominative case-suffix will not, then, have a chance to be added to the stem, (since $sankhy\bar{a}$ is denoted by $sam\bar{a}sa$.)

समयाद्भविष्यति

It is used after the stem on the accepted principle that neither the stem nor the pratyaya should be used alone.

यदि सामयिकी न नियोगतः, अन्याः कस्मान्न भवन्ति ?

If it is so on samaya and not on injunction, why are not other case-suffixes used-after the stem?

कर्मादीनामभावात्

On account of karmatva etc. being absent there.

पष्ठी तर्हि प्राप्तोति Sixth case-suffix will, then, be added.

रोपलक्षणा पर्छा, अरोपत्वान्न भविष्यति

Sixth case suffix is enjoined by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sasth\bar{i}$ $s\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ (2, 3, 50). There is no $s\bar{e}satva$ here.

एवमपि व्यतिकरः प्राप्तोति - एकस्मिन्नपि द्विवचनबहुवचने प्राप्तुतः, द्वयोरप्येक-वचनबहुवचने, बहुप्वपि एकवचनद्विवचने

Even then there is chance for one suffix to be used in place of another: Where oneness is to be denoted, dual and plural suffixes also may appear in turn. Where twoness is to be

denoted, singular and plural suffixes also may appear. Where plurality is to be denoted, singular and dual suffixes also may appear (since there is samaya only with reference to the use of the nominative).

अर्थतो व्यवस्था भविष्यति

Their use will be determined through meaning.

अथ वा सङ्ख्या नामेयं परप्रधाना । सङ्ख्येयमनया विशेष्यम् । यदि चात्र प्रथमा न स्यात् , सङ्ख्येयमविशेषितं स्यात्

Or $sa\dot{n}khy\ddot{a}$ is that which is subordinate to another. Dravya, the meaning of the stem, is to be qualified by it. If $pratham\ddot{a}$ suffix is not used, $sa\dot{n}khy\ddot{e}ya$ will be left unqualified.

अथ वा वक्ष्यत्येतद् वचनग्रहणस्य प्रयोजनम् - उक्तेष्वप्येकत्वादिषु प्रथमा यथा स्यात

Or he is going to say that the benefit of reading the word vacana in the sūtra Prātipudikārthalingaparimānavacanamātrē prathamā (2, 3, 46) is Uktēṣvāpi ēkatvādiṣu prathamā yathā syāt.

एवमिष पष्ठी प्रामोति Even then there is chance for sasthi.

किं कारणम्? Why?

च्यभिचरत्येव ह्ययं समासो लिङ्गसङ्ख्ये, पट्चर्थ पुनर्न व्यभिचरति This samāsz may convey different lingas and different vacanas, but ever conveys sambandha, the meaning of sasthī.

अभिहितः सोऽर्थोऽन्तर्भूतः प्रातिपदिकार्थः सम्पन्नः । तत्र प्रातिपदिकार्थे प्रथमेति प्रथमा भविष्यति

That meaning is denoted and it becomes included in the meaning of the $pr\bar{a}tipadika$. Hence nominative suffixes set in on the strength of $Pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ $pratham\bar{a}$.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति - चित्रगोर्देवदत्तस्य

In that case there is no possibility here for the expression Citragor Devaduttasya.

भवति वाद्यमर्थमपेक्य पष्ठी

Sixth case suffix is used to signify its relation to an external object.

V

परिगणनं कर्तव्यम् It is necessary to take an inventory.

बहुत्रीहिः समानाधिकरणानाम्

Bahuvrīhi is among those which are in apposition.

समानाधिकरणानां बहुत्रीहिर्वक्तव्यः

There is need to enjoin that bahuvrīhi appears only among those which are in apposition.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

व्यधिकरणानां मा भृदु इति - पञ्चभिर्भुक्तमस्य

To prevent it among those which are not in apposition, as in Pañcabhir bhuktam asya.

अन्ययानां च Of avyayas too.

अञ्ययानां च बहुत्रीहिर्वक्तत्र्यः - उच्चेर्भुखमस्य इति उच्चेर्भुखः, नीचेर्भुखः । It is necessary to enjoin that avyayas also can be components in bahuvrīhi, as in uccāirmukhah (uccāih mukham asya) and nīcāirmukhah.

सप्तम्युपमानपूर्वपदस्योत्तरपदलोपश्र

Uttarapadalopa in saptamī-pūrvapada-bahuvrīhi and upamāna-pūrvapada-bahuvrīhi.

सप्तमीपूर्वस्य उपमानपूर्वस्य च बहुत्रीहिर्वक्तव्यः, उत्तरपदस्य च लोपो वक्तव्यः । कण्ठेस्थः कालोऽस्य कण्ठेकालः, उष्ट्रमुखमिव मुखमस्य उष्ट्रमुखः, खरमुखः

It must be read that a bahuvrīhi can have the former member a noun in the seventh case or upamāna-vācaka with the latter member dropping itself. Viz. Kaṇṭhēkālaḥ (kaṇṭhēsthaḥ kālaḥ asya); uṣṭramukhaḥ (uṣṭramukham iva mukham asya), kharamukhaḥ.

समुदायविकारपष्ट्याश्र

Bahuvrīhi with a word which follows samudāya-vācakapada or vikāravācakapada of a word ending in the sixth case preceding it and the elision of the word following the first.

समुदायविकारपप्ट्याश्च बहुबीहिर्वक्तव्यः । उत्तरपदस्य च लोगो वक्तव्यः । केशसमाहारश्चृडा अस्य केशचूडः । सुवर्णस्य विकारोऽलङ्कारो यस्य सुवर्णालङ्कारः । There is need to enjoin that there is bahuvrīhi of a word ending in samudāya or vikāra and preceded by a word in relation of the sixth case with another where the latter word elides. Viz. Kēśacūdah (kēśasamāhārah cūdā asya); suvarnālankārah (suvarnasya vikārō alankārō yasya).

प्रादिभ्यो धातुजस्य वा

Bahuvrihi of verbal nouns preceded by pra etc. with their optional elision.

प्रादिभ्यो धातुजस्य बहुत्रीहिर्वक्तव्यः । उत्तरपदस्य च वा लोपो वक्तव्यः -प्रपतितपर्णः प्रपर्णः, प्रपतितपलाद्याः प्रपलादाः ।

It must be enjoined that there is bahuvrīhi between verbal nouns preceded by pra etc. (and the word that is samartha) where the verbal noun man be optionally dropped viz. prapatitaparnah, praparnah; prapatitapalāsah, prapalāsah.

नजोऽस्त्यर्थानां च

Bahuvrīhi also of the verbal nouns which mean to exist and which are preceded by $ma\tilde{n}$.

नजोऽह्त्यर्थानां बहुवीहिर्वक्तव्यः । उत्तरपदस्य च वा लोपो वक्तव्यः - अविद्यमानः पुत्रोऽअस्य, ; अविद्यमानपुत्रः अपुत्रः ; अविद्यमानभार्यः अभार्यः It must be enjoined that there is bahuvrīhi between verbal nouns meaning to exist and preceded by nañ (and the word that is samartha) where the verbal noun is optionally dropped viz. Avidyamānaputraḥ, aputraḥ (avidyamānaḥ putraḥ asya); avidyamānabhāryah, abhāryah.

तत्ति बहु वक्तव्यम् If so, much has to be said.

न वानभिधानादसमानाधिकरणेषु समाससंज्ञाभावः

There is no need for parigaṇana; the absence of samāsasanijāā in places which are not samānādhikaraṇas on account of the absence of anyapadartha.

न वा वक्तज्यम् There is no need to make the pariganana.

असमानाधिकरणानां बहुबीहि: कस्मान्न भवति - पञ्चभिर्भुक्तमस्य इति?
On what authority will there be no bahuvrīhi between words which are not in apposition, as in pa ācabhir bhuktam asya?

अनिभधानात्

On account of the absence of anyapadārthābhidhāna.

तचावर्यम् अनिभधानमाश्रयितव्यम् । कियमाणेऽपि परिगणनं यताभिधानं न भवति तत्र न बहुवीहिः - यथा पश्च भुक्तवन्तो अस्य इति

It is necessary to take recourse to anabhidhāna. There will be no bahuvrīhi if there is anabhidhāna, even though it comes within parigaṇana, as in pañca bhuktavantaḥ asya.

अथैतिसान् सित अनिभधाने यदि वृत्तिपरिगणनं क्रियेर्त, तर्हि वर्तिपरिगणन-मिप कर्तव्यम्

If the pariganana of vrttyāśrayapadas is made where there is anabhidhāna, there is need for the pariganana of samāsārthas too.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyața$ reads:- Vartanam vartah samāsah; sa $vidyat\bar{e}$ yasmin arth \bar{e} sa $vart\bar{i}$.

तत् कथं कर्तेच्यम् ? How is to be done?

अर्थानियमे मत्वर्थग्रहणम्

Need to include materatha among the categorical list of arthas.

अर्थनियमे मत्वर्थमहणं कर्तव्यम्, मत्वर्थे यः स बहुवीहिरिति वक्तव्यम्; इह मा भूत्, कष्टं श्रितमनेन इति

It is necessary to include matvartha among the categorical list of arthas thus:-matvarthē yaḥ sa bahuvrīhiḥ, so that it may not take place here in kaṣṭam śritam anēna.

तथा चोत्तरस्य वचनार्थः

Then only is the reading of the following sūtra fruitful.

एवं च कृत्वा उत्तरस्य योगस्य वचनार्थ उपपन्नो भवति .
Only then the reading of the sūtra that follows bears appropriate fruit.

केचित्तावदाहुः - यद्वृत्तिसूत्रे इति सङ्ख्ययाव्ययासन्नाद्राधिकसङ्ख्याः सङ्ख्येये इति

Some take that uttarasya refers to the next samāsavidhāyakasūtra (i.e.) Sankhyāvyayāsannādūrādhikasankhyāh sankhyēyē. अपर आहु:, 'यद्वार्त्तिके इति '

Others take it to refer to the following varttika."

कर्मवचनेनाप्रथमायाः

Bahuvrīhi of a word not having the meaning of the first case (i.e. past participle) with the noun serving as the object is to be enjoined.

कर्मवचनेन अप्रथमाया बहुवीहिवैक्तव्यः । ऊढो रथो येन ऊढरथोऽन्ड्रान् । उपहृतः पश् रुद्राय उपहृतपश् रुद्रः ; उद्भृत ओदनः स्थाल्याः - उद्भृतोदना स्थाली । It must be enjoined that a word not having the meaning of the first case with the noun serving as the object forms bahuvrīhi. Viz. ūḍharathaḥ (ūḍhō rathō yēna) anaḍvān; Upahṛtapaśuḥ (upahṛtaḥ paśuḥ rudrāya) Rudraḥ; Uddhṛtāudanā (uddhṛtaḥ ōdanaḥ sthālyāḥ) sthālī.

Note:-1. Ratha is karmavācaka and ūdha is karmabādhaka.

यदि कर्मवचनेनेत्युच्यते, अथ कर्तृवचनेन कथम् - प्राप्तमुदकं प्रामं प्राप्तोदको भामः, आगता अतिथयो ग्रामम् आगतातिथिर्ग्रामः?

If it is said that karmabödhaka and kurmavacana compound into bahuvrīhi, what sanctions the compound of kartybödhaka and kortyvacana, as in prāptödakah (prāptam udakam grāmam) grāmah and āgatātithih (āgatāh atithayō grāmam) grāmah?

कर्तृवचनेनापि With kartrvacana too.

फर्त्वचनेनापि इति वक्तन्यम्

It must be enjoined that kartṛbōdhaka compounds with kartṛ-rācaka too.

अवधमाया इति किमर्थम् ? Why is aprothamāyāh read?

वृष्टे देवे गतः

To prevent samāsa between vṛṣtē and dēvē which precede gataḥ (i.e.) when samāsārtha is that of the nominative case.

अभ अभ्रथमायाः इत्युष्यमाने इह कमाध्य भवनि - बृष्टे देवे गर्न पद्य इति ! Why in there no samāra between ersfē und dēvē in ersfē dēvē gutam fasur on the strength of aprathamāyāh? बहिरङ्गात्राप्रथमा Aprathamā here is bahiranga.

Note:—The question arises on seeing the second case in gatam. Since the $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}rtha$ -pratham \bar{a} is antaranga, it vetoes $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ after gata which is bahiranga.

सुबधिकारे अस्तिक्षीरादिवचनम्

Reading of astikṣīra etc. in sub-adhikāra.

सुविधिकारे अस्तिक्षीरादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अस्तिक्षीरा ब्राह्मणी There is need for upasankhyāna of expressions like asti-kṣīra in sub-adhikāra. Viz. astikṣīrā brāhmaṇī.

तत्तर्हि वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be enjoined.

Note:—This arises on the assumption that asti is a finite verb.

न वाच्ययत्वात् No, since it is avyaya.

न वा कर्तव्यम् It need not be enjoined.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अन्ययत्वात् । अन्ययमेषोऽस्तिशन्दः, नैषोऽस्तेर्हर्

Since it is avyaya. This asti is avyaya and not the third person singular, present, of as.

कथमव्ययत्वम् ? How is it avyaya?

उपसर्गविभक्तिस्वरपितरूपकाश्च निपातसंज्ञा भवन्तीति निपातसंज्ञा, निपातोऽ-व्ययमित्यव्ययसंज्ञा ।

It, being a $nip\bar{a}ta$, gets avyaya-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of $Nip\bar{a}t\bar{o}$ svyayam and it gets $nip\bar{a}ta$ sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ since it is $ti\dot{n}$ anta-pratir \bar{u} paka, on the strength of the statement upasarga-vibhaklisvara-pratir \bar{u} pak \bar{a} \$ ca.

VI

अथ किंसब्रह्मचारी इति कोऽयं समासः?

What samāsa is, then, the word kimsabrahmacārī in the sentence kimsabrahmacārī tvam?

बहुत्रीहिरित्याह "Bahuvrīhi" say he.

कोऽस्य विम्रहः ? What is its vigraha?

के सन्नस्नचारिणः अस्य इति Kē sabrahmacāriņa h asya.

यद्येवं कठ इति प्रतिवचनं नोपपद्यते

If so, the answer kaṭhaḥ to that question does not suit well.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya\dot{t}a$ says that singular in $ka\dot{t}ha\dot{h}$ does not agree with the plural in $k\bar{e}$.

एवं तर्हि एवं विग्रहः करिष्यते - केषां सन्नह्मचारी किंसन्नह्मचारीति

If so, the vigraha of kimisabrahmacārī will be worded thus:—
kēṣām sabrahmacārī.

प्रतिवचनं चैवं नोपपद्यते, स्वरं च दोपो किंसब्रह्मचारी इत्येवं स्वरः प्रसज्येत, किंसब्रह्मचारीति चेप्यते ।

Even then the answer (kaṭhaḥ) does not suit. There will be difficulty with reference to the udātta syllable also. It will chance to have antōdāttatva thus—kimsabrahmacārī', though ādyudāttatva is desired thus—kim' sabrahmacārī.

एवं तर्हि एवं विम्रहः करिष्यते, कः सम्रह्मचारी किंसम्रह्मचारी इति lf so, the vigrahu of kinisabrahmacārī will be worded thus:—kah sabrahmacārī.

भवेत् प्रतिवचनमुपपन्नम् । स्वरे च दोपो भवति

The answer will suit the question; but there will be difficulty with reference to the udātta syllable.

एवं तर्हि एवं विश्रहः करिप्यते - कः सन्नश्चचारी तव, किंसन्नसचारी स्वम् इति

If so, the vigraha of the first word in kinisabrahmacārī tvam will be worded thus:—kaḥ sabrahmacārī tava.

अथ वा पुनरस्त्वयमेव विश्रहः - के सन्नणचारिणोऽस्य इति
Or, let this alone be the vigraha—kē sabrahmacāriņē asya.

ननु चोक्तं फठ इति प्रतिवचनं नोपपचन इति

Oh, it has been said that the answer kathah does not suit well (since the word will refer to him and not to his classmates).

नैष दोषः । अग्रोकरवाणिन्यायेन भविष्यति

This difficulty does not arise. It may be met with by the application of $agn\bar{a}u$ -karav $\bar{a}ni$ -ny $\bar{a}ya$.

तद्यथा - It is as follows:-

कश्चित् कञ्चिद् आह अग्नौ करवाणि इति । स आह कुरु इति । कर्तिर अनुज्ञाते कर्माप्यनुज्ञातं भवति । अपर आह - अग्नौ करिप्ये इति । कियताम् इति कर्मण्यनुज्ञाते कर्ताप्यनुज्ञातो भवति ।

One doer of a religious rite says to another (brahmā in the rite) 'Agnāu · karavāṇi' (Let me offer the oblation in fire). He replies, "Kuru." When he who gives the offering is permitted, it is implied that the offering also is permitted to be thrown in fire. Another says, "Agnāu kariṣyē" (I shall offer the oblation in fire). The other permits him thus, "Kriyatām" (let it be offered). When the offering is permitted to be thrown in fire, it is implied that the giver of the offering too is permitted to offer it in fire.

यथेव खल्विप के सन्नज्ञचारिणोऽस्य? इति, कठाः इत्युक्ते सम्बन्धादेतद्भयते नूनमयमिष कठः इति । एवं कठ इत्युक्ते सम्बन्धादेतद् गन्तन्यं स्याद् नृतं तेऽिष कठाः
इति । न खल्विप ते समासेन श्वयाः प्रतिनिदेष्टुम्; उपसर्जनं हि ते भवन्ति
As he who answers kaṭhāḥ to the question kē sabrahmacāriṇaḥ
asya? is understood from the relation to be a kaṭha himself, so
also if the answer here is kaṭha, it is to be understood from the
sambandha that they (his classmates) are also certainly kaṭhās.
It is not possible for them too to be expressed in the samāsa,
they being upasarjana.

VII

अथ अर्द्धनृतीया इति कोऽयं समासः?

What samāsa is, then, the word arddhatṛtīyāḥ?

Note:—Arddhairtīyāh means two and a half.

बहुत्रीहिरित्याह "Bahuvrīhi" says he.

1. The word arddhapañcamān followed by māsān in Āpastamba Dharmasūtra is of the same type.

कोऽस्य विश्रहः? What is its vigraha?

अर्द्ध तृतीयमेषाम् इति

Arddham $tr\bar{t}iyam \bar{e}s\bar{a}m$ (those of which half of third forms a part).

कः समासार्थः ? समासार्थो नोपपचते । अन्यपदार्थो हि नाम स भवति, येषां पदानां समासः ततोऽन्यस्य पदस्य अर्थो अन्यपदार्थः ।

What is $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}rtha$? $Sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}rtha$ cannot be satisfactorily enunciated. For it is evidently $anyapad\bar{a}rtha$. $Anyapad\bar{a}rtha$ is one which is not denoted by the parts which form the compound.

एवं तर्हि एवं विग्रहः करिष्यते - अर्द्ध तृतीयमनयोः इति
If so, the vigraha will be worded thus:—Arddham tṛtīyam
anayōh.

एवमपि कः षष्ट्यर्थः ? षष्ट्यर्थो नोपपद्यते । किं हि तयोर्ध भवति ? Even then, what is the meaning of şaṣṭhī? The relationship denoted by şaṣṭhī in anayōḥ cannot satisfactorily qualify tṛtīyom, which becomes half of them? (i.e.) can the third become half of them?

अस्तु तर्हि अयमेव विम्रहः - अर्द्ध तृतीयमेपाम् इति
If so, the original vigraha alone arddham tritīyam हेsam may be resorted to.

ननु चोक्तम समासार्थो नोपपद्यते इति
Oh, it was said that the samāsārtha cannot be satisfactorily enunciated.

नैप दोप: । अवयवेन विम्नहः । समुदायः समासार्थः
This difficulty does not arise. Vigrahavākya is on avaņavārtha and samudāya (two plus half of the third) is samāsārtha.

यदायवेन विग्रहः समुदायः समासार्थः, असिद्धितीयोऽनुससार पाण्डवम्, सङ्क्ष्णिद्धितीयस्य वलं कृष्णस्य वर्द्धताम् इति द्वयोद्धियचनमिति द्वियचनं प्राप्नोति । If it is admitted that the vigraha is on avayavārtha and samudāya is samāsārtha, there is chance for the dual suffix at the end of osidvitīyah and Sankarṣaṇa-dvitīyasya in the sentences

Asi-dvitīyosnusasāra Pāndavam.

Sankarṣaṇadvitīyasya balam Kṛṣṇasya varddhatām on the authority of Dvayōr dvivacanam (1, 4, 22).

अस्तु तर्हि अयमेव विश्रह: - अर्द्ध तृतीयमनयोः इति - Let this alone be then the vigraha arddham trtīyam anayōh.

ननु चोक्तं षप्ठ्यर्थी नोपपद्यत इति

Oh, it has been said that it is not possible to give a satisfactory meaning to $sasth\bar{\imath}$.

नैष दोष:। इदं तावद्यं प्रष्टन्य:-अथेह देवदत्तस्य आता इति कः षष्ट्यर्थ इति This defect cannot stand. The objector is to be put this question — what is the meaning of the sasthī in the expression Dēvadattasya bhrātā?

तत्रेतत् स्यात् - एकसात् प्रादुर्भाव इति

It is possible to state that the meaning there is the origin from the same source.

एतच वार्तम् । तद्यथा - सार्थिकानामेकप्रतिश्रये उपितानां प्रातरुत्थाय प्रतिष्ठमानानां न कश्चित् परस्परमिसम्बन्धो भवति । एवंजातीयकं आतृत्वं नाम । अस्र चेद्यक्तः षष्ठचर्थो दृश्यते, इहापि युक्तो दृश्यताम् ।

It is a flimsy answer. There is absolutely no relationship among travellers who stay at a certain place for the night and go away in different directions at sunrise. The relationship between brothers is of the same nature. If it is considered that sasthyartha is appropriate there, it may be considered that it is appropriate here also.

इह तर्हि, अर्द्धनृतीया आनीयन्ताम् इत्युक्ते अर्द्धस्यानयनं न प्राप्तोति If so, when it is said Arddhatṛtīyā ānīyantām, there is no chance for the arddha to be brought.

Note:— Kāiyaļa rends:- Dvayor ēva samāsārthatvād ānayanam syād nārdhasya.

अस्तु तर्हि अयमेव वित्रहः अर्द्ध तृतीयमेपाम् इति If so, let the vigraha be arddham tṛtīyam ēṣām. ननु चोक्तम् - असिद्वितीयोऽनुससार पाण्डवम्, सङ्कर्षणद्वितीयस्य बलं कृष्णस्य वर्द्धताम् इति द्वयोर्द्धिवचनमिति द्विवचनं शामोति इति ।

Oh, it has been said that dual suffix may appear on the strength of *Dvayōr dvivacanam*, in the words asidvitīyaḥ and Sankarṣaṇadvitīyasya of the sentences

Asi-dvitīyōsnusasāra Pāndavam.

Sankarşana-dvitīyasya balam Krsnasya varddhatām.

नेप दोप: । अयं तीयान्तः शब्दोऽस्त्येव पूरणे वर्तते, अस्ति सहायवाची । तद्यः सहायवाची तस्येदं श्रहणम्, असिद्धिनीयः - असिसहाय इति गम्यते This difficulty does not arise. The word ending in tīya has the sense of pūraṇa and also sahāya. It means sahāya in the word asi-dvitīyaḥ, so that it means asi-sahāyaḥ.

एवमपि अर्द्धतृतीयाः इत्येकसिन्नेकवचनं प्राप्तोति । एकार्था हि समुदाया भवन्ति । तद्यथा-शतं, यूथं, वनम् इति

Even then there is chance for the singular in place of the plural in the word $arddhatrt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}h$ on the strength of $\bar{E}kasmin$ $\bar{e}kavacanam$. For $samud\bar{a}yas$ are collective in sense, as the words satam, $y\bar{u}tham$ and vanam.

अस्तु तर्हि अयमेव विष्रहः - अर्द्ध तृतीयमनयोरिति lf so, let the vigraha be arddham trlīyam anayōh.

ननु चोक्तम् अर्द्धतृतीया आनीयन्ताम् इत्युक्ते अर्द्धस्य आनयनं न प्राप्नोति इति Oh, it has been said that there is no chance for bringing arddha when one says Arddhotretiyā ānīyanlām.

नैप दोपः । भवति बहुवीहो तद्भुणसंविज्ञानमपि । तद्यथा शुक्कवाससमानय, छोहितोप्गीपाः ऋदिवनः प्रचरित इति तद्भुण आनीयते, तद्भुणाश्च प्रचरित । This difficulty does not arise. Tadguṇasamwijnāna-bahuvrīhi too is included under bahuvrīhi. For instance, if one says Śuklavāsasam ānaya and Löhitöṣṇīṣāḥ rivijaḥ pracaranti, the man who wears the white cloth is brought and the riviks who wear red turbans walk.

अथवा पुनरस्तु अयमेव विष्रदः अर्द्ध तृनीयभेषामिति Or let the vigraha be arddham tellyam egam itself. ननु चोक्तम् एकवचनं प्राप्तोति इति

Oh, it has been said that the singular-suffix may appear there.

नैष दोषः । सङ्ख्या नामेयं परप्रधाना । सङ्ख्येयमनया विशेष्यम् । यदि चात एकवचनं स्यात् सङ्ख्येयमविशेषितं स्यात्

This difficulty does not arise. Number depends upon another. The object to be numbered is to be restricted in its application by this. It ėkavacana is used here, it cannot serve as the višėsana to the višėsya.

इह तर्हि अर्द्धतृतीया द्रोणा इति, अयं द्रोणशब्दः समुदाये प्रवृत्तो अवयवे नोपपद्यते

Here, then, in arddhatrtīyā dronāh the word drona is used to denote samudāya and hence cannot be taken to denote avayava.

नैष दोषः । समुदायेषु हि प्रवृताः शब्दा अवयवेष्विप वर्तन्ते । तद्यथा - पूर्वे पञ्चालाः, उत्तरे पञ्चालाः; तैलं भुक्तं, घृतं भुक्तम्; शुक्को नीलः किपल इति । एवमयं समुदाये द्रोणशब्दः प्रवृत्तोऽत्रयवेष्विप वर्तते ।

This defect cannot arise. For words denoting wholes are used to denote parts also. Viz. East Pañcāla, North Pañcāla; taila is taken in, ghṛla is taken in; white, blue and brown, objects. So also the word drōṇa used to denote the whole may also denote a part also.

कामं तर्हि अनेनैव हेतुना यदा द्वौ द्रोणौ अर्धाढकं च तदा कर्तव्यम् अर्धतृतीया द्रोणा इति

It may then be possibe that, on the same basis, the expression $arddhatrt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}\ dr\bar{o}n\bar{a}h$ may be used to denote $2\ dr\bar{o}nas$ and a half $\bar{a}dhaka$.

न कर्तव्यम् । समुदायेषु वृत्ताः शव्दाः केष्ववयवेषु वर्तन्ते शोऽवयवस्तं समुदायं न व्यभिचरति

No, it cannot be used. Which avayavas can be denoted by words denoting wholes? The avayava which co-exists with the whole.

कं च समुदायं न व्यभिचरति ?

Which avayava co-exists with which whole?

1. Cf. Vol. I (2nd edn.) p. 63

अर्द्धदोणो द्रोणम् Arddhadrōṇa co-exists with drōṇa.

अद्धंदकं पुनर्विभचरति

But arddhāḍhaka exists even where drōṇa does not exist.

Note :—Bhaṭṭōjidīkṣita says in his Śabdakāustubha thus :— Dvōu drōṇāu arddhadrōṇas ca arddhatṛṭīyā drōṇā iti vyavahriyatē ... Drōṇasabdas ca drōṇayōr urddhadrōṇē ca lakṣaṇayā.

सङ्ख्ययाव्ययासन्नाद्राधिकसङ्ख्याः सङ्ख्येये (2, 2, 25)

There are four topics here:—1-3. The nature of the samāsa and the vigrahavākya of dvitrah, dvidasah, and upadasah (4) The need for sankhya in the satra.

T

द्वित्राः, त्रिचतुराः इति कोऽयं समासः ?

What is the nature of the samāsa in dvitrāh and tricaturāh?

बहुत्रीहिरित्याह "Bahuvrīhi," says he.

को Sस्य विम्रहः ? What is its vigraha (of dvitrāḥ) ?

द्वी वा त्रयो वा इति $Dv\bar{a}u\ v\bar{a}\ tray\bar{o}\ v\bar{a}$.

भवेद्यदा बहूनामानयनं तदा बहुवचनमुपपन्नम्; यदा तु खलु द्वावानीयेते तदा न सिध्यति

The use of the plural (in dvitrah) will be appropriate when many are referred to and it is not so when only two are referred to,

तदापि सिद्धम् It is appropriate even in the latter case.

कथम्? How?

केचित्तावदाहुः, अनिक्तितेऽर्थे बहुवचनं प्रयोक्तत्र्यम् इति । तद्यथा - कित भवतः पुत्राः? कित भवतो भार्याः! इति

Some opine that plural number should be used in the question when the speaker is unaware of the number of objects he refers to, as in kati bhavatah putrāh (how many sons bave you?) and kati bhavatā bhāryāh (how many wives have you?)

- अपर आह - ह्रो वा इत्युक्ते त्रयो वा इति गम्यते; त्रयो वा इत्युक्ते ह्रो वा इति गम्यते । सैषा पञ्चाधिष्ठाना वाक्, तत्र युक्तं बहुवचनम्

Another says, "When $dv\bar{a}u$ $v\bar{a}$ is expressed, $tray\tilde{o}$ $v\bar{a}$ is suggested; and $vice\ versa$. The expression here is concerned with five and hence plural number fits in.

Note: $-V\bar{a}$ here expresses doubt and not option,

IT

अथ द्विदशाः त्रिदशाः इति कोऽयं समासः ?

Of what nature is the samāsa in dvidaśāh and tridaśāh?

बहुत्रीहिरित्याह "Bahuvrīhi," says he.

कोऽस्य विम्रहः ? What is its vigraha (of dvidaśāḥ) ?

द्विदेश द्विदशा इति The vigraha of dvidaśāķ is dviķ¹ daśa. सङ्ख्यासमासे सजन्तत्वात्सङ्ख्याप्रसिद्धिः

Absence of sankhyāvācitva (of dviḥ) on account of sujantatva in sankhyāsamāsa.

सङ्ख्यासमासे सुजन्तत्वात् सङ्ख्या इति अप्रसिद्धिः । न हि सुजन्ता सङ्ख्या अस्ति

There is no possibility of $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}v\bar{a}citva$ (of $dvi\dot{h}$) on account of sujantatva in $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$; for sujanta cannot be called $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}$.

एवं तर्हि एवं विश्रहः करिष्यते, हो दशती ² द्विदशा इति
If so, the vigraha of dvidaśāḥ is taken to be dvāu daśatāu.

एवमपि अत्कारान्तत्वात् ³ सङ्ख्येत्य असिद्धिः । न ह्यत्कारान्ता सङ्ख्या अस्ति Even then there is no possibility of sankhyāvācitva (of dasat) on account of atkārāntatva; for the word ending in at is not a sankhyāvācaka,

- 1. Suc in dvih is from the sūtra Dvitricaturbhyah suc (5, 4, 18).
- 2. Dasat is secured from the sūtra Pańcad dasatāu vargē vī (5, 1, 60).
- 3. Sankhyayā is another reading.

अस्तु तर्ह्ययमेव विग्रहः, द्विदेश द्विदशा इति If so, let the vigraha be dvir dasa itself.

ननु चोक्तम् सङ्ख्यासमासे सुजन्तत्वात् सङ्ख्येत्यप्रसिद्धिः इति
Oh, it was said that there is aprasiddhi of sankhyā of dvili on account of sujantatva in sankhyāsamāsa.

न वासुजन्तत्वात् No, it being asujanta.

न वैप दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असुजन्तत्वात् । सुजन्तत्वादिच्युच्यते, न चात्र सुजन्तं पर्यामः

Since it is not sujanta. The objection is raised on the basis of its being sujanta and we do not see sujanta here.

र्कि पुनः कारणं वाक्ये सुज् ह्र्यते, समासे तु न ह्रयते? Why is suj present in vigrahavākya and absent in samāsa? सुजभावोऽभिहितार्थत्वात समासे

Absence of suc in samāsa on account of its meaning being conveyed by samāsa.

समासे सजभावः There is no suc in samāsa.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अभिहितार्थर्द्यात् । अभिहितः युजर्थः समासेनेति कृत्वा समासे युज् न भविष्यति ।

On account of abhihitārthatva. Suc is absent in samāsa, since its meaning is conveyed by samāsa.

किं च भोः सुजर्थे इति समास उच्यते !

Oh, sir! is the samāsa enjoined to convey sujartha?

न सह सुजर्थ इत्यच्यते. गम्यते त सजर्थः

No, the samāsa is not enjoined to convey sujartha; but sujartha is secured through implication.

कथम्! How?

यावता सद्ख्येयो यः सद्ख्यया सद्ख्यायते । स च कियाज्याकृत्यर्थः । स चोक्तः समासेनेति कृत्या समासे सुन् न भविष्यति । Since the $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{e}ya$ which is denoted by $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}$ is secured through the repetition of $kriy\bar{a}$ and since it is conveyed by the $sam\bar{a}sa$, suc does not present itself in the $sam\bar{a}sa$.

अशिष्यः सङ्ख्योत्तरपदः सङ्ख्येयवाभिधायित्वात्

Sankhyōttara padabahuvrīhi need not be enjoined on account of its conveying the sense of sankhyēya and $v\bar{a}$.

अशिष्यः सङ्ख्योत्तरपदो बहुव्रीहिः

The $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ which has $saikhy\bar{a}\text{-}v\bar{a}czka$ for the second member need not be enjoined.

किं कारणम् Why?

सङ्ख्येयवाभिधायित्वात्

Since the sense of sankhyēya and vā is conveyed by the samāsa.

सङ्ख्येयं वार्थश्च अभिधीयते, तत्रान्यपदार्थ इत्येव सिद्धम्

The sense of both $saikhy\bar{e}ya$ and the meaning of $v\bar{a}$ is conveyed and hence it is secured on the strength of $anya-pad\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$.

भवेत् सिद्धमधिकविंशाः अधिकित्रिंशा इति, यत्रैतद् विचार्यते विंशत्यादयो दशदर्थे वा स्युः परिमाणिनि वा इति । इदं तु न सिध्यति - अधिकदशा इति यत्र नियोगतः सङ्ख्या सङ्ख्येये एव वर्तते ।

It may be achieved with reference to adhikavinisāh and adhikatrinisāh, since it is discussed (under 5, 1, 59) that vinisati etc. may refer to dašadarth i (sankhyā) or parimānin (sankhyēya). But the form adhikadašāh cannot be secured, where the number daša denotes only the sankhyēya by injunction and not the sankhyā.

111

अथ उपद्शाः इति कोऽयं समासः ?

To which samāsa does the word upadaśāh belong?

Note:—The word upadaśah means those which are near daśa and upa means $s\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}pyavantah$ by $lakṣan\bar{a}$.

बहुत्रीहिरित्याह "Bahuvrīhi," says he.

कोऽस्य वित्रहः ? What is its vigraha?

दशानां समीपे उपदशाः इति Daśānām samīpē.

कस्य पुनः सामीप्यमर्थः ? Whose meaning is sāmīpya?

Note: -Sāmīpyam means sāmīpyavān.

डपस्य Of upa.

यद्येवं, नान्यपदार्थी भवति

If so, the samāsa does not have anyapadārthatva.

Note: -Kāiyaṭa writes that, if saplamī prominently refers to samīpa, the samāsa is avyayībhāva and if it refers to samīpin, it is bahuvrīhi.

IV

तल च प्रथमानिर्दिष्टं सङ्ख्यायहणं जक्यमकर्तुम् Sankhyā read in the first case in the sūtra may be dropped.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya\dot{t}a$ says that $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}$ need not be read since $dvitr\bar{a}h$ etc. are $anyapad\bar{a}rthaka$.

मत्वर्थे वा पूर्वस्य विधानात

Or on account of the previous sūtra being enjoined with reference to matvartha,

अथवा मत्वर्थे पूर्वी योगः । अमत्वर्थार्थोऽयमारम्भः

Or the previous sūtra is with reference to matvartha and this sūtra is with reference to amalvarth:

क्यभावार्थं वा Or for the sake of prohibiting kap.

अथ वा क्यू मा भृद् इति Or it is intended to prohibit kap.

दिक्तामान्यन्तराले (2, 2, 26) तेन सहेति त्रस्ययोगे (2, 2, 28)

Whether these two sūlras are necessary or not is discussed here.

दिक्समासमहयोगयोथान्तरालप्रधानाभिधानात्

No need to enjoin bahurrihitea with reference to diksamasa and sahayiga on account of the abhidhana of antarala and pradhana respectively.

दिक्समाससहयोगयोश्च अशिष्यो वहुत्रीहिः

 $Bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ need not be enjoined with reference to $diksam\bar{a}sa$ and $sahay\bar{o}ga$.

Note:—Sahayōga refers to the samāsa saputrah and its vigraha is sahēna yōgōssya.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अन्तरालप्रधानाभिधानात् । दिक्समासे सहयोगे च अन्तरालं प्रधानं च अभिधीयते. तत्र अन्यपदार्थ इत्येव सिद्धम्

On account of antarālatva and pradhānatva being expressed by them. Antarālatva is expressed in diksamāsa and pradhānatva in sahayōga and hence they are secured on the strength of anyapadārthē.

यद्येवं दक्षिणपूर्वा दिक्, समानाधिकरणलक्षणः पुंबद्धावो न प्राप्नोति । अद्य पुनरियं सैव दक्षिणा सैव पूर्वेति कृत्वा समानाधिकरणलक्षणः पुंबद्धावः सिद्धो भवति If so, pumrādbhāva in dakṣiṇapūrvā which is based on sāmānā-dhikaraṇya cannot be secured. If, on the other hand, this sūtra is read, pumvadbhāva based on sāmānādhikaraṇya is secured by taking dakṣiṇā refer to south east which is near to it and by thus securing sāmānādhikaraṇya.

न सिध्यति । भाषितपुंस्कस्य पुंबद्धावो, न चैतौ भाषितपुंस्की No. it is not accomplished. Punivadbhāva is enjoined only in the case of words which can be used to denote males also. These two are not bhāṣitapuniska.

ननु च भोः दक्षिणशब्दः पूर्वशब्दश्च पुंसि भाष्येते
Oh, sir! the words dakṣiṇā and pūrva are used in the masculine gender.

समानायामाकृतो यद्धापितपुंस्कम् । आकृत्यन्तरे चैतौ भाषितपुंस्कौ । दक्षिणा पूर्वा इति दिक्शव्दौ, दक्षिणः पूर्व इति व्यवस्थाशव्दौ

Bhāsitapuniskatva refers to those which have the same pravillinimitta. The pravittinimitta of these two, being different, the bhāsitapuniska here is different from them. The words dakṣiṇā and pūrvā refer to directions (south and east) and the words

dakṣiṇa and pūrva refer to respective position (to the right, in front of).

यदि पुनर्दिक्शन्दा अपि न्यवस्थाशन्दाः स्युः Suppose dikśabdas too are taken as vyavasthāśabdas.

कथं यानि दिगुपदिष्टानि कार्याणि?

How is it then possible for the karyas enjoined with reference to diksabdas to operate with reference to these two words?

यदा दिशो व्यवस्थां वक्ष्यन्ति When they refer to the vyavasthā of direction.

यदि हि यो यो दिशि वर्तते स स दिक्शटदः, रमणीयादिषु अतिपसङ्गो भवति - रमणीया दिक्, शोभना दिग् इति

If it is taken that whatever is in the direction is $dik \pm abda$, there is atiprasanga with reference to $raman\bar{\imath}ya$ etc. Cf $raman\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ dik, $\pm s\bar{o}bhan\bar{a}$ dik.

अथ मतमेतत् - दिशि दृष्टः दिग्दृष्टः, दिग्दृष्टः शब्दो दिक्शंब्दः यो दिशं न व्यभिचरतीति न रमणीयादिषु अतिश्वसङ्गो भवति, पुंबद्भावस्तु न प्राप्नोति । Suppose it is taken thus:— Dikśabdaḥ is split into digdṛṣṭaḥ śabdaḥ and digdṛṣṭaḥ into diśi dṛṣṭaḥ (which means that is seen used to denote direction through rūḍhi or established usage). Then on the basis that it does not go beyond the denotation of dik, there may not be atiprasanga in ramaṇīyā dik etc. But there is no chance for pumvadbhāva.

एवं तर्हि If so,

सर्वनाम्नो वृत्तिमात्रे पुंबद्धाचो वक्तव्यो दक्षिणोत्तरपूर्वाणामित्येवमर्थम् There is need to enjoin punivadbhava in vṛtti alone for sarvanāman, to secure the form dakṣina-uttara-pūrvāṇām.

एवं च कृत्वास्तु [[]दिक्समाससहयागेयोरन्तरालप्रधानाभिधानात् इत्येव In that case let it be Diksamāsasahayōgayōr anlarālapradhānābhidhānāt.

ननु चोक्तम् दक्षिणपूर्वा दिक्, समानाधिकरणलक्षणः पुंबद्धावो न प्रामोति Oh, it was said that there will be no chance for punivadbhāva based on sāmānādhikaranya with reference to dakṣṇapūrvā dik

नैष दोषः । सर्वनाम्रो वृत्तिमात्रे पुंबद्भावेन परिहृतः

This difficulty does not arise. It is solved by admitting pumvadbhāva for sarvanāmans in vṛtti alone.

मत्वर्थे वा पूर्वस्य विधानात्

Or since the previous sūtra dealing with matvartha.

अथ वा मत्वर्थे पूर्वी योगः । अमत्वर्थार्थोऽयमारम्भः

Or the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ deals with matvartha and this $s\bar{u}tra$ with amatvartha.

कमभावार्थी वा Or for the sake of the absence of kap.

अथ वा दन् मा भूदिति Or, to prevent kap.

तत्र तेनेद्मिति सरूपे (2, 2, 28)

तृतीयासप्तम्यन्तेषु च क्रियाभिधानाद्शिष्यो बहुत्रीहिः

Bahuvrīhi need not be enjoined since $kriy\bar{a}$ related to $trt\bar{i}yanta$ and saptamyanta is expressed.

तृतीयासप्तम्यन्तेषु चाशिप्यो बहुत्रीहिः

There is no need to enjoin bahuvrīhi with reference to tṛṭīyānta and saptamyanta.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

कियामिधानात् । किया अभिधीयते । तत्र अन्यपदार्थे इत्येव सिद्धम् । On account of kriyā being expressed; kriyā is expressed by samāsa. Hence bahuvrīhi is decidedly there on the strength of anyapadārthē.

न वैंकशेपप्रतिपेधार्थम् No, it is necessary to prohibit ēkaśēṣa.

न वा अशिष्यः It cannot be said that it is unnecessary.

किं कारणम्? Why?

एकशेषप्रतिषेधार्थमिदं वक्तव्यम्

This sūtra must be read to prohibit ēkaśēṣa.

पूर्वदीर्घार्थ च

For the sake of lengthening the final vowel of the former member also.

पूर्वदीर्घाथ चेदं वक्तव्यम् - केशाकेशि

This $s\bar{u}tra$ must be read also to lengthen the final vowel of the former member, as in $k\bar{e}s\bar{a}k\bar{e}si$.

स्यादेतत् प्रयोजनं यदि नियोगतोऽस्य अनेनैव दीर्घत्वं स्यात्; अथेदानीस् अन्येपामपि दृश्यते इति दीर्घत्वं, न प्रयोजनं भवति ।

There is possibility of this benefit if the lengthening of the former member is enjoined only by this $s\bar{u}tra$; but if the $s\bar{u}tra$ Anyēṣām api drśyatē (6, 3, 137) enjoins it, this $s\bar{u}tra$ does not have that benefit.

मत्वर्थे वा पूर्वस्य विधानात्

Or since the previous sūtra is concerned with matvartha.

अथ वा मत्वेथे पूर्वी योगः अमत्वर्थार्थोऽयमारम्भः

Or the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ operates where there is malvartha and this $s\bar{u}tra$ operates where there is no malvartha.

क्रमावार्थ वा Or, for the sake of the absence of kap.

अथ वा कब् मा भूदिति Or, to prevent kap.

चार्थे द्वनद्वः (2, 2, 29)

There are four topics here:—(1) Is dvandva avyaya or not?
(2) Why do not the pairs yāiñikaś cāyam Vāiyākaraṇaś ca etc. form into a dvandva compound? (3) What are the relative advantages and disadvantages reaped by replacing the sūtra Cārthē di andvaḥ by Yugapad-adhikaraṇē dvandvaḥ? (4) What is the nature of samāsa in ēkādaśa and dvādaśa?

1

चार्थ इत्युच्यते ; चश्च अन्ययं तेन समासस्य अन्ययंसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति
The expression cārthē is read in the sūlra; ca is evidently an avyaya; hence, (through similarity), samāsa may take avyaya-sanijāā.

नैप दोपः । पिटेन अन्ययसंज्ञा कियते । न च द्वन्द्वः समासस्तत्र पठ्यते । No, this difficulty does not arise. avyayasaminā is given to those that are read in the list in the gaṇapāṭha. Dvandvasamāsa samāsa is not read there.

पाठेनाप्यव्ययसंज्ञायां सत्यामिभधेयबिङ्गबचनानि भविष्यन्ति । यश्चेहार्थोऽ-भिषीयते न तस्य लिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यां योगोऽस्ति ।

Granting that it gets avyayasaminā even through $p\bar{a}tha$, the gender and the number should agree with those of the $pradh\bar{a}n\bar{a}rtha$. The $pradh\bar{a}n\bar{a}rtha$ here is not connected with any gender or number.

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{o}iibhatta$ says that this remark holds good only to $itar\bar{e}taray\bar{o}ga-dvandva$ and not to $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra-dvandva$.

नेदं वाचिनिकम् अलिङ्गता असङ्ख्यता च It cannot be stated that the states of having no gender and no number exist at all periods.

किं तर्हि ? How then?

स्वाभाविकमेतत्

It is but natural not to possess them at one stage and to possess them at another.

तद्यथा - समानमीहमानानां चाधीयानानां च केचिद्र्थेर्युज्यन्ते अपरे न । न चेदानीं कश्चिद्र्थेवानिति कृत्वा सर्वेर्थवद्भिः शक्यं भवितुं, कश्चिद्रानर्थक इति सर्वेरनर्थकैः । तत्र किमसाभिः शक्यं कर्तुं, यत् प्राक् समासाचार्थस्य लिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यां योगो नास्ति, समासे च भवति । स्वाभाविकमेतत्

For instance, of those persons who study equally with the same hope (of getting money), some get it and others do not. Because one gets money, it need not be that all have got money, and because one has not got money, it need not be that all have not got money. What can be done by us there? It is but natural for an expression denoting a meaning not to possess gender and number before it becomes a compound and to possess the same after it has become a compound.

अथ वा आश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भविष्यन्ति - यथा गुणवचनेषु । गुणवचनानां हि शब्दानामाश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भवन्ति । तद्यया - शुक्रं वस्तं, शुक्रा शादी शुक्रः कम्बलः, शुक्रों कम्बलों, शुक्राः कम्बला इति । यदसौ द्रव्यं श्रिनो भवति गुणः, तस्य यिष्ठः वचनं च तद् गुणस्थापि भवनि । एविम्हापि यदसौ द्रव्यं श्रिनो भवति समासः, तस्य यिष्ठः वचनं च तत् समासस्थापि भविष्यति ।

Or gender and number of a word are decided from those of the words that are qualified by them as in the case of words denoting quality. Words denoting quality take the gender and the number of the gunins Viz. śuklam vastram, śuklā śāṭī, śuklaḥ kambalaḥ, śuklāu kambalāu, and śuklāḥ kambalāḥ. If the guṇavācakaśabda qualifies guṇivācakaśabda, the former takes the gender and the number of the latter. So also the samāsa takes the gender and the number of the word which denotes the dravya of which the samāsārtha serves as višēsana.

TT

अथेह कस्मान भवति याज्ञिकश्चायं वैयाकरणश्च, कठश्चायं बह्ब्चश्च, ञौविथकश्चायं मीमांसकश्च इति ?

Which prevents the following pairs: Yājñikaś ca ayam Vāiyākaranaś ca; Kothaś ca ayam bahvrcaś ca and Aukthikaś ca ayam mīmāmsakaś ca from forming into dvandvasamāsa?

Note:— This question arises since the dhurmas are different even though dharmin is the same, in the parankāryatvapakṣa. In the ēka-samjñādhikārapukṣa this does not arise on account of their being tatpurusa thro' viśēsavidhi.

शेष इति वर्तते अशेषत्वान्न भविष्यति

There is anuvitti for the word $s\bar{e}sah$ (from the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{e}s\bar{o}$ bahuvrīhih). Dvandva does not set in, since they do not come under the purview of $s\bar{e}sa$ and (since they come under $Vis\bar{e}sanam$ $vis\bar{e}s\bar{e}v\bar{e}na$ bahulam. 2-1-57).

यदि शेष इति वर्तते,

उपास्नातं स्थूलसिक्तं तृष्णीङ्गङ्गं महाहृदम् । द्रोणं चेदशको गन्तुं मा त्या ताप्तां कृताकृते ॥

If there is anuvrtti for śēṣa, the dvandva kṛtākṛtē in the verse Upāsnātam sthūlasiktam tūsnīngangam mahāhradam i

Drōṇam cēd asakō gantum mā tvā tāptām kṛtākṛtē u cannot be secured.

Note: - Kāiyaļa reads: - Atra "ktēna nanvišistēna anan" (2, 1, 60) iti samāsavidhānād asēsatvād dvandvāprasangaļ.

Note:—2. $K\bar{a}iy$ ita says that $up\bar{a}sn\bar{a}ta$ etc. are five $t\bar{a}rthavis\bar{e}sas$.

नैष दोषः; अन्यद्धि कृतम्, अन्यदकृतम्

This difficulty does not arise; for krtam is one and akrtam is another (the former meaning sukrtam or punya and the latter meaning duskrtam or $p\bar{z}pa$).

Note:— Since there need not be $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ between krta and akrta, they come under the purview of $s\bar{e}sa$.

TTT

चार्थे द्वन्द्वचनेऽसमासेऽपि चार्थसम्प्रत्ययाद्निष्टप्रसङ्गः

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $C\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ dvandvah, there is chance for the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to reach in $asam\tilde{a}sa$ where it is not needed, on account of the suggestion of $c\tilde{a}rtha$.

चार्थे द्वन्द्ववचनेऽसमासेऽपि चार्थसम्प्रत्ययादिनिष्टं प्रामोति अहरहर्नयमानो गामश्वं पुरुषं पशुम् । वैवस्वतो न तृष्यति सुराया इव दुर्मदी ॥ इति

इन्द्रस्त्वष्टा वरुणो वायुरादित्य इति

Since the meaning of ca is suggested in the expression $g\bar{a}m$ asvam puruşam pasum in the verse

Ahar-ahar nayamānō gām aṣvām puruṣam pasum ¡ Vāivasvatō na tṛpyati surāyā iva durmadī ॥

and in the expression Indras traṣṭā varuṇō vāyur ādityaḥ, there is chance for them to be designated dvandvasamōsa by the sūtra Cārthē dvandvaḥ, though it is not desired.

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhaļļa$ says that $l\bar{o}k\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $p\bar{a}lak\bar{a}h$ is understood after $\bar{a}dityah$.

सिदं तु युगपद्धिकरणवचने व द्वन्द्ववचनात्

The object is achieved by replacing Carthe dvandvah by Yugapadadhikaranavacane dvandvah.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

1. Yugapadadhikaranë is another reading.

कथम How?

¹ युगपद्धिकरणवचने द्वन्द्वो भवेताति वक्तव्यम्

It must be enjoined that the designation dvandva is given only when each member of the compound conveys the meaning of the whole simultaneously.

तत्र पुंबद्धावश्रतिषेधः

There is need then for prohibiting pumvadbhāva.

तत्रैतस्मिन्स्क्षणे पुंबद्धावस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तज्यः, पट्टीमृद्धौ । समानाधिकरण-रूक्षणः पंबद्धावः पामोति

If this lak ilde san a is acceded to, there is need to prohibit $punvad.bh \bar ava$, to prevent it in the word $patv \bar u mr dv y \bar a u$; otherwise, $pumvadbh \bar ava$ based on $s \bar a m \bar a n \bar a dh i karanya$ may set in there.

विप्रतिविद्धेषु चानुपविः

Impossibility of dvandva in words giving diametrically opposite meanings.

विश्रतिषिद्धेषु युगपद्धिकरणव वनताया अनुपपत्तिः – शीतोष्णे, सुखदुःख, जननमरणे

The reading - Yugapad-adhikaraṇavocanē dvandvaḥ will not suit in compound words made up of antonyms, as śīlōṣṇē, sukhaduḥkhē and janonamaraṇē.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

सुखप्रतिघातेन हि दुःखं, दुःखप्रतिघातेन च सुखम्

For dulkha appears when sukha disappears and sukha appears when dulkha disappears (and hence both cannot be yugapadadhikaranavācin).

यत्तावदुच्यते तत्र पुंबद्धावप्रतिषेध इति, इदं तावद्यं प्रष्टज्यः, अथेह पुंबद्धावः कसात्र भवति दर्शनीयाया माता दर्शनीयामाता इति । अथ मतमेतत्, प्राक् समासाद् यत्र सामानाधिकरण्यं तत्र पुंबद्धावो भवति इति, इहापि न दोषो भवति । Firstly with reference to the objection Tatra punivadbhāvapratisēdhaļi, he must be asked why punivadbhāva has not set

Yugapad = ēkāikīna (Kāiyaţa).

in the word $dar \hat{s}an \bar{\imath} y \bar{a}m \bar{a}t \bar{a}$, the compound of $dar \hat{s}an \bar{\imath} y \bar{a}y \bar{a}h m \bar{a}t \bar{a}$. If he answers that $pumvadbh \bar{a}va$ sets in where there is $s\bar{a}m \bar{a}n \bar{a}dhikaranya$ before they become a $sam \bar{a}sa$, the same holds good here and hence the objection cannot stand.

यद्प्युच्यते विश्वतिषिद्धेषु चानुपपित्तिति, सर्व एव हि श्वन्दा विश्वतिषिद्धाः । इहापि स्नन्त्रस्ययोधाविति, स्नन्न्यस्थाययति, न्ययोधार्थं निवर्तयति । न्ययोधश्वः प्रयुज्यमानो न्ययोधार्थं सम्प्रत्यायति स्नक्षार्थं निवर्तयति । अथात्र चेद्यक्ता युगपद्धिकाणवचनता दृश्यते, इहापि युक्ता दृश्यताम् ।

With reference to the second objection vipratisiddhēsu cānupapattiḥ, all words have meanings not related to one another.
Even here in plakṣa-nyagrōdhāu, the word plakṣa, when used
denotes plakṣa tree and makes nyagrōdhārtha recede. The
word nyagrōdha, when used, denotes nyagrōdha tree and makes
plakṣārtha recede. If it is considered, even then, that there is
yugapad-adhikaraṇavacanaiva, the same exists here also (in
sukhaduḥkē etc.)

एवमपि Even then,

श्चव्दपौर्वापर्यप्रयोगादर्थपौर्वापर्याभिधानम्

Connotation of the meaning in the order of the words used.

ज्ञान्योविषयीयाद् अर्थपार्वापयाभिष्ठानं प्राप्नोति Since words are used in a certain order, their meanings also may have to be conceived in the same order.

अतः किम् What if?

युगपद्धिकरणवचनताया अनुपपत्तिः, ¹ प्रश्नन्यय्रोधो इति । यथैव हि शब्दानां पौर्वार्थ तद्वदर्श्वानामपि भवितव्यम् ।

There will be impropriety in the yugupad-adhikaranavacanatā in the word plaksanyagrādhāu, since there must be sequence in the conception of meaning in the same way as there is sequence in the use of words.

शब्द्पीर्वापर्यप्रयोगाद् अर्थपार्वापर्याभिधानमिति चेद् द्विवचनबहुवचनानुपपात्तः

¹ Platen pay, Edhäe, plakynnyrgrödhäh is another reading.

If it is said that *śabdapāurvāparyaprayōga* leads to arthapāurvāparyābhidhāna, there is no chance for dvivacana and bahuvacana.

शव्दपौर्वापर्यश्योगोगाद् अर्थपौर्वापर्याभिधानमिति चेद् द्वित्रचनबहुवचनयोरनुपपत्तिः प्रश्नन्ययोधौ प्रश्नन्ययोधा इति । प्रश्नश्चनः सार्थको निवृत्तो न्ययोधशब्द उपस्थितः । एक एकार्थः तस्यैकार्थत्वाद् एकवचनं प्रामोति ।

If it is admitted that there is sequence in the conception of the meaning of the component parts of a compound on account of the sequence of the component parts of the compound. the use of dual and plural numbers will become inappropriate in the words plakṣa-nyagrōdhāu and plakṣanyagrōdhāh. Since the word nyagrōdha comes in after the word plakṣa has receded with its meaning and since ēkavacana is to be used to denote one object and nyagrōdha denotes only one object, only the singular suffix has a chance to be used after nyagrōdha.

विग्रहे च युगपद्वचनं ज्ञापकं युगपद्वचनस्य

Yugapadvacana in non-samāsa is jūāpaka to yugapadvacana in samāsa.

विम्रहे खल्विप युगपद्भचनता दृश्यते – द्यावी हु क्षामी (R. V. 10, 12, 1) द्यावी चिदस्मै पृथिवी नैमेते । (R. ∇ . 2, 12, 13) इति

Yugapadvacanatā is seen even in non-samāsa words as in dyāvā and kṣāmā and as in dyāvā and pṛthivī in Dyāvā cid asmāi.

Note:—1. The words $dy\bar{a}v\bar{a}$, $k\dot{s}\bar{a}m\bar{a}$, and $prthiv\bar{\imath}$ are dual in number. Unless $dy\bar{a}v\bar{a}$ in the first and the second, example refers to both $dy\bar{a}uh$ and $k\dot{s}\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ and $dy\bar{a}uh$ and $prthiv\bar{\imath}$ respectively, the dual number after it cannot be satisfactorily explained. Similarly $k\dot{s}\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ and $prthiv\bar{\imath}$ refer to both $dy\bar{a}uh$ and $k\dot{s}\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ and $dy\bar{a}uh$ and $ds\bar{a}uh$ and $ds\bar{a}uh$

Note:— 2. $Dy\bar{a}v\bar{a}$ and $ks\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ are the members of split compound current in $V\bar{e}dic$ Sanskrit.

1. Samnamētē is another reading.

ाकेमेतत्? What is the use of this?

युगपद्धिकरणवचनताया उपोद्धलकम् । विग्रहे किल नाम युगपद्धिकरण-वचनता स्यात्, किं पुनः समासे ?

It lends support to yugapad-adhikarana-vacanatva. When yugapad-adhikaranavacanatā is found even in non-compounds, what objection can there be to hold it in the compound?

समुदायात्सिद्धम्

It (the use of the dual and the plural) is achieved through samudāya (whole) being denoted by dvandva.

समुदायात्सिद्धमेतत्

This is achieved through samudaya being denoted by dvandva.

किमेतत् समुदायात् सिद्धम् इति ?

What purpose is served by the statement samudāyāt siddham?

द्विवचनबहुवचनताप्रसिद्धिरिति चोदितं, तस्यायं परिहारः

This serves as the answer to the objection raised that there will be no chance for dual suffix and plural suffix (in plak; a- $nyagr\bar{o}dh\bar{a}u$ and plak; a- $nygr\bar{o}dh\bar{a}h$).

समुदायात्सिद्धमिति चेन्न, एकार्थत्वात्समुदायस

The answer $samud\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ siddham cannot hold good, since $samud\bar{a}ya$ comprehends oneness.

समुदायात् सिद्धमिति चेत्, तन्न

The answer samudāyāt siddham cannot hold good.

किं कारणम्? Why?

एकार्थत्वात् समुदायस्य । एकार्था हि समुदाया भवन्ति । तद्यथा शतं, यूथं वनम् इति

Since samudāya comprehends oneness. For samudāyās are taken collectively. Viz. satam, yūtham and vanam.

नैकार्थ्यम् There is no ēkārthatā.

नायमेकार्थः It has no collective sense.

कि तर्हि? What then?

द्यर्थो बहुर्थश्च They denote two and many.

प्रश्लोऽपि द्यर्थी न्यमोधोऽपि द्यर्थः

Plakṣa comprehends two objects and nyagrādha too comprehends two.

यदि तर्हि प्रक्षोऽपि द्यर्थः, न्यम्रोघोऽपि द्यर्थः

If, then, it is held that plaksa denotes two objects and nyagrādha too denotes two objects.

तयोरनेकार्थत्वाद् वहुषु बहुवचनप्रसङ्गः

There is chance for the plural suffix, on account of both of them together denoting many.

तयोरनेकार्थत्वाद् बहुपु बहुवचनम् इति बहुवचनं प्राप्तोति Since both of them together denote many, plural suffix may set in by the sūtra Bahusu bahuvacanam.

तयोरनेकार्थत्वाद बहुवचनप्रसङ्ग इति चेन्न बहुत्वाभावात

There is no chance for plural suffix on the assumption of their denoting many, since there is no bahutva.

तयोरनेकार्थरवाद् बहुवचनप्रसङ्ग इति चेन्न
It is not right to argue that plural suffix may set in since they denote many.

किं कारणम्? Why?

बहुत्वाभावात् । नात्र बहुत्वमस्ति

On account of the absence of bahutva. There is no bahutva here.

किमुच्यते वहुत्वाभावादिति, यदा इदानीमेवोक्तं प्रक्षोऽपि द्यर्थो न्यग्रोघोऽपि द्यर्थे इति ?

Is the statement bahutvābhāvāt appropriate when it is just now said that plakṣa is dvyartha and nyayrōdha too is dvyartha?

याभ्यामेवात्रेको व्यर्थः, ताभ्यामेवापरोऽपि

One denotes exactly the same two objects as are denoted by the other; hence the objects denoted are only two (and not four). यद्येत्रम् If so,

अन्यवाचकेनान्यस्य वचनानुपपत्तिः

Impossibility of one word denoting the meaning of another,

अन्यवाचकेन शब्देनान्यस्य वचनं नोपपद्यते

It is not fit for a word with one denotation to have that of another.

अन्यवाचकेनान्यस्य वचनानुपपित्तिरिति चेत् प्रक्षस्य न्यग्रोधत्वाद् न्यग्राधस्य प्रक्षत्वात् स्वशन्देनाभिधानम्

If it is said that it is inappropriate for one object to be denoted by a word which denotes another, it is met by saying that the object is denoted only by the word which has that meaning, since plakṣa is nyagrōdha and nyagrōdha is plakṣa.

अन्यवाचकेन अन्यस्य वचनानुपपत्तिरिति चेद् एवमुच्यते तन्न ।

If it is said that it is inappropriate for one object to be denoted by a word whose meaning is different, it is answered that it is not so.

किं कारणम्? Why?

ह्रक्षस्य न्ययोधत्वाद् न्ययोधस्य च ह्रक्षत्वाच स्वशब्देन अभिधानं भविष्यति । ह्रक्षोऽपि न्ययोधः, न्ययोधोऽपि ह्रक्षः

There is abhidhāna by svašabda, since plakṣ i is nyagrōdha and nyagrōdha is plakṣa. The word plakṣa denotes nyagrōdha too and the word nyagrōdha denotes plakṣa too.

कथं पुनः प्रक्षोऽपि न्यत्रोधो न्यत्रोधोऽपि प्रक्षः स्याद् यावता कारणाद् द्रव्ये शब्दनिवेशः?

How is it possible for *plakṣa* to denote *nyagrōdha* too and *nyagrōdha* to denote *plakṣa* too, since words are used to denote objects on some basis.

कारणाद् द्रव्ये शब्दनिवेश इति चेत् तुल्यकारणत्वात् सिद्धम्

If it is said that the use of $\hat{s}abda$ to denote dravya is through some $k\bar{a}rana$, the object is achieved here since the same $k\bar{a}rana$ exists in both.

कारणाद् द्रव्ये शब्दिनवेश इति चेदेवमुच्यते, तन्न

If it is said so on the basis that the use of a $\hat{s}abda$ to denote a particular dravya is determined by some $k\bar{a}rana$, it is not so-

तुल्यकारणत्वात् सिद्धम् । तुल्यं हि कारणम् । यदि तावत् प्रक्षरतीति हक्षः स्यात्, न्यत्रोधेऽप्येतद् भवति । तथा यदि न्यग् रोहतीति न्यत्रोधः, हक्षेऽप्येतद् भवति ।

The object is achieved, since the same $k\bar{a}rana$ exists in both. For $k\bar{a}rana$ is the same. If the pravrttinimitta of plaksa is praksaranatva (the state of extending wide), the same holds good to $nyagr\bar{o}dha$ too. If that of $nyagr\bar{o}dha$ is $nyag-r\bar{o}hanatva$ (the state of throwing off-shoots downward), the same holds good for plaksa too.

दर्शनं वे हेतुः The usage is the kāraņa.

न च न्ययोधे प्रश्नशब्दो दृश्यते

The word plaksa is not used to denote nyagrādha.

दर्शनं हेतुरिति चेत्तुल्यम्

If usage is taken to be the kāraṇa, it is the same.

दर्शनं हेतुरिति चेत् तुल्यमेतद् भवति । प्रक्षेऽपि न्यग्रोधशब्दो दृश्यते, व्यग्रोधेऽपि प्रक्षशब्दः । तुल्यं हि कारणम् ।

If usage is taken to be the $h\bar{e}tu$, their denotation is the same. $Nyagr\bar{o}dha$ is used to denote plaksa and plaksa to denote $nyagr\bar{o}dha$; for the $h\bar{e}tu$ of denotation remains the same.

न वै लोके एप सम्प्रत्ययो भवति । न हि प्रक्ष आनीयतामित्युक्ते न्यग्रीध आनीयते

It is not so taken in the world; for if one says, "Bring plaksa", nyagrödha is not brought.

तद्विषयं च (One denoting another) is found only in it (dvandva).

तिद्विषयं चेतद् द्रष्टवयं प्रश्नस्य न्यमोधत्वम्
It must be comprehended that plaksa denotes nyagrödha in it alone.

किंविषयम्? In which alone?

द्वन्द्वविषयम् In dvandva alone.

युक्तं पुनर्यन्नियतविषया नाम शब्दाः स्यु?

Is it proper to take that words are restricted in their usage?

बाढं युक्तम् It is certainly proper.

अन्यत्रापि तद्विषयदर्शनोत्

On account of niyata-viṣayatva (restricted application) being seen elsewhere too.

अन्यतापि हि नियतिविषयाः श्राच्या दृश्यन्ते । तद्यथा - समाने रक्ते वर्णे, गौं कृष्ण इति भवति, अश्वः शोण इति; समाने च काले वर्णे, गौः कृष्ण इति भवति, अश्वो हेम ईति; समाने च शुक्के वर्णे, गौः श्वेन इति भवति, अश्वः कर्क इति । Words have restricted application elsewhere too. It is illustrated thus:- Though red colour is one, the word ohita is used with reference to the bull, and the word sono, with reference to the horse; though black colour is one, the word kṛṣṇa is used with reference to the bull and the word hēma with reference to the horse; and even though white colour is one, the word śvēta is used with reference to the bull and word karka with reference to the horse.

यदि तहिं प्रश्लोऽपि न्यमोधः, न्यमोधोऽपि प्रक्षः, If, then, it is taken that plakṣa denotes nyagrādha too and vice-versa.

एकेनोक्तत्वादपरस्य प्रयोगोऽनुपपन्नः

The use of another is inappropriate on account of its meaning being expressed by one.

एकेनोक्तत्वात्तस्यार्थस्य अवरस्य प्रयोगो नोषपद्यते, प्रक्षेण न्यमोधस्य, न्यमोध-प्रयोगः

Since one expresses the meaning of another, the use of the second is inappropriate. If the meaning of nyagrādha is expressed by plakṣa, the use of nyagrādha is unnecessary.

एकेनोक्तत्वादपरस्य प्रयोगोऽनुपपन्न इति चेदनुक्तत्वात् ष्ठश्लेण न्यग्रोधस्य न्यग्रोधप्रयोगः

If it is said that the use of the second is not necessary on account of its meaning being expressed by the first, there is need for the use of $nyagr\bar{o}dha$, since its meaning has not been expressed by plaksa.

एकेनोक्तत्वाद् अपरस्य प्रयोगोऽनुपपन्न इति चेत्, तन्न ।
It is not right to say that the second need not be used, since its meaning has been expressed by the first.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अनुक्तत्वात् प्रक्षेण न्यय्रोधस्य न्यय्रोधप्रयोगः - अनुक्तः प्रक्षेण न्यय्रोधार्थ इति कृत्वा न्यय्रोधशब्दः प्रयुज्यते

The use of nyagrādha on account of nyagrādha not being denoted by plakṣa. Nyagrādha is read on the understanding that its meaning has not been denoted by plakṣa.

कथमनुक्तः, यावतेदानीमेवोक्तं स्रक्षोऽपि न्यग्रोधः, न्यग्रोधोऽपि स्रक्ष इति ? . How is it that its meaning has not been expressed by plakṣa, even though it has just now been said that plakṣa is nyagrōdha and nyagrōdha is plakṣa?

सहभ्तावेतौ अन्योऽन्यस्यार्थमाहतुः, न पृथम्भूतौ ?

Only when they are knit together as in dvandva, one can denote another and vice versa and not when they are separated from each other.

कि पुनः कारणं सहभूतावेती अन्योन्यस्यार्थमाहतुः, न पृथाभूती ? What is the authority to determine that one denotes the other too only when they are knit together and not when they are separated from each other?

अभिधानं पुनः खाभाविकम् The denotion is inherent in them.

स्वाभाविकमभिधानम्

It is in their very nature to have such a denotation.

अथ वा इह को चित् प्राथमकि । सक्ष स्वयोधी, को चित् कियया वा गुणेन वा प्रक्ष इवायं प्लक्षः न्ययोध इवायं न्ययोध इति । तत्र प्लक्षो इति उक्ते सन्देहः स्थात् - किमिवी प्लक्षावेव आहोस्वित् प्लक्षन्ययोधी इति । तत्र असन्देहार्थं न्ययोधशब्दः प्रयुज्यते ।

Or the words plak ilde a and nyagr ilde a have firstly their primary sense and then secondary sense on the basis of similarity in kriy ilde a or guna. If the word plak ilde a ilde a is read, the doubt whether it denotes two plak ilde a ilde a or a plak ilde a and a nyagr ilde a ilde b a may arise. In order to clear the doubt the word nyagr ilde a ilde b a is read (along with plak ilde a ilde a).

सेयं युगपदिषकरणवचनता नाम दुःखा च दुरुपपादा च । यञ्चाप्यस्या निवन्धनमुक्तं द्यावा ह क्षामा इति, तदिप छान्दसम् । तत्र सुपां सुपो भवन्तीत्येव सिद्धम् । सूत्रं च भिद्यते ।

This Yugapad-adhikaraṇa-vacanatā is beset with difficulties and is not supported with $pram\bar{a}na$. The point stated in support of it— $dy\bar{a}v\bar{a}$ ha $ks\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ is $V\bar{e}dic$ expression. The use of one case-suffix for another there may be on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sup $\bar{a}m$ suluk...(7, 1, 39). Besides the $s\bar{u}tra$ has to be reworded.

यथान्यासमेवास्तु Let the sūtra be as it is.

ननु चोक्तं चार्थे द्वन्द्वचने असमासेऽपि चार्थसम्प्रत्ययादनिष्टपसङ्गः इति । Oh, it was said that the reading Cārthē dvandvaḥ will land us in difficulties, since cārtha is found in non-samāsas too.

नैष दोषः । इह चे द्वन्द्वः इतीयता सिद्धम्

This difficulty does not arise. The purpose is served by reading the $s\bar{u}tra\ C\bar{e}\ dvandval$.

कथं पुनश्चे नाम वृत्तिः स्यात्, शब्दो होषः ?

How is it possible for vrtti to exist in ca, it being only śabda

शब्दे कार्यासम्भवाद् अर्थे कार्थ विज्ञास्यते

Since it is not possible to have kārya in ŝabda, it is taken that it takes place in artha.

सोऽयमेव सिद्धे सित यदर्थमहणं करोति तस्यतत् प्रयोजनम् - एवं यथा विज्ञायेत - चेन कृतोऽर्थः चार्थः इति

When the object is capable of being thus achieved even without artha, the benefit of its reading is this-that the word cārtha should be split as cēna kṛtaḥ arthaḥ so that it may mean the artha expressed by ca alone (and not suggested).

कः पुनश्चेन कृतोऽर्थः What is the artha conveyed by ca.

समुच्चयोऽन्वाचय इतरेतरयोगः समाहार इति Samuccaya, anvācaya, itarētarayoja and samāhāra.

े समुच्चयः - प्लक्षश्च इत्युक्ते, गम्यते एतद् न्यग्रोधश्च इति ; तथा न्यग्रोधश्च इत्युक्ते, गम्यते एतत् प्लक्षश्च इति ।

Samuccaya (aggregation). If plakṣaś ca is read, nyagrōdhaś ca is suggested and if nyagrōdhaś ca is read, plakṣaś ca is suggested.

Note: Kāiyaļa says that plakṣaś ca suggests nyagrādhśa ca if it is read after Nyagrādhō dṛśyatām.

अन्वाचयः - सक्षश्च इत्युक्ते गम्यते एतत्, सापेक्षोऽयं प्रयुक्यते इति ।
Anvācaya (Associating a subordinate one with the principal):If plakṣaś ca is read, it suggests that something else is associated with it.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that there is no dvandva between a word denoting $pradh\bar{a}nu$ and another denoting an object associated with it.

इतरेतरयोगः - प्रश्नश्च न्यग्नोधश्च इत्युक्ते गम्यते एतत्, प्रश्नोऽपि न्यम्माध-सहायः, न्यमोधोऽपि प्रश्नसहाय इति

Itaritarayêgah (Mutual association). If plakşas ca nyagrêdhas ca is read, it is suggested that plakşa is in association with nyagrêda and nyagrêdha too is in association with plakşa.

^{1.} Samueray' etc. is another reading.

स्थश्च न्यत्रोधश्च इत्युक्ते समाहारेऽपि कियते स्थन्यत्रोधम् इति । तत्राय-प्यर्थः - द्वन्द्वैकवद्भावो न पठितन्यो भवति, समाहारैकत्वादेव सिद्धम् ।

When plakṣaś ca nyagrōdhaś ca is read, they are combined nto samāhāradvandva thus:-plakṣanyagrōdham. This too pecomes the prayōjana that dvandvāikavadbhāva need not be enjoined in the sūtras Dvandvaś ca.... (2, 4, 2) etc, since its purpose is served by samāhāradvandva.

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ says that ca is $dy\bar{o}taka$ in samuccaya and $anv\bar{a}caya$ and $v\bar{a}caka$ in the other two.

 $\mathbf{I}V$

एकाद्य द्वादश इति कोऽयं समासः

To which samāsa do ēkādaša and dvādašī belong?

एकादीनां दशादिभिर्द्धन्द्वः

Dvandva between ēka etc. and daśa etc.

एकादीनां सह दशादिभिर्द्धन्द्रः समासः

Dvandva is the samāsa between ēka etc. and daśa etc.

Note: Kāiyata says that ādi refers to vimsati etc.

एकादीनां दशादिभिर्द्धन्द्व इति चेद् विंशत्यादिषु वचनप्रसङ्गः

On admitting dvandva between ēka etc. and daśa etc. there is chance for dual and plural suffixes after vims uti etc.

एकादीनां दशादिभिर्द्ध-द्व इति चेद् विंशत्यादिषु वचनं प्राप्तोति एकविंशतिः, द्वाविंशतिः

If it is admitted that there is dvandva between $\bar{e}ka$ etc. and $da\dot{s}a$ etc. dual and plural suffixes may appear after $vi\dot{m}\dot{s}ati$ in $\bar{e}kavi\dot{m}\dot{s}atih$, $dv\bar{a}vi\dot{m}\dot{s}atih$.

सिद्धं त्विधकान्ता सङ्ख्या सङ्ख्यया समानाधिकरणाधिकारेऽधिकलोपश्च

The object is achieved by reading in samānādhikaraṇādhikāra : Adhikāntā sankhyā sankhyayā adhikalōpaś ca.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्! How?

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे वक्तन्यम् - अधिकान्ता सङ्ख्या सङ्ख्या च सह समस्यते अधिकग्रन्दस्य च लोपो भवति इति । एकाधिका विंशतिः एकविंशतिः, द्यधिका विंशतिः द्वाविंशतिः ।

The following has to be read in samānādhikaranādhikāra:-Adhikāntā sankhyā sankhyayā saha samasyatē adhikasabdasya ca löpē bhavati, (a number followed by adhika compounds with another number and the word adhika is dropped) so that ēkavimsatih and dvāvimsatih may have the vigraha ēkādhikā vimsatih and dvyadhikā vimsatih.

यदि समानाधिकरणः, स्वरो न सिद्ध्यति । यद्धि तत् 'सङ्ख्या पूर्वपदं प्रकृतिस्वरं भवति ' इति, द्वन्द्वे इत्येवं तत् ।

If it is read in the $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, the $ud\bar{a}tta$ cannot appear at the desired place. The rule that the $sankhy\bar{a}$ takes $p\bar{u}rvapadaprakrtisvam$ will operate in dvandva.

किं पुनः कारणं द्वन्द्व इत्येवं तत् Why should it be taken to operate in dvandva?

इह मा भूत शतसहस्रम्

To prevent the same in \$itasahasram (the compound of sahasrānām and satam).

अस्तु तर्हि द्वन्द्वः Let it then be dvandva in ēkādušu etc.

ननु चोक्तम् एकादीनां दशादिभिर्द्धन्द्व इति चेत् विश्वत्यादिषु वचनप्रसङ्ग इति Oh, the following:- Ekādīnām dašādibhir dvandva iti cid vimsatyādiņu vacana prasa igali was raised against it.

नेप दोप: । सर्वो हि द्वन्द्वी विभाषेकवद् भवति
This difficulty does not arise. All dvan lvas can be optionally treated like singular nouns.

यदा तर्हि एकवचनं तदा नपुंसकलिक्तं प्रामोति If singular appears, neuter suffix may set in.

लिप्तमशिष्यं लोकाश्रयस्यालिप्तस्य Linga need not be enjoined since it follows the usage in the world.

उपसर्जनं पूर्वम् (2, 2, 30)

किमर्थमिद्मुच्यते ? Why is this read?

पसर्जनस्य पूर्ववचनं परप्रयोगीनवृत्त्यर्थम्

Reading of Upasarjanam pūrvam is to prohibit paraprayōga.

उपसर्जनस्य पूर्ववचनं कियते, परप्रयोगो मा भूदिति

 $Par{u}rvavacana$ to upasarjana is enjoined to prevent it from being the latter member of a compound.

न वानिष्टादर्शनात्

It need not be since there is no $prayar{o}ga$ contrary to it.

न वा एतत्प्रयोजनमस्ति This is not the real benefit.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अनिष्टादर्शनात् । न हि किञ्चिदिनष्टं दृश्यते । न हि कश्चित् राजपुरुष इति प्रयोक्तन्ये पुरुषराज इति प्रयुङ्क्ते । यदि चानिष्टं प्रसज्येत ततो यलाई स्यात् ।

On account of the absence of undesired element. nothing that we do not wish for is seen here. None uses the word puruṣarāja in place of rājapuruṣa. Attempt is

necessary only when there is a chance for anista.

Note: - Vārttikakāra feels that this sūtra need not be read, since Grammar has to deal with only the forms current in the world.

अथ यत्र द्वे पष्ठचन्ते भवतः कस्मात् तत्र प्रधानस्य पूर्वनिपातो न भवति, राज्ञः पुरुषस्य राजपुरुषस्य इति ?

Why is there no pūrvanipāta to the pradhana when there are two nouns in the sixth case, as rājnah puruṣasya? We have

only rājapursasya. Note: This question arises on the assumption that both form a compound by Sasthī (2, 2, 8) which is in the nomina-

tive case. पष्ठचन्तयोः समासेऽर्थाभेदात् प्रधानस्यापूर्वनिपातः

There is no pūrvanipāta to the pradhāna in the between two sasthyanta's, since both denote the same.

षण्ड्यन्तयोः समासे अथिमेदात् प्रधानस्य पूर्वनिपातो न भविष्यति There is no pūrvanipāla to the pradhāna in the samāsa between two sasthyanta's since both denote the same.

NOTE:—The objector takes $r\bar{a}japuru\bar{s}asya$ as the sixth case of $r\bar{a}japuru\bar{s}a$,—the compound of $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}al$, $puru\bar{s}al$, while the $siddh\bar{a}ntin$ takes it only as the compound of $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ $c\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $puru\bar{s}a\bar{s}$ ca.

एवं न चेदमकृतं भवति उपसर्जनं पूर्वमिति
Hence is this sūtra read Upasarjanam pūrvam.

अर्थश्च अभिन्न इति कृत्वा प्रधानस्य पूर्वनिपातो न भविष्यति । There is no pūrvanipāta to the pradhāna on account of their meanings having the sambandha of abhēdatā and not pradhānāpradhānatā.

अल्पाच्तरम् (२, २, ३४)

किमयं तन्त्रं तरनिर्देशः, आहोस्विद् अतन्त्रम् ? Is the suffix tara read here to denote comparative degree enjoined in *Dvivacana*.... (5, 3, 57) or for euphony?

िक चातः ? What does it matter if it is either?

यदि तन्त्रं द्वयोर्नियमो बहुषु अनियमः

If it is tantra, niyama-sūtra will apply only when the compound has two components and not more than two.

तत्र को दोष:? What harm is there?

शङ्खदुन्दुभिर्वाणानाम् इति न सिध्यति । दुन्दुभिशन्दस्यादि पूर्वनिपातः प्रामोति ।

The form $\hat{S}a\dot{n}kha$ -dundubhi- $v\bar{v}n\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ (with $\hat{s}ankha$ as the first member) cannot be secured. There is chance for dundubhi also to be used as the first member.

अथ अतन्त्रं, मृदङ्गशङ्खतूणवाः पृथङ्नदन्ति संसदि, प्रासादे धनपतिराम-केशवानाम् इत्येतन्त्र सिध्यति ।

If it is atantra, the forms mṛdanga-śankha-tūṇavāḥ and dhana-pati-rūma-kēśavānām in mṛdanga-śankhatūṇavāḥ pṛthan nadanti

samsadi and in Prāsādē dhanapati-rāma-kēśavānām cannot be secured (since śankha and Rāma which are alpāc may be used as first members).

यथेच्छिस तथास्तु Let it be as you please.

अस्तु तावत् तन्त्रम् Let it be tantra.

ननु चोक्तं द्वयोर्नियमः बहुष्विनयम इति । तत्र शङ्खदुन्दुभिवीणानाम् इति न सिध्यति । दुन्दुभिशब्दस्यापि पूर्वनिपातः प्राप्तोतीति

Oh, it was said $Dvay\bar{o}r$ niyamah bahuşu aniyamah and the form $\hat{s}ankhadundubhiv\bar{v}n\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ cannot be secured and there may be $p\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ to dundubhi also.

नैष दोषः । यदेतद्रगच्तरिमिति तद्रशाजिति वक्ष्यामि । This difficulty does not arise. I shall replace alpāctaram by alpāc.

अथ वा पुनरस्त्वतन्त्रम् Or let it be atantra.

ननु चोक्तं मृदङ्गशङ्खतूणवाः पृथङ् नदन्ति संसदि, प्रासादे धनपतिराम-केशवानाम् इत्येतन्त्र सिध्यति इति

Oh, it was said that $mrda\dot{n}ga-\dot{s}a\dot{n}kha-t\bar{u}nav\bar{a}h$ and $dhanapati-r\bar{a}mak\bar{e}\dot{s}av\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ in the expressions $Mrda\dot{n}ga-\dot{s}ankha-t\bar{u}nav\bar{a}h$ $prtha\dot{n}$ nandanti sainsadi, $Pr\bar{a}s\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ dhanapati- $r\bar{a}ma-k\bar{e}\dot{s}av\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ cannot be secured.

अतन्त्रे तरानिर्देशे शङ्खतूणवयोर्मृदङ्गेन समासः

When tara is atantra, śankhatūņavāu forms samāsa with mṛdanga.

अतन्त्रे तरिनिर्देशे शङ्खतूणवयोर्मृदङ्गेन सह समासः करिष्यते - शङ्खश्च तूणवश्च शङ्खतूणवा ; मृदङ्गश्च शङ्खतूणवा । रामश्च केशवश्च रामकेशवा ; धनपतिश्च रामकेशवा च धनपतिरामकेशवाः, धनपतिराम-केशवानाम् इति ।

If tara is not taken as comparative suffix, sankha-tūņavāu compounds with mṛdanga thus: - Śankhaś ca tūṇavaś ca

^{1.} Some editions invert the order.

šankha-tūṇavāu; mṛdaṅgas ca sankhatūṇavāu ca mṛdaṅgasankha tūṇavāḥ. Similarly Rāmas ca Kēšavas ca, Rāmakēšavāu Dhanapatis ca Rāmakēšavāu ca, Dhanapatirāmakēsavāḥ, Dhana patirāmakēsavānām.

अथ यत्र बहूनां पूर्वनिपातप्रसङ्गः किं तत्रैकस्य नियमो भवति, आहोस्विः अविशेषेण

When there is a chance for many words to have pūrva-nipāta, does it hold good for one or for all?

अनेकप्राप्तावेकस्य नियमोऽनियमः शेपेषु

When there is a chance for many, there is niyama for one and aniyama for others.

अनेकस्य प्राप्तौ एकस्य नियमो भवति, शेषेव्वनियमः - पहुमृदुशुङ्काः, पदुशक्कमृदव इति

When there is a chance for many, there is niyama for one and aniyama for the rest viz Paţu-mṛdu-śuklāḥ and paţu-sukla-mṛdavaḥ.

ऋतुनक्षत्राणामानुपूर्चेण समानाक्षराणाम्

In their order in the case of rtus and naksatras of equal syllables.

ऋतुनक्षत्राणामानुपूर्व्येण समानाक्षराणां पूर्वनिपातो वक्तव्यः - शिशिरवसन्तौ उदगयनस्थो, कृत्तिकारोहिण्यः

Pūrvanipāta, in their order, must be enjoined with respect to words denoting seasons and constellations having the same number of syllables. Viz sisiravasantāu, udagaņanasthāu and krttikā-rōhinyah.

अभ्यहितम् Pūrvanipāta of the more respected.

अभ्यहितं च पूर्व निपततीति वक्तव्यम् - मातापितरी, श्रद्धामेधे It must be enjoined that the more respected get the piirvanipāta. Viz Mātāpitarāu and sraddhāmēdhē.

लघ्नक्षरम् Word having a short vowel.

लघ्यक्षरं पूर्व निपततीति वक्तव्यम् - कुशकाशम् , शरचापम् ।

It must be enjoined that laghvakṣara has the pūrvanipāta. Viz. kuṣakāṣam and ṣaracāpam.

अपर आह - सर्वत एव अभ्यार्हितं पूर्वं निपततीति वक्तव्यम् - लध्वक्षरादिष श्रद्धातपसी, दीक्षातपसी

Another says that the more respected deserves $p\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ everywhere, even in preference to laghvakṣara. Viz. $śraddh\bar{a}$ - $tapas\bar{\imath}$ and $d\bar{\imath}kṣ\bar{a}tapas\bar{\imath}$.

वर्णानामानुपूर्व्येण In their order with respect to castes.

वर्णानाञ्च आनुपूर्वेण पूर्वनिपाता भवन्तीवि वक्तन्यम् - ब्राह्मणक्षत्रियविट्शूद्राः $P\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ must be enjoined in their order with respect to castes. Viz $Br\bar{a}hmana-kṣatriya-vit-ʻs\bar{u}dr\bar{a}h$.

भात्रथ ज्यायसः To the elder between brothers.

श्रातुश्च ज्यायसः पूर्वनिपातो भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - युधिष्ठिरार्जुनौ

Pūrvanipāta must be enjoined to the elder between brothers

Viz. Yudhisthira-arjunāu.

सङ्ख्याया अल्पीयसः To the smaller between numbers.

सङ्ख्याया अल्पीयसः पूर्वनिपातो भवतीति वक्तन्यम् - एकाद्श, द्वादश It must be enjoined that the smaller between numbers gets the pūrvanipāta. Viz. Ekādaśa and dvādaśa.

धर्मादिपूभयम् Both among dharma etc.

धर्मादिषु उभयं पूर्व निपततीति वक्तव्यम् - धमार्थौ, अर्थधर्मी ; कामार्थौ, अर्थकामौ ; गुणवृद्धी, वृद्धिगुणौ ; आद्यन्तौ, अन्तादी

Pūrvanipāta must be enjoined to both among dharma etc. Viz. Dharmārthāu, arthadharmāu; kāmārthāu, arthakāmāu; guņavrddhī, vrddhiguņāu; ādyantāu, antādī.

सप्तमीविशेषणे बहुवीही (2, 2, 35)

यहुत्रीहाँ सर्वनामसङ्ख्ययोरुपसङ्ख्यानम् Need to add sarvanāmasankhyayōh with reference to bahuvrīhi. बहुवीहों सर्वनामसङ्ख्ययोरुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तब्यम् - विश्वदेवः, विश्वयशाः ; ।द्विपुत्रः, द्विभार्यः

There is need to add that pronouns and numerals get the pūrvanipāta, so that the forms viśvadēvaḥ, viśvayaśāḥ, dviputraḥ and dvibhāryaḥ may be secured.

अथ यत्र सङ्ख्यासर्वनाम्नोरेव बहुवीहिः कस्य तत्र पूर्वनिपातेन भवितव्यम् ? Which should have pūrvanipāta when both sankhyā and sarvanāman appear in bahuvrīhi?

परत्वात् सङ्ख्यायाः - द्यन्याय व्यन्याय

To sankhyā since it is read later in the vārttika. Viz. Dvyanyāya and tryanyāya.

वा प्रियस Optional pūrvanipāta to priya.

वा प्रियस्य पूर्विनिपातो भवतीति वक्तज्यम् - प्रियगुडः, गुडिपियः It must be stated that pūrvanipāta is optional with reference to priya. Viz. Priyagudah and gudapriyah.

सप्तम्याः पूर्वनिपाते गड्वादिभ्यः परवचनम्

Need to read that the saptamī will follow gadu etc. with reference to saptamī-pūrvanipāta.

सप्तम्याः पूर्विनिपाते गड्वादिभ्यः परा सप्तमी भवतीति वक्तव्यम् न गडुकण्ठः, गडुशिराः

It must be enjoined that the saptamī which is entitled to have pūrvanipāta gets paranipāta if gaḍu etc. is qualified by it. Viz. gaḍukaṇṭhaḥ and gaḍuśirāḥ.

निष्टा (2, 2, 36)

निष्टायाः पूर्वनिपाते जातिकालसुखादिभ्यः परवचनम्

Need to enjoin that niṣṭhā which is entitled to have pūrvanipūta follows jūti, kūla; sukha etc. निष्ठायाः पूर्वनिपाते जातिकालसुखादिभ्यः परा निष्ठा भवतीति वक्तन्यम् -शार्क्कजग्वी, पलाण्डु मक्षिती, मासजाता, संवत्सरजाता, सुखजाता, दुःखजाता ।

There is need to enjoin that $nisth\bar{a}$ follows $j\bar{a}ti$, $k\bar{a}la$, sukha, etc. though it is entitled to have $p\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ viz. $s\bar{a}rigajagdh\bar{i}$, $pal\bar{a}ndubhaksit\bar{i}$, $m\bar{a}saj\bar{a}t\bar{a}$, $samvatsaraj\bar{a}t\bar{a}$, $sukhaj\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ and $duhkhaj\bar{a}t\bar{a}$.

न बोत्तरपदस्थान्तोदात्तवचनं ज्ञापकं परभावस्य

It need not be read; the injunction of antōdāttatva to the uttarapada is $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$ to $parabh\bar{a}va$.

न वा वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

उत्तरपद्स्यान्तोदात्तवचनं ज्ञापकं परभावस्य । यद्यं जातिकालसुखादिभ्यः परस्या निष्ठाया उत्तरपद्स्यान्तोदात्तत्वं शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः, पराल निष्ठा भवतीति ।

The injunction of $aut\bar{o}d\bar{a}ttatva$ of uttarapada is $j\bar{n}\bar{a}paka$ to $parabh\bar{a}va$. Since he $(S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra)$ enjoins $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}ttatva$ to the $nisth\bar{a}$ which follows jati, $k\bar{a}la$, sukha etc. in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $J\bar{a}ti$ - $k\bar{a}la$ - $sukh\bar{a}dibhyah$... (6, 2, 170), he suggests that $nisth\bar{a}$ is here uttarapada.

प्रतिषेधे तु पूर्वनिपातप्रसङ्गस्तस्माद्राजदन्तादिषु पाठः

On account of pratisēdha (for some) there is chance for them to have $p\bar{u}rvanip\bar{a}ta$ and hence there is need to read them in $r\bar{a}jadant\bar{a}digana$.

प्रतिषेधे तु पूर्वनिपातः प्रामोति अकृतमितप्रतिपन्नाः इति, तसाद्राजदन्तादिषु पाठः कर्तन्यः

There is chance for pūrvanipāta for kṛta, mita etc. on account of the pratiṣēdha akṛta-mita-pratipannāḥ (6, 2, 170) and hence they will have to be read in Rājadantādigaņa.

न वा प्रतिषेधवचनं ज्ञापकं परभावस्य

No, they need not be read; pratisēdha-vacana is jūapaka to parabhāva.

न वा कर्तन्यः । अत्रापि प्रतिषेधवचनं ज्ञापकं परा निष्ठा भवतीति । No, it need not be read. Even here the mention of pratisēdha suggests that niṣṭhā becomes parā.

प्रहरणार्थेभ्यश्र

Nistha and $saptam\bar{\imath}$ after words denoting beating instruments.

प्रहरणार्थेभ्यश्च परे निष्ठासप्तम्यौ भवत इति वक्तज्यम् - अस्युद्यतः, मुसलोद्यतः असिपाणिः, दण्डपाणिः

It must be enjoined that $nisth\bar{a}$ and $saptam\bar{\imath}$ get $paranip\bar{a}ta$ when they qualify beating instruments. Viz. Asyudyatah, musalodyatah, asipānih and daṇḍapāṇih.

द्वन्द्वे ध्यजाद्यदन्तं विप्रतिषेधेन

Ajādyanta separates Dvandvē ghi through vipratiṣēdha.

द्वन्द्वे वि इत्यसाद् अजाद्यदन्तिमित्येतद्भवति विषितिपेधेन । द्वन्द्वे वि इत्यस्य अवकाशः - पटुगुप्तो ; अजाद्यदन्तिमित्यस्य अवकाशः - उपूल्रो । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - इन्द्रामी ; अजाद्यदन्तम् इत्येतद् भवति विषतिपेधेन ।

The sūtra Ajādyantam (2, 2, 33) supersedes the sūtra Dvandvē ghi (2, 2, 32) through vipratisēdha. Dvandvē ghi operates at paṭuguptāu; Ajādyadantam operates at uṣṭra-kharāu. Here in Indrāgnī both have a chance to operate and Ajādyanta operates through vipratisēdha.

उभाभ्यामल्पाच्तरम्

Alpāctaram (2, 2, 34) supersedes both.

उभाभ्यामरुपाच्तरमित्येतद् भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । द्वन्द्वे घि इत्यस्य अवकाशः -पटुगुप्तीः ; अरुपाच्तरमित्यस्य अवकाशः - वाग्टपदौः ; इहोभयं प्रामोति - वागमी -अरुपाच्तरमित्येतद् भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । अजाद्यदन्तमित्यस्य अवकाशः उष्ट्रखरैं। ; अरुपाच्तरमित्यस्यावकाशः स एव । इहोभयं प्रामोति वागिन्दौः ; अरुपाच्तरमित्येतद् भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

The sūtra Alpāctaram supersedes both through vipratisēdha. Dvandvē ghi operates at paļuguptāu; Alpāctaram operates at vāg-dvṣadāu; herein vāgagnī both may operate and Alpāctaram operates through $vipratis\bar{e}dha$. $Aj\bar{a}dyadantam$ operates at $ustrakhar\bar{a}u$ and $Alp\bar{a}ctaram$ operates at the same place $(v\bar{a}g-drsad\bar{a}u)$; here-in $v\bar{a}g$ -indrau both may operate; $Alp\bar{a}c$ -turam operates through $vipratis\bar{e}dha$.

कडाराः कर्मधारये (2, 2, 38)

कडारादयः Kadārādayah in place of kadārāh.

कडारादय इति वक्तन्यम् । इहापि यथा स्यात् - गडुलशाण्डिल्यः, शाण्लियगडुलः ; खण्डवात्स्यः, वात्स्यखण्डः ।

Kaḍārādayaḥ should have been read in place of kaḍārāḥ, so that the $s\bar{u}tra$ may operate here too in Gaḍulaśāṇḍilyaḥ, Śāṇḍilyaṇaḍulaḥ, Khaṇḍavātsyaḥ and Vātsyakhaṇḍaḥ.

तत्तर्हि वक्तन्यम् It, then, must be so read.

न वक्तव्यम् । बहुवचननिर्देशात् कडाराद्य इति विज्ञास्यते ॥
No, it need not be so read. From the use of the plural number in kaḍārāḥ. it is taken to refer to kaḍārādis.

 $\bar{A}hnika$ - Twentythree ends.

(Second Adhyāya, second Pāda, second Āhnika ends.)

Ahnika - Twentyfour

(Second adhyāya, third pada, first āhnika).

अनभिहिते (2, 3, 1)

The meaning of anabhihite and the necessity of this sutra or otherwise are discussed here.

T

अनभिहित इत्युच्यते ; किमिदमनभिहितं नाम ?

The sūtra is read Anabhihitē. What does anabhihita mean?

उक्तं, निर्दिष्टम्, अभिहितम् इति अनर्थान्तरम् । यावद् ब्रूयाद् अनुक्ते, अनिर्दिष्टे इति, तावद् अनभिहिते इति ।

The words uktam, nirdiṣṭam and abhihitam are synonyms. The word anabhihitē conveys the same meaning as the words anuktē and anirdiṣṭē.

II

अनभिहितवचनमनर्थकमन्यत्रापि विहितस्याभावादभिहिते

The sūtra Anabhihitē is not necessary on account of the absence of injunction of what is abhihita elsewhere.

अनभिहितवचनमनर्थकम्

The sūtra Anabhihitē is not necessary.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अन्यत्रापि विहित्तस्याभावाद् अभिहिते - अन्यत्राप्यभिहिते विहितं न भवति । On account of the absence of vihitatā of what has been abhihita elsewhere. What is abhihita elsewhere is never enjoined once again.

कान्यत्र ? What does anyatra refer to?

चित्रगुः, शबलगुः - बहुबीहिणोक्तत्वान्मस्वर्थस्य मत्वर्थायो न भवति । गर्गाः, वस्साः, विदाः, उर्वाः - यञ्ञस्यामुक्तत्वाद् अपत्यार्थस्य न्याय्योत्पत्तिर्ने भवति । सप्तपर्णोऽष्टापद इति समासेनोक्तत्वाद् वीप्साया द्विर्वचनं न भवति । Matvartha-pratyaya does not appear in the words Citraguh and sabalaguh, since the matvartha is expressed by bahuvrīhi; the general rule enjoining the apatyapratyaya with reference to Gargāh, Vatsāh, Bidāh and Urvāh does not operate, since apatyārtha is expressed by yañ and añ. Dvirvacana (reduplication) does not take place in Saptaparṇah and aṣṭāpadam, since vīpsā is expressed by samāsa.

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the word $ny\bar{a}yyah$ was used by predecessors in place of (the general rule).

Note:—2. The general rule referred to here is Aia in (4, 1, 95).

Note:—3. Kāiyata says that there is vīpsā in saptaparņah thus: Sapta sapta parņāni asya.

यत्तावदुच्यते - चित्रगुः शबलगुः इति बहुत्रीहिणोक्तत्वान्मत्वर्थस्य मत्वर्थीयो न भवति इति, अस्तिसामानाधिकरण्ये मतुब् विधीयते, न चाल अस्तिना सामानाधिः करण्यम् ।

With reference to the first statement that matvarthapratyaya is not used after the words citraguh and śabalaguh on account of its meaning having been expressed by bahuvrīhi, the answer is this:— Matup is enjoined to it which is samānādhikaraṇa with asti and here the component parts of the compound have no sāmānādhikaranya with asti.

यद्प्युच्यते - गर्गा वत्सा विदा उर्वाः, यञ्ञभ्यामुक्तत्वाद् अपत्यार्थस्य न्याय्योत्पिक्ति भवति इति, समर्थानां प्रथमाद्वा इति वर्तते, न चैतत् समर्थानां प्रथमम्

With reference to the next statement that the general rule enjoining apatyapratyaya does not operate in the words Gargāḥ, Vatsāḥ, Bidāḥ, Urvāḥ, the answer is this:—There is anuviti for samarthānām prathamād vā and this Gargāḥ etc. does not refer to the first (denoted by tasya in the sūtra Tasyāpatyam) among samarthas.

किं तर्हि? What then?

द्वितीयमधेमुपसंकान्तम्

It has reference only to the artha of the second word in the $s\bar{u}tra\ Tasy\bar{a}patyam$.

यद्प्युच्यते - सप्तपर्णोऽष्टापद इति समासेनोक्तत्वाद् वीप्साया द्विवेचनम् न भवतीति, यदत्र वीप्सायुक्तं नादः प्रयुज्यते

With reference to the last statement that reduplication is not found in the words saptaparnah and aṣṭāpadah on account of the $v\bar{v}ps\bar{a}$ having been expressed by $sam\bar{a}sa$, the answer is this:— I hat which is associated with $v\bar{v}ps\bar{a}$ is not used here.

कि पुनस्तत्? What is it?

पर्वणि पर्वणि सप्त पर्णान्यस्य, पङ्क्तौ पङ्क्तौ अष्टौ पदानि अस्य The words which are associated with vīpsā are parvan and paikti, since the vigrahavākyas of Saptaparņah and aṣṭāpadaḥ are parvaṇi parvaṇi sapta parṇāni asya and paiktāu paiktāu aṣṭāu padāni asya.

श्रम्बहुजकक्षु तर्हि - श्रम्, भिनित्त छिनित्त, श्रमा उक्तत्वात् कर्तृत्वस्य कर्तेरि शव् न भवति ; बहुच्, बहुकृतं बहुभिन्नम् इति बहुचा उक्तत्वाद् ईपदसमाप्तेः कल्पबादयो न भवन्ति ; अकच् उचकैः नीचकैः इति अकचोक्तत्वात् कुत्सादीनां कादयो न भवन्ति

If so, anyatra refers to snam, bahuc and akac. With reference to snam - Kartari sip does not operate in bhinatli and chinatli since kartitva is expressed by snam 1. With reference to bahuc - the suffixes kalpap etc. denoting īṣad-asamāpti do not appear in bahukītam, and bahubhinnam, since it is expressed by bahuc; with reference to akac - the pratyayas ka etc. denoting kutsatva etc. do not appear in the words uccakāiḥ and nīcakāiḥ, since it is expressed by akac.

ननु च श्रम् बहुजकचोऽपवादाः, ते अपवादत्वात् वाधका भविष्यन्ति ।

This is based on the view of the Pūrrūcāryā* that bhāra, karma and kartā are the meanings of conjugational signs.

Oh! the $s\bar{u}tras$ dealing with $\acute{s}nam$, bahuc and akac are $apav\bar{a}das$. Since they are $apav\bar{a}das$, they veto the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Kartari \acute{s}ap$ etc.

श्रम्बहुजकक्षु नानादेशत्वाद् उत्सर्गाप्रतिषेधः

With reference to śnam, bahuc and akac, there is no pratiṣēdha for the utsarga on account their being in different places.

समानदेशैरपवादैरुत्सर्गाणां बाधनं भवति ; नानादेशत्वान्न प्राप्ताति । Utsargas are vetoed by apavādas only if they are in the same place. Since, in these cases, they are in different places, they cannot veto utsargas.

किं पुनिरह अकर्तव्योऽनिमहिताधिकारः कियते, आहोस्विद् अन्यत्र कर्तव्यो न कियते ?

Which is the view of the objector, whether the $anabhih\bar{a}dhi-k\bar{a}ra$, which should not be read here, is read, or whether the $anabhihit\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ which should be read elsewhere is not read there?

इह अक्रतेब्यः कियते । एप एव हि न्याय्यः, यद्भिहिते विहितं न स्यात् It should not be read here, but it is read. This is the proper course not to enjoin anything in the śāstra which is already expressed by another.

अनिमिहितस्तु विभक्त्यर्थः तस्मादनिमिहितं वचनम्

Vibhaktyartha (ēkatva etc.) is anabhihita and hence is anabhihitē read.

अनिमहित्तस्तु विभनत्यर्थः Vibhaktyartha has not been expressed.

कः पुनर्विभवत्यर्थः ? What is vibhaktyartha?

एकत्वादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः । तेप्वनिभिहितेषु कर्माद्योऽभिहिता विभक्तीनामुलकौं निभिक्तत्वाय मा भूवित्रिति । तसाद् अनिभिहितवचनम् । तसाद् अनिभिहिताधिकारः कियते । अवस्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम् – एकत्वादयो विभक्त्यर्था इति ।

Ekatva etc. are vibhaktyarthas. When they are not expressed, it is not desired that the karmatva etc. expressed otherwise need not serve as nimitta to decide the vibhakti. Hence is the

necessity to read Anabhihitē. For the same reason anabhihitādhikāra is resorted to. It must necessarily be understood that ēlcatva etc. are vibhaktyarthas.

अभिहिते हि प्रथमाभावः

If it is taken as abhihita, there is no chance for prathamā.

यो हि मन्यते ' कर्मादयो विभक्तयर्थाः तेष्वभिहितेषु सामध्यन्मि विभक्तीनाः सुत्रिक्तिं भविष्यति ' इति, प्रथमा तस्य न प्रामोति

There is no chance for prathamā according to him who thinks that, on taking karmādis to be vibhaktyarthas and on their being expressed, there is no chance for other vibhaktis to appear through sāmarthya.

酥! Where?

रुषः, प्रश्नः In vṛkṣaḥ and plakṣaḥ.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

प्रातिपदिकेनोक्तः प्रातिपदिकार्थ इति

Since prātipadikārtha is expressed by prātipadika.

न कचित् पातिपदिकेनानुक्तः प्रातिपदिकार्थः । उच्यते च प्रथमा । सा वचनाद् भविष्यति । तवैत्र तु खल्तेप दोपः - यस्य ते एकत्रत्वादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः । अभिहिते प्रथमाभावः इति प्रथमा ते न प्राप्तोति

There is no place where prātipadikārtha is not expressed by prātipadika. Prathamā is enjoined and hence prathamā sets in on the strength of the injunction. But, on the other hand, the defect that was raised against me by you will appear in your case, who hold that ikatva etc. are ribhakty rethas. Prathamā will not appear since it is expressed.

西! Where?

पनत्योदनं देवदत्त इति

In the word Dreadallah found in the sentence Parali Manara Dreadallah,

किं कारणम् ? Why?

तिङोक्ता एकत्वादयः इति । अनभिहिताधिकारं च त्वं करोषि, परिगणनं च Since ēkatva atc. are expressed by tin. You read anabhi-hitādhikāra and parigaņana 1.

न कचित् तिङा एकत्वादीनामनभिधानम्, उच्यते च प्रथमा, सा वचनाद् भविष्यति

There is no place where $\bar{e}katva$ etc. are not expressed by tin; it is enjoined as $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rtha$... (2, 3, 46) and it makes its appearance on the strength of the injunction.

ननु चेशनभिधानं वृक्षः प्रक्ष इति

Oh, there will be anabhidhāna here, in vṛkṣaḥ, and plakṣaḥ.

अत्राप्यभिधानमस्ति There is abhidhana even here.

कथम् श How?

वक्ष्यत्येतत् - अस्तिर्भवन्तीपरः प्रथमपुरुषोऽप्रयुज्यमानोऽप्यस्ति इति । वृक्षः प्रक्षः, अस्तीति गम्यते । तवैव तु खल्वेष दोषो यस्य ते कर्मादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः, अभिहिते प्रथमाभाव इति, प्रथमा ते प्राप्तोति ।

He is going to say that the root as followed by lat, though not mentioned, may be taken as understood. With reference to vrksal and plaksal, asti is taken to be understood. This defect that $pratham\bar{a}$ will not appear will arise only to you who hold the view that karmatva etc. are the vibhaktyarthas and $pratham\bar{a}$ sets in, if karmatva etc. are expressed.

<table-of-contents>

転? Where?

कटं करोति भीष्ममुदारं शोभनं दर्शनीयम् इति - कटशब्दात् उत्पद्यमानया द्वितीयया अभिहितं कर्मेति कृत्वा भीष्मादिभ्यो द्वितीया न प्राप्तोति ।

Dvitīyā will have no chance to appear after the words bhīşma, udāra, śōbhana and darśanīya in the sentence kaṭam karōti bhīṣmam udāram śōbhanam darśanīyam, since karmatva is expressed by the dvitīyā which follows the stem kaṭa.

1. Pariganana is dealt with later on in the satra.

necessity to read Anabhihitē. For the same reason anabhihitādhikāra is resorted to. It must necessarily be understood that ēkatva etc. are vibhaktyarthas.

अभिहिते हि प्रथमाभावः

If it is taken as abhihita, there is no chance for prathamā.

यो हि मन्यते ' कर्मादशे विभक्त्यर्थाः तेष्वभिहितेषु सामर्थ्यान्मे विभक्तीनाः मुराचिर्न भविष्यति ' इति, प्रथमा तस्य न प्रामोति

There is no chance for prathamā according to him who thinks that, on taking karmādis to be vibhaktyarthas and on their being expressed, there is no chance for other vibhaktis to appear through sāmarthya.

時? Where?

रक्षः, प्रश्नः In vrksah and plaksah.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

पातिपदिकेनोक्तः पातिपदिकार्थ इति

Since prātipadikārtha is expressed by prātipadika.

न कचित् प्रातिपदिकेनानुक्तः प्रातिपदिकार्थः । उच्यते च प्रथमा । सा वचनाद् भविष्यति । तवेव तु खल्वेप दोषः - यम्य ते एकवस्वादयो विभवत्यर्थाः । अभिहिते प्रथमाभावः इति प्रथमा ते न प्रामोति

There is no place where prātipadikārtha is not expressed by prātipadika. Prathamā is enjoined and hence prathamā sets in on the strength of the injunction. But, on the other hand, the defect that was raised against me by you will appear in your case, who hold that that a etc. are thakty in thas. Prathamā will not appear, since it is expressed.

听! Where?

पचत्योदनं देवदन इति

In the word Decadatt the found in the sentence Parall Clausers Decadattale. किं कारणम् ? Why?

तिङोक्ता एकत्यादयः इति । अनिभिहिताधिकारं च त्वं करोषि, परिगणनं च Since ēkatva atc. are expressed by tin. You read anabhi-hitādhikāra and parigaṇana 1.

न कचित् तिङा एकत्वादीनामनिभधानम्, उच्यते च प्रथमा, सा वचनाद् भविष्यति

There is no place where $\bar{e}katva$ etc. are not expressed by tin; it is enjoined as $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rtha$... (2, 3, 46) and it makes its appearance on the strength of the injunction.

ननु चेशनिभधानं वृक्षः प्रक्ष इति

Oh, there will be anabhidhāna here, in vrkṣaḥ, and plakṣaḥ.

अत्राप्यभिधानमस्ति There is abhidhana even here.

कथम् श How ?

वक्ष्यत्येतत् - अस्तिर्भवन्तिपरः प्रथमपुरुषोऽप्रयुज्यमानोऽप्यस्ति इति । वृक्षः प्रक्षः, अस्तीति गम्यते । तवैव तु खल्वेष दोपो यस्य ते कर्मादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः, अमिहिते प्रथमाभाव इति, प्रथमा ते प्राप्नोति ।

He is going to say that the root as followed by lat, though not mentioned, may be taken as understood. With reference to vṛkṣaḥ and plakṣaḥ, asti is taken to be understood. This defect that prathamā will not appear will arise only to you who hold the view that karmatva etc. are the vibhaktyarthas and prathamā sets in, if karmatva etc. are expressed.

新? Where?

कटं करोति भीष्मसुदारं शोभनं दर्शनीयम् इति - कटशब्दात् उत्पद्यमानया द्वितीयया अभिहितं कर्मेति कृत्वा भीष्मादिभ्यो द्वितीया न प्राप्तोति ।

Dvitīyā will have no chance to appear after the words bhīṣma, udāra, śōbhana and darśanīya in the sentence kaṭam karōti bhīṣmam udāram śōbhanam darśanīyam, since karmatva is expressed by the dvitīyā which follows the stem kaṭa.

1. Pariganana is dealt with later on in the sūtra.

का तार्ह प्रामोति ? What will, then, have the chance to come ? प्रथमा । तद्यथा, कृतः कटो भीष्म उदारः शोभनो दर्शनीय इति करोते-रु:पद्यमानेन क्तेन अभिहितं कर्मेति कृत्या भीष्मादिभ्यो द्वितीया न भवति

 $Pratham\bar{a}$. It may be explained thus:—On taking that karma!va is expressed by the suffix ta after the root kr, $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ does not set in after the words $bh\bar{\imath}shma$ etc. in the sentence krtah $kat\bar{\imath}$ $bh\bar{\imath}sma$ $ud\bar{a}rah$ $s\bar{\imath}$ $bhan\bar{\imath}$ $darsan\bar{\imath}yah$.

का तिहें ? What, then, will come there?

प्रथमा Prathamā.

नैष दोषः । न हि ममानभिहिताधिकारोऽस्ति । नापि परिगणनम् । सामर्थ्यान्मे विभक्तीनामुत्पत्तिभीविष्यति, अस्ति च सामर्थ्यम्

This difficulty does not arise. For there is neither anabhihitādhikāra nor parigaṇana according to my hold. Vibhaktis appear, in my opinion, according to sāmarthya. There is sāmarthya.

किम्? What?

कर्मविशेषो वक्तव्यः Karmaviśēṣa has to be stated.

अथ वा करोऽपि कर्म, भीष्मादयोऽपि, तत्र कर्मणि इत्येव सिद्धम् Or, kaṭa is karma and bhīṣma etc. too are karma. Hence dvitīyā comes after each of them by the sūtra Karmaṇi dvitīyā itself.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa says that dvitīyā appears after each by associating it with karōti individually and the višēṣaṇa-višēṣya-

bhāva is afterwards resorted to by ēkavākyatā.

अथ वा कट एव कर्म, तत्सामानाधिकरण्याद् भीष्मादिभ्यो द्वितीया भविष्यति। Or kaṭa alone is karma and dvilīyā comes after bhīşmādis on account of their sāmānādhikaranya with kaṭa.

Note:— $K\tilde{a}iyala$ says that this solution arises on the assumption that dravya alone takes $karmasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and not guna.

अस्ति खल्पपि विशेषः - कटं करोति भीष्ममुदारं शोभनं दर्शनीयं इति च, कृतः कटः भीष्मः उदारः शोभनः दर्शनीय इति च - करोतेरुत्वयमानः क्तः अनवयवेन

सर्वं कर्माभिधत्ते, कटशब्दात् पुनरुत्वद्यमानया द्वितीयया यत् कटस्थं कर्म तत् शक्यः मभिधातुं न कर्मविशेषः

Besides there is some difference between the expressions, kaṭam karōti bhīṣmam udāram śōbhanam darśanīyam and kṛtaḥ kaṭaḥ bhīṣmaḥ udāraḥ śōbhanaḥ darśanīyaḥ. The kta which is added to the root kṛ takes all as its karma, while the second case suffix added to the word kaṭa can refer only to the karmatva in kaṭa and nothing else.

तवैव तु खळु एष दोषो यस्य ते एकत्वादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः, अभिहिते प्रथमाभावः इति प्रथमा ते न प्राम्नोति

This defect will arise only according to your theory that $\bar{\epsilon}katv\bar{a}dis$ are vibhaktyarthas. You will have no chance to use $pratham\bar{a}$, since it has been expressed.

事? Where?

एकः, द्वौ, बहवः इति In the words ēkaḥ, dvāu and bahavaḥ.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

प्रातिपदिकेनोक्ता एकत्वादय इति

Since ēkatva etc. has been expressed by the stem.

कर्मादिष्विप वै विभक्त्यर्थेषु, अवश्यम् एकत्वादयो निमित्तत्वेनोपादेयाः -कर्मण एकत्वे, कर्मणो द्वित्वे, कर्मणो बहुत्वे इति । न च एकत्वादीनामेकत्वादयः सन्ति । अथ सन्ति, ममापि सन्ति । तेष्वनभिहितेषु प्रथमा भविष्यति ।

Even when $karm\bar{a}dis$ are taken as vibhaktyartha, $\bar{e}katv\bar{a}dis$ have to be taken as their $viś\bar{e}ṣanas$ thus:-Karmana $\bar{e}katv\bar{e}$, $karman\bar{o}$ $dvitv\bar{e}$ and $karman\bar{o}$ $bahutv\bar{e}$. There cannot be $\bar{e}katv\bar{a}dis$ in $\bar{e}katv\bar{a}dis$. If they are in your opinion, they are also in my opinion. Since they are not expressed, $pratham\bar{a}$ sets in.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya!a$ says that $\bar{e}katva$, the meaning of the stem, is different from $\bar{e}katva$, the meaning of the suffix.

अथ वा उभयवचना होते द्रव्यं चाहुर्गुणं च । यस्थोऽसौ गुणः, तस्यानुक्ता एकत्वादय इति कृत्वा प्रथमा भविष्यति । Or these express both - dravya and guṇa. $Pratham\bar{a}$ sets in since the $\bar{\epsilon}katv\bar{a}dis$ of that (dravya) in which they are $apradh\bar{a}na$ are not expressed.

NOTE:—Kāiyaṭa says that dravya which is gunōpasarjana is expressed by the stem and the suffix expresses the guna which is dravyōpasarjana.

अथ वा सङ्ख्या नामेयं परप्रधाना, सङ्ख्येयमनया विशेष्यम् । यदि चात्र प्रथमा न स्थात् सङ्ख्येयमविशेषितं स्थात्

Or what is called sankhyā has another for its āśraya and sankhyēya is its višēṣya. If there is no prathamā here, sankhyēya will be left avišēsita.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaļa$ says that stem alone is never used and its meaning is the $vi\hat{s}\tilde{e}sya$ of the $sa\hat{n}khy\bar{a}$.

अथ वा वक्ष्यति तत्र वचनग्रहणस्य प्रयोजनम् - उक्तेष्वप्येकत्वादिषु प्रथमा यथा स्याद् इति ।

Or he is going to say (under 2, 3, 46) that the prayojana of reading vacana in that $s\bar{u}tra$ is that $pratham\bar{a}$ will be used even though $\bar{e}katra$ has been expressed.

अथ वा समयाद भविष्यति

Or it is used by the accepted principle (that neither the stem alone can be used without the pratyaya nor the pratyaya alone without prakrti.)

यदि सामयिकी, न नियोगतः, अन्याः कसान्न भवन्ति! If it is through samaya and not through niyama, how is it that other vibhaktis do not make their appearance there?

कर्मादीनामभावात्

(There is no chance for others to set in,) since karmādis do not exist.

पधी तर्हि पामोति In that case şaşthī has a chance.

श्रेपरुक्षणा पष्टी, अश्रेपत्वान भविष्यति Şaṣṭhī is enjoined with reference to that which is other than karmādis and prātipadikārtha and it does not set in here in the absence of śēsatva.

एवमपि व्यतिकरः पामोति - एकस्मिन्नपि द्विवचनबहुवचने प्राप्नुतः, द्वयो-प्येकवचनबहुवचने प्राप्नुत·, बहुष्वपि एकवचनद्विवचने प्राप्नुतः

Even then there is chance for one to be used in place of another—Dual and plural suffixes may be used even to denote one object; singular and plural suffixes may be used even to lenote two objects; and singular and dual suffixes may be used to denote many.

अर्थतो व्यवस्था भविष्यति

It will be determined by $sar{a}$ marthya.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here that the need of the $s\bar{u}tra$ is established on the tenet that $sankhyar{a}$ is vibhaktyartha.

परिगणनं कर्तव्यम् There is need to make an inventory.

तिङ्कुचद्धितसमासैः परिसङ्ख्यानम्

Specification through tin, krt, taddhita and samāsa.

तिङ्क्तच्छितसमासैः परिसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् तिङ् - कियते कटः । कृत् - कृतः

कटः । तद्धितः - औपगवः, कापटवः । समासः - चित्रगुः, ज्ञबलगुः

It must be specified that the abhidhana is made by tin, krt, taddhita and samāsa, as in kriyatē kaṭaḥ (tin); krtaḥ kaṭaḥ (krt); Aupagavah and Kāpaļavah (taddhita); Citraguh and Śabalaguh (samāsa).

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $sasthar{\imath}$ does not appear in āupagava, since the taddhita suffix expresses the relation of apatya and apatyavān and in Citragu, since the samāsa expresses the relation of sva-svāmitva-bhāva in case anabhihitē is taken as adhikāra.

उत्सर्गे हि प्रातिपदिकसामानाधिकरण्ये विभक्तिवचनम्

Need for the injunction of the vibhakti with reference to those which are samānādhikaraņa with the stem in case anabhihitē is taken as adhikāra.

उत्सर्गे हि प्रातिपदिकसामानाधिकरण्ये विभक्तिर्वक्तव्या

lf Anabhihitē is taken as adhikāra, there is need to enjoin the vibhakti which is to be used along with the words which are samānādhikaraņa with the stem.

क! Where?

कटं करोति भीष्ममुदारं शोभनं दर्शनीयमिति । कटशब्दाद् उत्पद्यमानया द्वितीयया अभिहितं कर्मेति कृत्वा भीष्मादिभ्यो द्वितीया न प्राप्ताति । In the sentence kaṭam karōli bhīṣmam udāram śōbhanam darśanīyam. Dvitīyā has on chance to be used after the words bhīṣma etc. on the understanding that karmatva has been expressed by the dvitīyā used after the word kaṭa.

का तर्हि स्यात् ? What case will then appear? पष्टी Ṣaṣṭhī.

शेषरुक्षणा षष्ठी, अशेषत्वान्न भविष्यति । अन्या अपि न प्राप्नुवन्ति Ṣaṣṭhī is enjoined with reference to śēṣa and śēṣatva does not exist here. Other cases too may not appear.

किं कारणम्? Why?

कर्मादीनामभावात् On account of the absence of karmatva etc.

समयश्च कृतः - न केवला प्रकृतिः प्रयोक्तन्या, न च केवलः प्रत्ययः इति । न चान्या उत्पद्यमाना एतमिसस्यन्धमुत्सहन्ते वनतुमिति कृत्वा द्वितीया भविष्यति । There is the tenet that neither prakṛti alone nor pratyaya alone should be used. Second case suffix is used there, since other suffixes cannot express the desired relation between them and kaṭa.

अथ वा कटोऽपि कर्म भीष्मादयोऽपि, तत्र कर्मणि इत्येव सिद्धम् Or kata is karma and bhīṣmādis too; dvitīyā sets in there on the strength of the sūtra Karmaņi dvitīyā.

अथ वा कट एव कर्म, तत्सामानाधिकरण्याद् भीष्मादिभ्यो द्वितीया भविष्यति Or kaļa alone is karma and dvilīyā is used after bhīṣmādis on account of their sāmānādhikaranya with kaļa.

तसान्नार्थः परिगणनेन

Hence no purpose is served by pariganana. द्वयो: क्रिययो: कारकेऽन्यतरेणाभिहिते विभक्सभावग्रसङ्घः

Vibhakti has no chance after the noun denoting the dravya if its meaning is expressed by the pratyaya after one of the two krivās.

क ? Where?

प्रासादे आस्ते, शयने आस्ते इति

In the sentences Prāsādē āstē and śayanē āstē.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

सदिपत्ययेन अभिहितमधिकरणमिति कृत्वा सप्तमी न भवति

Taking that adhikaranatva is expressed by the pratyaya ghañ after the root sad, saptamī cannot be used after prāsāda.

न वान्यतरेणानभिधानात

No, since it is not expressed by the pratyaya after another.

न वैष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अन्यतरेणानभिधानात् । अन्यतरेणात्रानभिधानम्; सदिपत्ययेनाभिधानम्, असिपत्ययेनानभिधानम् ; यतोऽनभिधानं तदाश्रया सप्तमी भविष्यति ।

On account of its not being expressed by one of the two. There is anabhidhāna by one of the two; there is abhidhāna by the pratyaya after sad, but there is no abhidhana by the pratyaya after $\bar{a}s$; since there is anabhidh \bar{a} na by one, saplam \bar{i} depending upon it, appears.

कुतो नु खल्वेतत् सत्यभिधाने चानभिधाने चानभिहिताश्रया सप्तभी भविष्यति, न पुनरभिहिताश्रयः प्रतिषेध इति ?

What is the authority sanctioning that, in the presence of abhidhāna and anabhidhāna, the saptamī depending anabhidhāna sets in and the pratiṣēdha of the same depending upon abhidhāna does not appear?

अनभिहिते हि विधानम्

For there is vidhāna in the presence of anabhidhāna.

अन्मिहिते हि सप्तमी विधीयते, नामिहिते प्रतिषेधः

For there is the injunction of saptamī when there is anabhidhāna and there is no pratiṣēdha when there is abhidhāna.

यद्यपि तावदत्रैतच्छक्यते ववतुं यत्रान्या चान्या च किया, यत तु खल्ल सैव किया तत्र कथम् - आसने आस्ते, शयने शेते

Even though such an answer can be given when there are two different $kriy\bar{a}s$, what answer can be given when the two $kriy\bar{a}s$ are the same, as in the sentences $\bar{A}san\bar{e}$ $\bar{a}sl\bar{e}$ and $\hat{s}ayan\bar{e}$ $\hat{s}\bar{e}l\bar{e}$?

अत्राप्यन्यत्वमस्ति Even here one is different from the other. कुत: ! How?

कारुमेदात् साधनभेदाच । एकस्य अत्र आसेः आसिस्साधनं, सर्वकारुश्च प्रत्ययः । अपरस्य वाह्यं साधनं, वर्तमानकारुश्च प्रत्ययः

On account of $k\bar{a}labh\bar{e}da$ and $s\bar{a}dhanabh\bar{e}da$. For one the meaning of the root $\bar{a}s$ is $s\bar{a}dhana$ and the pratyaya denotes all time and for another the $s\bar{a}dhana$ is the meaning of the word other than the verb (like $D\bar{e}vadatta$) and the pratyaya denotes the present time.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the words $\bar{a}sana$, sayana etc. denote only the object and case-suffix after them is necessary to denote its relation with the following verb.

कि पुनर्द्रव्यं साधनमाहोस्विद् गुणः? Is dravya sādhana or guṇa?

Note: - Kāiyaṭa says that śakti is referred to by guṇa.

किं चातः ! What if, if it is either ?

यदि द्रव्यं साधनं नैतदन्यत् भवत्यभिहितात् । अश्र हि गुणः साधनं, भवत्येतद् अन्यद् अभिहितात् । अन्यो हि सदिगुणः, अन्यश्च असिगुणः ।

If dravya is sādhana, it does not become different from the abhihita; if, on the other hand, guṇa is sādhana, it is different from the abhihita. For sadi-guṇa is one and āsi-guṇa is another.

कि पुनः साधनं न्याय्यम् ?

Which is legitimate to be taken as sādhana?

गुण इत्याह Guna, says he.

कथं ज्ञायते ? How is it arrived at?

एवं हि कश्चित् कञ्चित् पृच्छति - क देवदत्त इति । स तस्मै आचष्टे - असौ वृक्षे इति । कतरस्मिन्? यस्तिष्ठति इति । स वृक्षोऽधिकरणं भूत्वा अन्येन शब्देनाभि-सम्बध्यमानः कर्ता सम्पद्यते । द्रव्ये पु ः साधने सति यत्कर्म कर्मैव स्यात्, यत्करणं करणमेव, यद्धिकरणमधिकरणमेव ।

One asks another, "Where is Dēvadalta?" He replies to him, "He is on the tree." "In which?" "On that which stands." The tree which was adhikaraṇa becomes $kart\bar{a}$ when it is associated with another word. If sādhana is taken to be dravya, that which was karma will ever remain karma, that which was karana will ever remain karana and that which was adhikarana will ever remain adhikarana.

अनभिहितवचनमनर्थकं प्रथमाविधानस्थानवकाशत्वात

Reading of anabhihitādhikāra is unnecessary, on account of the prathamā which has been enjoined not having room to operate.

अनभिहितवचनमनर्थकम्

Reading of Anabhihitē serves no purpose.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

प्रथमाविधानस्यानवकाश्रत्वात् । अनवकाशा प्रथमा, सा वचनाद् भविष्यति On account of $pratham\bar{a}$ which is enjoined not having room to operate. Prathamā is anavakāśā and it must be used since it is enjoined.

सावकाशा प्रथमा ? Prathamā has room to operate.

कोऽनकाशः ? What is the avakāśa?

अकारकम् - वृक्षः प्रक्षः इति

Isolated word like vrksah and plaksah.

अवकाशोऽकारकमिति चेन्न, आस्तिर्भवन्तीपरः प्रथमपुरुपोऽप्रयुज्यमानोऽप्यस्ति It is not right to say that akāraka is arakāša, since the root as followed by lat is understood after the nouns in third person.

अवकाशोऽकारकमिति चेत्, तन्न

If it is said that akāraka is avakāśa, it is not correct.

कि कारणम् Why?

अस्तिर्भवन्तीपरः प्रथमपुरुषोऽपयुज्यमानोऽप्यस्ति इति गम्यते - वृक्षः प्रश्नः अस्ति इति गम्यते

It is taken that the root as followed by lat is understood after the words of third person. When vṛkṣaḥ or plakṣaḥ alone is read, it is taken to mean vrksah asti or plaksah asti.

विप्रतिपेधाद्वा प्रथमाभावः

Or the presence of prathamā through vipratiṣēdha.

अथ वा द्वितीयादयः कियन्तां प्रथमा वा इति, प्रथमा भविष्यति विप्रतिषेधेन । द्वितीयादीनामवकाशः - कटं करोति भीष्मम् उदारं शोभनं दर्शनीयम् इति । प्रथमाया अवकाशः, अकारकं वृक्षः ष्ठश्च इति । इहोभयं प्राप्नोति - कृतः कटो भीष्म उदारः शोभनो दर्शनीय इति । प्रथमा भविष्यति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Or when doubt arises whether to use dvitīyādis or prathamā, prathamā sets in through vipratiṣēdha. Kaṭam karōti bhīṣmam udāram śōbhanam darśanīyam is the avakāśa for dvitīyādis. Vṛkṣaḥ and plakṣaḥ, which are akārakas are the avakāśa for prathamā. Both may operate in kṛtaḥ kaṭō bhīṣma udāraḥ śōbhanō darśanīyaḥ and prathamā sets in through vipratiṣēdha.

न सिध्यति, परत्वात् षष्ठी प्राप्नोति

No, it cannot be accomplished; sasthī being para, will set in.

शेपलक्षणा पष्ठी, अशेपत्वाच भविष्यति

Ṣaṣṭhī is enjoined to śēṣa and it does not set in on account of this not coming within the purview of śēṣatva.

कृत्वयोगे तु परं विधानं पष्ट्यास्तत्प्रतिपेधार्थम्

To prohibit saṣṭhī which has a chance in kṛt-prayōga being para.

कृत्पयोगे तु परत्वात् पष्टी प्राप्नोति । तत्प्रतिषेधार्थमनभिहिताधिकारः कर्तव्यः -कर्तव्यः कट इति

कुत्पयोगे तु परत्वात् षष्ठी प्राप्तोति । तत्प्रतिषेघार्थमनभिहिताधिकारः कर्तव्यः - कर्तव्यः कट इति

There is chance for ṣaṣṭhī, being para, in kṛtprayōga. Anabhihitādhikāra is necessary to prohibit it so that the expression kartavyah katah can be accomplished.

स कथं कर्तव्यः?

Under which circumstances should it be done?

यदि एकत्वादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः

If ēkatva etc. are the meanings of vibhakti.

अथ हि कर्मादयो विभक्त्यर्था नार्थोऽनभिहिताधिकारेण

If, on the other hand, karmādis are vibhaktyarthas, no purpose is served by anabhihitādhikāra.

कर्मणि द्वितीया (2, 3, 2)

समयानिकवाहायोगेषूपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to supplement that $dvitar{\imath}yar{a}$ takes place in association with the words $samay\bar{a}$, $nikas\bar{a}$, and $h\bar{a}$.

समयानिकषाहायोगेषूपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - समया त्रामम्, निकषा त्रामम्, हा देवदत्तम्, हा यज्ञदत्तम्

There is need for $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ of $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ in association with samayā, nikaṣā and hā. Viz. Samayā grāmam, nikaṣā grāmam, $har{a}$ Dēvadattam and $har{a}$ Yaj $ilde{n}$ ıdattam.

अपर आह - Another says:

द्वितीयाविधानेऽभितःपरितःसमयानिकपाध्यधिधिग्योगेषूपसङ्ख्यानम्

With reference to $dvitar{\imath}yar{a}\text{-}vidhar{a}na$, there is need for upasankhyāna in association with abhitah, paritah, samayā, nikaṣā, adhyadhi and dhik.

द्वितीयाविधानेऽभितःपरितःसमयानिकपाध्यधिघिग्योगेपूपसङ्ख्यानं अभितो ग्रामम्, परितो ग्रामम्, समया ग्रामम्, निकषा ग्रामम्, अध्यधि ग्रामम्, धिग् जाल्मम्, धिग् वृपलम्

There is need to supplement that $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ appears in association with abhitah, paritah and $samay\bar{a}$, $nikas\bar{a}$, adhyadhi and dhik. Viz. $abhit\bar{o}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$, $parit\bar{o}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$, $samay\bar{a}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$, $nikas\bar{a}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$, adhyadhi $gr\bar{a}mam$, dhig $j\bar{a}lmam$ and dhig vrsalam.

अपर आह Another says:

उभसर्वतसोः कार्या थिगुपर्यादिषु त्रिषु । द्वितीयाम्रेडितान्तेषु ततोऽन्यत्रापि दृश्यते ॥

Dvitīyā is seen after ubhayataḥ, sarvataḥ, dhik, uparyupari, adhyadhi, adhōdhaḥ and elsewhere.

उभर्सवहत्येताभ्यां तसन्ताभ्यां योगे द्वितीया वक्तज्या - उभयतो ग्रामम्, सर्वतो ग्रामम् । धिग्योगे - धिग् जाल्मम्, धिग् वृषलम् । उपयोदिषु त्रिप्वान्नेडि-तान्तेषु द्वितीया वक्तज्या - उपर्थुपरि ग्रामम्, अध्यधि ग्रामम्, अधोधो ग्रामम् । तत्तोऽन्यत्रापि दृश्यते - न देवदत्तं प्रतिभाति किञ्चित्, बुभुक्षितं न प्रतिभाति किञ्चित् Dvitīyā should be enjoined in association with the stem ubha and sarva followed by tas. Viz. Ubhayatō grāmam and sarvatō grāmam; in association with dhik viz. dhig jālmam and dhig vṛṣalam; in association with upari etc. in reduplication viz. uparyupari grāmam, adhyadhi grāmam, adhōdhō grāmam. It is seen elsewhere too viz. Na Dēvadattam pratibhāti kiñcit, bubhukṣitam na pratibhāti kiñcit.

तृतीया च होश्छन्दिस (2, 3, 3)

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ? Why is this sūtra read?

तृतीया यथा स्यात्

So that the third case suffix may be used.

अथ द्वितीया सिद्धा ?

Has the use of the second case suffix been sanctioned.?

सिद्धा Yes, it has been sanctioned.

कथम् ? By which ?

कर्मणि इत्येव Evidently by the sutra Karmani dvitiya.

तृतीयापि सिद्धा भवति $Trtiv\bar{a}$, too, has been sanctioned. कथम् ? By which ?

सुपां सुपो भवन्ति इत्येव By the vārttika Supām supā bhavanti.

असत्येतस्मिन् सुपां सुपो भवन्ति इति, तृतीयार्थोऽयनारम्भः - यवाग्वा अमिहोत्रं जुहोति ।

This sūtra was read to sanction $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ before the $v\bar{a}rttika$ Supām supā bhavanti was read. Viz. $yav\bar{a}gv\bar{a}$ agnihātram juhāti.

एवं तर्हि तृतीयापि सिद्धा If so, $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ too has been sanctioned. कथम् शिक्ष ?

कर्तृकरणयोरित्येव । अयमिश्रहोत्रशन्दोऽस्त्येव ज्योतिषि वर्तते ; तद्यथा अग्निहोत्रं जुहोति इति । अग्निहोत्रं प्रज्वितम् इति । अग्निहोत्रं वर्तते ; तद्यथा अग्निहोत्रं जुहोति इति । जुहोतिश्च अस्त्येव प्रक्षेपणे वर्तते, अस्ति प्रीणात्यर्थे वर्तते । तद्यदा तावद् यवागूशन्दात् तृतीया तदा अग्निहोत्रश्चन्दो ज्योतिषि वर्तते जुहोतिश्च प्रीणात्यर्थे । तद्यथा - यवाग्वा अग्निहोत्रं जुहोति, अग्नि प्रीणाति । यदा यवागूशन्दाद् द्वितीया तदा अग्निहोत्रं जुहोति, अग्नि प्रीणाति । यदा यवागूशन्दाद् द्वितीया तदा अग्निहोत्रशन्दो हिविषि वर्तते, जुहोतिश्च प्रक्षेपणे ; तद्यथा यवागूमिश्नहोत्रं जुहोति - यवागूं हिवरमो प्रक्षिपति ।

Evidently by the sūtra Kartṛkaraṇayōs tṛtīyā. This word agnihōtra denotes flame, as in Agnihōtram prajvalitam; and denotes offering, as in Agnihōtram juhōti. Hū in juhōti means to throw and also to please. If tṛtīyā is used after yavāgū, to throw and also to please. If tṛtīyā is used after yavāgū, agnihōtra means flame and juhōti means pleases, so that yavāgvā agnihōtram juhōti means 'he pleases agni with yavāgū' yavāgvā agnihōtram juhōti means offering and If dvitīyā is used after yavāgū, agnihōtra means offering and juhōti means throws, so that yavāgūm agnihōtram juhōti means juhōti means in fire the offering of yavāgū'.

Note:—Nāgēšabhaṭṭa clearly explains how this view differs from that of Jāimini regarding Agnihōtram juhōti.

अन्तरान्तरेण युक्ते (2, 3, 4)

इह कसान्न भवति - किं ते वाभवशालक्षायनानामन्तरेण गतेन ? इति

Why does not the sūtra operate here:-Kim tē Bābhravašā-lankāyanānām antarēna gatēna? 1

लक्षणपतिपदोक्तयोः प्रतिपदोक्तस्येव प्रहणम् इति ।

On the strength of the paribhāṣā-Lakṣaṇa-pratipadōktayōk pratipadōktasyāiva grahaṇam.

Note:—Antarēṇa in the sūtra is nipāta and hence pratipadēkta and that before gatēna is the third case of antara and hence $l\bar{a}k$ ṣaṇika.

अथ वा यद्यपि तावद् अयम् अन्तरेणशब्दो दृष्टापचारो निपातश्च अनिपातश्च ; अयं तु खल्वन्तराशब्दो अदृष्टापचारः निपात एव । तस्यास्य कोऽन्यो द्वितीयः सहायो भवितुमहिति अन्यद् अतो निपातात् । तद्यथा अस्य गोद्वितीयेनार्थः इति गौरेव आनीयते, नाश्चो न गर्दभः ॥

Or, even though this word $antar\bar{e}na$ is found current both as $nip\bar{a}ta$ and $anip\bar{a}ta$, this word $antar\bar{a}$ is invariably used only as $nip\bar{a}ta$. Which word other than a $nip\bar{a}ta$ can be used in association with it in a sentence? When the sentence Asya $g\bar{o}r$ $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{e}n\bar{a}rthah$ (second to this bull is needed), it is the bull and not a horse or a donkey that is brought.

अन्तरान्तरेण युक्तानामप्रधानवचनम्

Reading of apradhānē with reference to those in association with antarā and antarēna.

अन्तरान्तरेण युक्तानामप्रधानप्रहणं कर्तन्यम्, अप्रधाने द्वितीया भवतीति वक्तन्यम् = अन्तरा त्वां मां च कमण्डलुः इति, कमण्डलोद्धितीया मा भृद् इति The word apradhānē should be read in the sūlra as the visēṣaṇa of antarāntarēṇa yuktē, so that dvitīyā appears after apradhāna, as in Antarā tvām mām ca kamanḍaluḥ and not after kamaṇḍalu.

Note:—Kāiyaļa says that the meanings of yuşmad and asmad are apradhāna, they being višēṣaṇa, and kamaṇḍalu is pradhāna, it being višēṣya.

^{1.} It means this:—What do you gain by knowing in detail about Biblicai iillankäyanas f

कः पुनरेताभ्यां कमण्डलोर्योगः ?

Which is the bond connecting these two with kamandalu?

यत्तत् त्वां च मां च अन्तरा तत् कमण्डलोः स्थानम्

The space between you and me is the place for kamandalu.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It has to be read then.

न वक्तन्यम् No, it need not be read.

कमण्डलोर्द्वितीया कस्मान्न भवति ?

Why will not dvitīyā be suffixed to kamaṇḍalu?

उपपद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिर्वेलीयसी इति प्रथमा भविष्यति

 $Prathamar{a}$ is suffixed to it on the strength of the $paribhar{a}sar{a}$ - $Upapadavibhaktar{\epsilon}h\ kar{lpha}rakavibhaktir\ balar{\imath}yasar{\imath}.$

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya$ takes the nominative singular suffix after it, it being the subject of asti (understood).

कालाध्वनोरत्यन्तसंयोगे (2, 3, 5)

अत्यन्तसंयोगे कर्मवल्लाद्यर्थम्

Need to add karmavat at the end of the sūtra for the sake of lādi.

अत्यन्तसंयोगे कालाध्वानो कर्मवद्भवत इति वक्तव्यम्

There is need to enjoin that $k\bar{a}la$ and adhvan behave like karma in close association.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

लाद्यर्थम् लादिभिरभिधानं यथा स्यात् 1 - आस्यते मासः, शय्यते कोशः । For the sake of lakāra, etc. So that there may be abhidhāna by lakāra etc. to enable it have karmaņi-prayoga as in Āsyatē māsaļi and šayyatē krāšaļi.

अथ वत्करणं किमर्थम्! What for is, then, vat?

^{1.} Lādibhirabhihitē dritīyā mā bhūt is another reading. This is noted in the Uddyöla.

स्वाश्रयमपि यथा स्यात् - आस्यते मासम्, शय्यते कोशम् । अकर्मकाणां भावे लो भवति इति भावे लो यथा स्यात् ।

So that the operation based on its original nature also may appear. So that $lak\bar{a}ra$ in $bh\bar{a}v\bar{e}$ may appear in the expressions $\bar{A}syat\bar{e}$ $m\bar{a}sam$ and $\hat{s}ayyat\bar{e}$ $kr\bar{o}\hat{s}am$ on the strength of $Akarmak\bar{a}v\bar{a}m$ $bh\bar{a}v\bar{e}$ $l\bar{o}$ bhavati.

तत्तर्हि वक्तज्यम् It must, then, be so enjoined.

न वक्तव्यम् । प्राक्ततमेवैतरकर्म, यथा कटं करोति शकटं, करोतिं इति It need not be enjoined. The karma, here, is only prakṛta (i.e.) īpsitatama, as in kaṭam karōti and śakaṭam karōti.

एवं मन्यते, यत्र कश्चित् कियाकृतो विशेष उपजायते, तद् न्याय्यं कर्मेति । न चेह कश्चित् क्रियाकृतो विशेष उपजायते ।

Suppose one thinks that the legitimate karma is only that which has undergone some modification through kriyā. Such a modification is not seen here.

नैवं शक्यम् । इह हि दोपः स्यात् - आदित्यं पश्यिति, हिमवन्तं शृणोति, आमं गच्छिति इति । तस्मात् पाकृतमेव एत्हकर्म, यथा कटं करोति शकटं करोति इति । lt is not correct to think so; for difficulty will arise here in Adiryam pasyati, Himavantam srnāti and grāmam gacchati since the objects of the verbs pasyati, srnāti and gacchaļi have not undergone any modification. Hence this karma is only prākrta, as in kaṭam karāti and sakaṭam karāti.

यदि तर्हि प्राकृतमेवैतस्कर्म, अकर्मकाणां भावे हो भवति इति भावे हो न प्राप्तोति, आस्पते मासं देवदत्तेन इति

If it is then evidently prākṛta-karma, it is not possible for it to have bhāvē-prayōga — Asyatē māsam Dēvadattēna on the strength of the statement akarmakāṇām bhāvē lē bhavati.

तचों वक्तज्यम The sutra then has to be so modified.

न यक्तस्यम् । अकर्मकाणाम् इत्युच्यते, न च केचित् कालभावादिभिः रकर्मकास्त एवं विज्ञास्यामः - फचिद्यं अकर्मका इति No, it need not. $Akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gati-buddhi... (1, 4, 52). None is discerned to be akarmaka on the basis of $k\bar{a}la$ and $bh\bar{a}va$; hence we take them to be akarmakas on the basis of something else (like dravya).

अथ वा येन कर्मणा सकर्मकाश्च अकर्मकाश्च भवन्ति, तेन अकर्मकाणाम्, न वैतेन कर्मणा कश्चिद्य्यकर्मकः

Or $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ refers to those alone which become sakarmakas if they take a particular object and akarmakas if they do not take it and none is akarmaka in its association with $k\bar{a}la$ and adhvan.

अथ वा यत्कर्म भवति, न च भवति, तेनाकर्मकाणाम्, न चैतत् कर्म

Or akarmakāṇām has reference with that alone which sometimes serves as karma and which sometimes does not serve as karma. Nowhere is kāla or adhvan not a karma.

न तहींदानीमिदं सूतं वक्तव्यम् In that case this sūtra need not be read.

वक्तव्यं च This has to be read.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why ?

यत्राक्रिययात्यन्तसंयोगस्तद्र्थं, कोशं क्रुटिला नदी, कोशं रमणीया वनराजिः

It is for the sake of the instances where there is atyanta-samyōja with reference to non-kriyā, as in $kr\bar{o}\dot{s}am$ kuṭilā nadī and $kr\bar{o}\dot{s}am$ ramaṇīyā nadī.

अपवर्गे तृतीया (2, 3, 6)

क्रियापवर्गे

Apavargē, in the sūlra, should be replaced by kriyāpavargē.

क्रियापवर्ग इति वक्तव्यम्, साधनापवर्गे मा भृत् - मासमधीतो अनुवाकः, न चानेन गृहीतः इति

Kriyāpavargē must be read in the sūtra, so that it may not take place when there is sūdhanāpavarga, as in Māšam adhītē anuvākaļ, na ca anēna gṛhītaḥ. (The anuvāka was

studied by him for a month without break, but it is not made his own.)

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ explains that $kriy\bar{a}pavarga$ (the end of the effort) takes place only on reaching the fruit aimed at and $s\bar{a}dhan\bar{a}pavarga$ may take place whether the goal is reached or not.

सप्तमीपश्चम्यौ कारकमध्ये (2, 3 7)

क्रियामध्ये Need to read kriyāmadhyē.

कियामध्य इति वक्तन्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात् - अद्य देवदत्तो भुक्त्वा च्यहाद् भोक्ता, व्यहे भोक्ता । कारकमध्ये इतीयत्युच्यमाने इतेव स्यात् - इहस्योऽय-मिष्यासः कोशाल्लक्ष्यं विध्यति, कोशे लक्ष्यं विध्यति । यं च विध्यति यतश्च विध्यति उभयोक्तन्मध्यं भवति ।

Kriyāmadhyē has to be read so that the sūlra may operate here also—Adya Dēvadattō bhuktvā dvyahād bhōktā, dvyahē bhōktā. If it is read kārakamadhyē, the sūlra will apply only here:— Ihasthō ayam işvāsaḥ krōśād lahṣyam vidhyati, krōśē lukṣyam vidhyati (Archer strikes at the target at a distance of krōśa). Madhya is that which is between the target and the place of archer.

तर्तीह वक्तज्यम् It, then, has to be so read.

न वक्तन्यम् ; नान्तरेण साधनं क्रियायाः प्रवृत्तिर्भवति । क्रियामध्यं चेत् कारकमध्यमपि भवति । तत्र कारकमध्ये इत्येव सिद्धम्

No, it need not be so read. Kriyā cannot have its course without kāraka (śakti). Kriyāmadhya is possible only in the presence of kāraka madhya too. Hence the object is achieved by reading kārakamadhyē in the sūtra.

कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते दितीया (2, 3, 8)

कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते प्रत्यादिभिश्व लक्षणादिष्य्पसङ्ख्यानं सप्तमीपञ्चम्योः प्रतिपेषार्थम

There is need for the upasankhyāna of karmapravacanīyayuktē prayādibisca lakṣaṇādiṣu so that the following forms can be secured: -vṛkṣam prati vidyōtatē ridyut, vṛkṣam pari vidyōtatē

vidyut, vrkṣam anu vidyōtatē vidyut. Sādhur Dēvadattō mātaram prati, Sādhur Dēvadattō mātaram pari, Sādhur Dēvadattō mātaram anu.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

सप्तभीपञ्चम्योः प्रतिषेधार्थम् । सप्तमीपञ्चम्यौ मा भूतामिति, साधुनिपुणाभ्या-मर्चायां सप्तमीति सप्तमी, पञ्चम्यपाङ्परिभिरिति पञ्चमी

To prohibit saptamī and pañcamī. So that saptamī and pañcamī do not appear there, the saptamī being enjoined by Sādhunipuṇābhyām arcāyām saptamī (2, 3, 43), and the pañcamī being enjoined by Pañcamyapān paribhih (2, 3, 10)).

तत्रायमप्यर्थ: - अप्रतेरिति न वक्तन्यम् भवति
This, too, is an additional benefit that the word aprateh need not be read (in 2, 3, 43).

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It, then, should be read as a supplement. न वक्तन्यम् । उक्तं वा No, it need not. It has been answered.

किमुक्तम् How has it been answered?

एकत्र तावदुक्तम् अप्रतेरिति । इतरत्रापि यद्यपि तावदयं परिः दृष्टापचारो वर्जने चावर्जने च, अयं खल्वपश्रद्धोऽदृष्टापचारो वर्जनार्थ एव । तस्य कोऽन्यो द्वितीयः सहायो भवितुमहीत अन्यद्तो वर्जनार्थात् । तद्यथा अस्य गोद्धितीयेनार्थ इति गौरेव आनीयते. नाश्चो न गर्दभः ॥

Prati in apratēh in 2, 3, 43 is taken as upalakṣaṇa. Even though this pari is used sometimes in the sense of varjana and sometimes in the sense of avarjana, this apa is invariably used in the sense of varjana. Which else can be associated with it (apa) other than that which has the meaning of varjana? When it is said that one needs an associate to a bull, a bull alone is brought and neither a horse nor a donkey.

यसादधिकं यस चेश्वरवचनं तत्र सप्तमी (2, 3, 9)

कथिमदं विज्ञायते - यस्य च ऐश्वर्य ईश्वरता ईश्वरभावः तस्मात् कर्मप्रवचनीय-युक्ताद् इति, आहोस्विद् यस्य स्वस्य ईश्वरः तस्मात् कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ताद् इति ?

^{1.} Cf. Vol. IV p. 277.

How is this - yasya īśvaravacanam tatra—to be interpreted, 'after that which is karmapravacanīyayukta and which is endowed with īśvaratā (lordship)' or 'after that which is karmapravacanīyayukta and which has a lord over it'?'

कश्चाल विशेषः? What is the difference here?

यस चेश्वरवचनिमित कर्तृनिर्देशश्वेदवचनात सिद्धम्

If the stem yad in yasya refers to the lord, the object is achieved without this sūtra.

यस्य चेश्वरवचनमिति कर्तृनिर्देशश्चेत् अन्तरेण वचनं सिद्धम् – अधिब्रह्मदत्ते पञ्चालाः, आधृतास्ते तस्मिन् भवन्ति

If yad in yasya refers to the lord, the object is achieved without the $s\bar{u}tra$. In the expression Adhi Brahmadattē $Pa\bar{u}c\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$, he becomes $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra$ and they become $\bar{a}dh\bar{e}ya$ (and seventh case after Brahmadatta is secured by saptamyadhi-karanē ca 2, 3, 36).

सत्यमेवमेतत् - नित्यं परिमहीतव्यं परिमहीत्रधीनं भवति lt is certainly so that subjects are always under the lord.

प्रथमानुपपत्तिस्तु The impropriety of prathamā also.

प्रथमा नोपपद्यते

It is not proper to have nominative case-suffix.

कुतः? After what?

पञ्चारेभ्यः After the word pañcāla.

का तर्हि स्यात्! Which case-suffix will then be?

पष्टीसप्तम्यौ, स्वामीश्वराधिपती दायाद इति

The sixth and seventh case-suffixes will appear on the strength of the sūtra Svāmīśvarādhipati ... (2, 3, 39).

न तत्र अधिशहद: पट्यते The word adhi is not read there.

यद्यपि न पट्यते, अधिरीश्वरवाची

Even though it is not read, the word adhi means isvara.

न तत्र पर्यायवचनानां अहणं भवति

The synonyms of words read there are not taken as targets.

कथं ज्ञायते ? How is it known?

यदयं कस्यचित् पर्यायवचनस्य ग्रहणं करोति - अधिपतिदायादेति Since he (Sūtrakāra) reads certain synonyms like svāmī, īśvara, adhipati etc. together (in 2, 3, 39).

षष्ठी तर्हि प्रामोति If so, sasthī may set in.

शेषलक्षणा षष्ठी, अशेषत्वाच भविष्यति

Ṣaṣṭhī is with reference to $\dot{s}\bar{e}$ ṣa and since there is no $\dot{s}\bar{e}$ ṣatva, it may not appear.

द्वितीया तर्हि प्रामोति - कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति

If so, dvitīyā may set in by Karmapravacanīyayuktē dvitīyā.

सप्तम्योक्तत्वात्तस्यार्थस्य द्वितीया न भविष्यति

Since that meaning is expressed by $saptam\bar{\imath}$, $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ has no chance.

भवेद्योऽधेर्वह्मदत्तस्य चाभिसम्बन्धः स सप्तम्योक्तः स्याद्, यस्तु खळु अधेः पञ्चालानां चाभिसम्बन्धः तत्र द्वितीया प्रामोति ।

If adhi is related to Brahmadatta, it may be expressed by $saptam\bar{\imath}$; but if it is related to $Pa\tilde{\imath}c\bar{a}la$, $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ may set in.

खबचनाचु सिद्धम्

The object is achieved by taking the stem in yasya refer to sva.

अस्तु - यस्य स्वस्य, ईश्वरः तसात् कर्मशचनीययुक्तादिति

Let the $s\bar{u}tra$ be taken to mean thus:-yasya = svasya, $\bar{i}svaravacanam = \bar{i}svarah$, $tatra = tasm\bar{a}t karmapravacan\bar{i}yayukt\bar{a}t$, $saptam\bar{i}$ (bhavuti).

एवमप्यन्तरेण वचनं सिद्धम् । अधि ब्रह्मदत्तः पञ्चालेषु । आधृनः स तेषु भवति

Even then the object is achieved without the sūtra. In Adhi Brahmadattaḥ paūcālēṣu, Brahmadatta becomes the ādhāra with reference to Paūcālas.

सत्यमेवमेतत् - नित्यं परिष्रहीता परिष्रहीतव्याधीनो भवति । It is evidently true that the lord is dependent upon his subjects. प्रथमान्पपत्तिस्त The impropriety of prathamā also.

प्रथमा तु नोपपद्यते Prathamā is not proper.

कृतः ? After which?

ब्रह्मद्वात After Brahmadatta.

का तर्हि स्थात What, then, will apear?

षष्ट्रीसप्तमयौ - स्वमीश्वराधिपतीति

Sasthī and saptamī will appear on the strength of the sūtra Svāmīśvarādhipati (2, 3, 39).

न तत्राधिशब्दः पठ्यते The word adhi is not read in that sūtra.

यद्यपि न पठ्यते. अधिरीश्वरवाची

Though adhi is not read, it is a synonym of īśvara.

न तन्न पर्यावचनानां ग्रहणं भवति

Synonyms of the words read there are not operated upon.

कथं ज्ञायते? On which authority is it so taken?

यद्यं कस्यचित् पर्यायवचनस्य ग्रहणं करोति अधिपतिदायादेति Since he reads certain synonyms like svāmī, īśvaraļı and adhipatih in the sūtra.

पष्ठी तर्हि शामोति If so, sasthī may set in.

शेषलक्षणा पृष्ठी, अशेषत्वात्र भविष्यति

Sasthī is with reference to śēsa and it will not set in on account of the absence of śēsatva.

द्वितीया तर्हि प्रामोति - कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति Dvitīyā, then, will appear on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Karmapravacanīyayuktē dvitīyā.

सप्तम्योक्तत्वात्तस्याभिसम्बन्धस्य द्वितिया न भविष्यति Since the sambandha is expressed by saptamī, dvitīyā does not appear.

भवेचोऽधेः पञ्चालानां चाभिसम्बन्धः स सप्तम्योक्तः स्यात्, यस्तु खलु अधेः

ब्रह्मदत्तस्य चाभिसम्बन्धः तल द्वितीया प्रामीति

If adhi is related to $pa\tilde{n}c\tilde{a}la$, it will be expressed by $saptam\tilde{\imath}$, but if adhi is related to Brahmadatta, it will get $dvit\tilde{\imath}y\tilde{a}$.

एवं तर्हि स्ववचनातु सिद्धम् इति

If so, the object is evidently achieved by reading $sv\bar{e}$ in place of $\bar{\imath}\dot{s}var\bar{e}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Adhir.\bar{\imath}\dot{s}var\bar{e}$.

अधिः स्वं प्रति कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवति इति वक्तव्यम्
It must be read that adhi becomes karmapravacanīya with reference to sva.

एवमपि यदा ब्रह्मदत्ते अधिकरणे सप्तमी तदा पञ्चालेभ्यो द्विनीया प्राप्नोति Even then if adhikaraṇa-saptamī follows Brahmadatta, dvitīyā will follow Pañcāla.

कथम् ? On the strength of which sūtra?

कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति

On the strength of the sūtra Karmapravacanīya yuktē dvitīyā.

उपपद्विभक्तेः कार्कविभक्तिर्वलीयसी इति प्रथमा भविष्यति । Prathamā follows it on the strength of the parithāṣā—Upapadavibhaktēḥ kārakavibhaktir balīyasī.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the nominative case-suffix which is $k\bar{a}rakavibhakti$ follows $pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}la$, since verbs like tisthanti santi etc. are understood after it.

गत्यर्थकर्मणि द्वितीयाचतुथ्यौं चेष्टायामनध्वनि (2, 3, 12)

अध्वन्यर्थग्रहणम्

Need to read Anadhvanyarthē in place of anadhvani.

अध्वन्यर्थत्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । इह मा भूत्, पन्थानं गच्छति, वीवधं गच्छति इति ।

It is necessary to read adhvanyurtha in place of adhvan, so that the fourth-case suffix may not appear after pathi and vīvadha in Panthānam gacchati and vīvadham gacchati.

आस्थितप्रतिपेधश्र

Also the pratisedha is with reference to the used one.

अ'स्थितपतिषेधश्चायं वक्तव्यः । यो हि उत्पंधेन पन्थानं गच्छति, पथे गच्छति इत्येव तत्र भवितव्यम

It must be said that the pratisēdha holds good only to the used way or path. Pathē gacchati is certainly to be read with reference to one who reaches the used road through a wrong one.

किमर्थ पुनिरिदमुच्यते ? Why is this sūlra read?

चतुर्थी यथा स्यात् So that caturthī may set in there.

अथ द्वितीया सिद्धा ? Has dvitīyā been secured?

सिद्धा, कर्मणि इत्येव

It is secured evidently by the sūtra Karmaņi dvitīyā.

चतुर्श्विप सिद्धा Caturthī, too, is secured.

कथम् ? How?

सम्प्रदाने इत्येव Certainly by the sūtra Sampradānē caturthī.

न सिध्यति । कर्भणा यमभिष्रेति स सम्प्रदानम् इत्युच्यते, क्रियया चासौ याममभिष्रेति ।

No, it cannot be secured by that $s\bar{u}tra$, since $karman\bar{a}$ abhi-prāyaṇam is read there and here he aims at $gr\bar{a}ma$ through $kriy\bar{a}$.

कया कियया ? By which kriyā?

गमिकियया By the act of going.

कियाग्रहणमपि तत्र चोद्यते

The need for reading $kriy\bar{a}$ also was discussed there (in 1.4,32)

Note:-But kriyāgrahaņa was pratyākhyāta there.

चेष्टायामनध्यनि स्त्रियं गच्छत्यजां नयतीत्यतिप्रसङ्गः

There will be alivyāpti of cēstāyām anadhvani in striyam gacchati and ajām nayati.

चेष्टायमनध्वनि स्त्रियं गच्छति अञां नयति इत्यतिप्रसङ्गो भवति

There will be atiprasaiga of cēṣṭāyām anadhvani in striyam gacchati and ajām nayati.

The object is achieved by reading asamprāpta (in place of सिद्धं त्वसम्प्राप्तवचनात् gatyartha).

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

असम्प्राप्त कर्मणि द्वितीयाचतुथ्यौ भवत इति वक्तव्यम्

The $sar{u}tra$ must be modified thus :-Asampr $ar{a}$ pt $ar{e}$ karma $ar{n}i$ dvit $ar{i}yar{a}$ caturthyāu bhavatalı.

अध्वनश्रानपवादः No need for the apavāda, anadhvani

एवं च कृत्वा अनध्वनि इत्येद्ि न वक्तव्यं भवति । सम्प्राप्तं ह्येतत्कर्म अध्वानं गच्छति इति

On this understanding the word anadhvani too need not be read in the sūtra. The adhvan which is karma in Adhvānam gacchati is samprāpta.

चतुर्थी सम्प्रदाने (2, 3, 13)

चतुर्थीविधाने तादर्थ्य उपसङ्ख्यानं

Need to add tādarthyē in the caturthī-vidhāyaka-sūtra.

चतुर्थीवियाने ताद्रथें उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - यूपाय दारु, कुण्डलाय हिरण्यम् इति

There is need to add $t\bar{a}darthy\bar{e}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoining $caturth\bar{\iota}$ viz. Yūpāya dāru, and kundalāya hiranyam.

Note: -Kāiyaļa states that tad in tādarthyē refers to kārya.

किमिदं ताद्ध्यम्! What is this - tādarthya? तद्रथस्य भावः ताद्रध्यम् Tādarthya means tadarthasya bhāvaļi. तद्धे पुनः किम्? What then is this - tadartham? सर्वनाम्नोयं चतुर्थ्यन्तस्य अर्थे शब्देन सह समासः

This is the compound of the demonstrative pronoun in the fourth case with the word artha.

कथं चात्र चतुर्थी On what authority is caturthi used here? अनेनैव Evidently by this varttika

यद्येवंम् इतरेतराश्रयं भवति If so, there is interdependence.

का इतरेतराश्रयता ? Of what nature is itarētarāśrayatā?

निर्देशोत्तरकालं चतुर्थ्या भिवतन्यं, चतुर्थ्यां च निर्देशः, तदेतद् इतरेतराश्रयं भवति । इतरेतराश्रयाणि च कार्याणि न प्रकल्पन्ते

Caturihī must set in after this vārttika is read and this word tādarthyam can be used after there is chance for caturthī. This is interdependence. Deeds which are interdependent are not accomplished.

तत्तिईं वक्तव्यम्

Another vidhi enjoining the fourth case must then be read.

न वक्तन्यम् । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिज्ञापयित – भवति हि अर्थशन्देन योगे चतुर्थाति, यद्यं चतुर्था तद्यार्थिति चतुर्थम्तस्य अर्थशन्देन सह समासं शास्ति No, it need not be read. The procedure of Acārya (Sūtrakāra) suggests that caturthī sets in after a word which is in association with the word artha, since he enjoins samāsa between a word ending in the fourth case and the word urtha in the sūtra Caturthī tadarthārthabali ... (2, 1, 36).

न खल्बय्यवश्यं चतुर्थ्यन्तस्येव अर्थशब्देन सह समासो भवति lt is not absolutely necessary that only a word in the fourth case can form a compound with the word artha,

किं तर्हि ? What then ?

पष्टचन्तस्यापि भवति । तद्यथा गुरोरिदं गुर्वर्थम् इति

A word in the sixth case also does it, as gurōḥ idam compounds into gurvartham.

Note: $-N\tilde{a}g\tilde{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ states that this argument proves the absence of interdependence.

यदि तादर्थ्ये उपसङ्ख्यानं क्रियते, नार्थः सम्प्रदानग्रहणेन । यो ह्युनाध्यायाय गौर्दीयते उपाध्यायार्थः स भवति, तत्र तादर्थ्ये इत्येव सिद्धम्

If $T\bar{a}darthy\bar{e}$ upasa $ikhy\bar{a}nam$ is read, no purpose is served by reading $samprad\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$. The cow that is given to $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ becomes $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}rtha$ and $caturth\bar{\iota}$ is secured after $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ on the strength of $t\bar{a}darthy\bar{e}$.

अवर्यं सम्प्रदानमहणं कर्तव्यम् - यान्येन लक्षणेन सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा, तद्र्थम्, छात्राय रुचितं, छात्राय स्वदितम् इति ।

There is absolute need for reading sampradānē for the sake of those which take sampradānasamjñā through other sūtras (like Rucyarthānām prīyamāṇāḥ), so that expressions chātrāya rucitam, and chātrāya svaditam may be secured.

तत्ति उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् It is then necessary to read the upasankhyāna.

न कर्तव्यम् । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित - भवति हि ताद्थेये चतुर्थीति, यद्यं चतुर्थी तद्र्थीति चतुर्थ्यन्तस्य तद्थेन सह समासं शास्ति

No, it need not be read. $Ac\bar{a}rya$ -pravṛtti suggests that there is $caturth\bar{i}$ in $t\bar{a}darthya$, since he enjoins $sin\bar{a}sa$ of caturthyanta with artha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Caturth\bar{i}$ $tadarth\bar{a}rtha$... (2, 1, 36).

क्लिप सम्पद्यमाने

In association with klp in the sense of transformation.

क्छिपि सम्पद्यमाने चतुर्थी वक्तज्या – मूत्राय कल्पते यवागूः, उचाराय कल्पते यवाचम् इति ।

There is need to enjoin caturthī in association with kļp meaning to transform. Viz. Mūtrāya kulpatē yavāgūļi ind uccārāya kalpatē yavānnam.

उत्पातेन ज्ञाप्यमाने After what is suggested by utpāta.

उत्पातेन ज्ञाप्यमाने चतुर्थी वक्तव्या – वाताय कपिला विद्युदातपायातिलोहिनी । कृष्णा सर्वविनाशाय दुर्भिक्षाय सिता भवेत् ॥ मांसीदनाय व्याहरति मृगः There is need to use the fourth case after what is suggested by unforeseen occurrences. Viz.

Vātāya kapilā vidyut (Lightning in kapila colour for

heavy wind)

Ātapāya atilohinī (Deep red lightning forebodes extreme heat) Krsnā sarvavināsāya (Black lightning forebodes entire destruction)

Durbhikṣāya sitā bhavēt (White lightning forebodes famine). Māmsāudanāya vyāharati mrgah (Deer cries foreboding death).

हितयोगे च In association with the word him also.

हितयोगे चतुर्थी वक्तव्या - हितमरोचिकने, हितमामयाविने

Caturthi has to be enjoined to that which is in association with the word hita too, as in Hitam arocakine and Hitam āmayāvinē.

नमः खस्तिस्वाहास्वधालंवपङ्योगाच (2, 3, 16)

स्वस्तियोगे चतुर्थी क्रशलार्थैराशिपि वा विधानात

Caturthī alone in association with svasti on account of vibhāsā in kuśalartha and aśis.

स्वस्तियोगे चतर्थी कुशलार्थेराशिषि वा विधानाद्भवति विप्रतिषेधेन

Caturthī alone is used in association with svasti by pūrvavipratiṣēdha, since either caturthī or sasthī is enjoined with reference to kuśalārtha and āśis in the sūtra Caturthī cāśisi ... (2, 3, 73).

स्वित्तियोगे चतुथ्यां अवकाशः - स्वित्ति जाल्माय, स्वित्ति वृपलाय ; कुशलिर्थे-राशिषि वाविधानस्य अवकाशः - अन्ये कुशलार्थाः - कुशलं देवदत्तायः, देवदत्तस्य; इहोभयं प्राप्नोति - स्वत्ति गोभ्यः, स्वस्ति ब्राह्मणेभ्यः इति; चतुर्थी भवति विप्रतिषेधेन

Room for the use of caturthi in association with svasti is svasti jālmāya and svasti vrņalāya; room for the application of kusalārthāir āsisi vāvidhānam is kusalam Dēvadattāya and kuśalam Dēvadallasya, the others āyuşya, bhadram etc. being kušalārthas; both have a chance to operate here and caturthī

takes place in preference to vibhāṣā by pūrvavipratiṣēdha:-svasti gōbhyaḥ and svasti brāhmaṇēbhyaḥ.

अलिमिति पर्याप्त्यर्थग्रहणम्

There is need to enjoin that alam in the $s\bar{u}lra$ means $pary\bar{a}pti$ (sufficiency).

अलिमिति पर्याप्त्यर्थेयहणं कर्तव्यम्; इह मा भृत् - अलंकुरुने कन्याम् इति । अपर आह - अलिमिति पर्याप्त्यर्थेयहणं कर्तव्यम्; इहापि यथा स्यात् - अलं मल्लो मल्लाय, प्रभुमेल्लो मल्लाय, प्रभवति मल्लो मल्लाय इति

The word alam should be taken to 'suggest sufficiency, to prevent the fourth case in Alankurutē kanyām. Another says that alam in the sūtra should be said to have paryāptyartha, so that the fourth case may be used in the following cases also:—Alam mallō mallāya; prabhur mallō mallāya and prabhavati mallō mallāya.

मन्यकर्मण्यनादरे विभाषाऽप्राणिषु (2, 3, 17)

अप्राणिष्वित्युच्यते । तलेदं न सिध्यति - न त्वा श्वानं मन्ये, न त्वा शुने मन्ये इति

Aprāniṣu is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$. Hence the following is not possible to be secured:—Na $tv\bar{a}$ ${}^{\acute{s}}v\tilde{a}nam$ $many\bar{e}$, and Na $tv\bar{a}$ ${}^{\acute{s}}un\bar{e}$ $many\bar{e}$.

एवं तर्हि योगविभागः करिष्यते - मन्यकर्भण्यनादरे विभाषा, ततः अपाणिषु - अपाणिषु च विभाषेति ।

If so, the sūtra is split into two thus:-Manyakarmanyanādarē vibhāṣā and Aprāṇiṣu. Vibhāṣā is taken to Aprāṇiṣu also by anuvrtti.

इहापि तर्हि प्राप्तोति - न त्वा कार्क मन्ये, न त्वा शुक्तं मन्ये इति It will, then, operate even here (by the first sūtra):-Na tvā kākam manyē, and Na tvā sukam manyē.

यदेतद् अपाणिष्त्रिति, एतद् अनावादिष्त्रिति वक्ष्यामि I shall qualify aprāņisu by anāvādisu.

इमे च नावादयो भविष्यन्ति - न त्वा नावं मन्ये यावत्तीर्णे न नाव्यम्. न त्वा अन्नं मन्ये यावद्भक्तं न श्राद्धमिति ।

These will come under $n\bar{a}v\bar{a}dis$:—Na $tv\bar{a}$ $n\bar{a}vam$ manyē yāvat $t\bar{i}r$ ņam na nāvyam. (Since you have not crossed the sea, I do not consider you to be a ship). Na $tv\bar{a}$ annam manyē yāvad bhuktam na $\hat{s}r\bar{a}ddham$ (I do not consider you to be food since you have not been eaten in a $\hat{s}r\bar{a}ddha$).

अत्र येषु प्राणिषु नेष्यते ते नावादयो भविष्यन्ति

Those, among $pr\bar{a}nis$, which are not wanted here may be taken under $n\bar{a}v\bar{a}dis$.

मन्यकर्माणे प्रकृष्यकुत्सितग्रहणम्

Anādarē in the sūtra should be replaced by prakṛṣyakutsitē.

मन्यकर्मणि प्रकृष्यकुत्सिनप्रहणं कर्तव्यम् – इह मा भूत् – त्वां तृणं मन्य इति The word $prakrsyakutsit\bar{e}$ should be read with reference to manyakarma, so that the $s\bar{u}tra$ may not operate here:- $Tv\bar{a}m$ $trnam\ many\bar{e}$.

Note:— Vārttikakāra wishes to replace anādarē by prakrṣyakutsitē and Mahābhāṣyakāra wishes to qualify aprānisu by anāvādiṣu.

Ahnika - Twentyfour ends.

(Second adhyāya, third pāda, first āhnika ends.

Ahnika - Twentyfive

(Second adhyāya, third pāda, second āhnika)

कर्तकर्णयोस्तृतीया (2, 3, 18)

ोयाविधाने प्रकृत्यादिभ्य उपसङ्ख्यानम्

akrtyādibhyah is to be added in the triīyāvidhāy ikasūtra,

तृतीयाविधाने प्रकृत्यादिभ्य उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - प्रकृत्या अभिरूपः, त्या दर्शनीयः ; प्रायेण याज्ञिकाः, प्रायेण वैयाकरणाः ; माठरोऽस्मि गोत्रेण, ग्योंऽस्मि गोत्रेण; समेन धावति, विपमेण धावति; द्विद्रोणैन धान्यं कीणाति; द्रोणेन कीणाति ; पश्चकेन पश्न्त् कीणाति, सहस्रेण अश्वान् कीणाति । here is need to add prakrtyādibhyah to allow the third case ster prakrti etc. as in prakrtyā abhirūpak (one who is beautiful y nature); prakriyā daršanīyah (one who is fine by nature o look at); prāyēṇa yājñikāḥ (mostly yājñikas), prāyēṇa 'āiyākaraṇāḥ; Māṭharōsmi gōtrēṇa (1 belong to Māṭharagōtra), lārgyōsmi gōtrēṇa; Samēna dhāvati (he runs evenly), viṣamēṇa hāvati (he runs unevenly); Dvidronēna dhānyam krīnāti; he buys two dronās of corn). tridronēna dhānyam krīnāti; Paū̃cakēna pašūn krīnāti (he buys goats by fives), sahasrēņa ıśvān krīņāti (he buys horses by thousands.)

NOTE: - Kāiyaṭa says that, otherwise, sixth case may appear after prakrti, first case after praya, first or sixth case after gōtra; second case after sama, dvidrōna and pañcaka.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् [t has to be read then.

न वक्तव्यम् । कर्तृकरणयोस्तृतीया इत्येव सिद्धम्

No, it need not be read. The object is achieved by kartrkarayōs tṛtīyā itself.

इह तावत्, प्रकृत्या अभिरूपः, प्रकृत्या दर्शनीय इति, प्रकृतिकृतं तस्य

Firstly with reference to prakrtyā abhirūpaḥ and prakrtyā आभिरूप्यम् darsanīyah, his ābhirūpya is got through this prakrti.

प्राथेण याज्ञिकाः, प्रायेण वैयाकरणाः इति, एप तत्र प्रायो येन तेऽधीयते With reference to Prāyēṇa yājñikāḥ and prāyēṇa vāiyā-karaṇāḥ, it is bahula there, and it is the karaṇa of their study.

Note:— Kāiyaṭa reads:-Prāya iti:- bāhulyam ityarthaḥ. Tacca adhyayanasya karaṇatīēna vivaksitam.

माठरोऽसि गोत्रेण, गार्ग्योऽसि गोत्रेण इति, एतेन अहं संज्ञाये With reference to Māṭharōsmi gōtrēṇa and Gārgyōsmi gōtrēṇa. I am known by this.

समेन धावति, विषमेण धावति इति, इदमत्र प्रयोक्तव्यं सन्न प्रयुज्यते, समेन पथा धावति, विषमेण पथा धावति इति ।

With reference to Samēna dhāvati and viṣamēṇa dhāvati this (pathā) is to be read there, but is not read :-and hence they stand for samēna pathā dhāvati and viṣamēṇa pathā dhāvati.

द्विद्रोणेन धान्यं क्रीणाति, त्रिद्रोणेन धान्यं क्रीणाति इति, ताद्थ्यीत् ताच्छव्यम् । द्विद्रोणार्थं द्विद्रोणं, द्विद्रोणेन हिर्ण्येन धान्यं क्रीणाति इति । With reference to Dvidrōṇēna dhānyam krīṇāti, the word is used in the sense of what it is intended for.

Note: $-Dvidr\bar{o}na$ means for the sake of $dvidr\bar{o}na$ and hence the sentence means he buys with money to secure two $dr\bar{o}nas$ of corn.

पञ्चकेन पश्न् कीणाति इति, अत्रापि तादर्थात् ताच्छव्यम् - पञ्चपस्यर्थः पञ्चकः, पञ्चकेन पश्न्त् कीणातीति

With reference to $Pa\tilde{n}cak\bar{e}na$ $pas\tilde{u}n$ $kr\tilde{v}n\bar{a}ti$, here too the word is used in tadartha. $Pa\tilde{n}cakah$ means $pa\tilde{n}capa^svarthah$. Hence is the expression $Pa\tilde{n}cak\bar{e}na$ $pa^s\bar{u}n$ $kr\tilde{v}n\bar{a}ti$.

साहस्रेण अधान् कीणाति इति, सहस्रारिमाणं साहस्रं, साहस्रेग हिरण्येन अधान् कीणाति इति ।

With reference to Sāhasrēņa ašvān krīņāti, sāhasram means sahasra-parimāņam and hence it means that he buys horses with gold sufficient to purchase thousand.

सहयुक्तेऽप्रधाने (2, 3, 19)

किमुदाहरणम् ! What is the example ?

तिलैः सह भाषान् वपति इति

 $Til\bar{a}il$ saha māṣān vapati. (He sows black gram with gingilly seed).

नैतद्स्ति ; तिले: मिश्रीकृत्य मापा उप्यन्ते तल करणे इत्येव सिद्धम् । No, it cannot serve as the example. Black $m\bar{a}sa$ mixed with tila is sown and hence $tr\bar{t}\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ may be used there on the strength of $karan\bar{e}$ (in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Kartrkaranay\bar{o}s$ $tr\bar{t}\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$).

इदं ति पुत्रेण सहागतो देवदत्तः इति ; अप्रधाने क्तिरि तृतीया यथा स्यात् If so, this may serve as the example – $Putr\bar{e}na$ saha $\bar{a}gat\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattah$, so that $tri\bar{v}\bar{a}$ may be used with reference to apradh $\bar{a}na$ -kartr.

एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । प्रधाने कर्तरि लादयो भवन्तीति प्रधानकर्ता क्तेन अभिधीयते, यश्च अप्रधानं सिद्धा तल कर्तरीत्येव तृतीया

This too does not serve as $pray\bar{o}jana$ to the $s\bar{u}tra$. $Pradh\bar{a}na-kart\bar{a}$ is expressed by kta in gata on the maxim that $lak\bar{a}r\bar{a}dis$ are used only with reference to the $pradh\bar{a}nakartr$ and $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ is secured here by kartari with reference to $apradh\bar{a}nakartr$.

इदं तर्हि - पुत्रेण सहागमनं देवदत्तस्य इति

If so, let this be the example - Putrēṇa saha āgamanam Dēvadattasya.

षप्ट्यत्र बाधिका भविष्यति Çasthī may veto this.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads -Kartrkarmaṇōli kṛti ityanēna sā ca pradhānayōr ēva kartrkarmaṇōli prādhānyād ēva smaryatē iti apradhānē kartari na bhaviṣyati.

इदं तर्हि - पुत्रेण सह स्थूलः, पुत्रेण सह पिङ्गल इति
If so, let this be the example:—Putrēṇa saha sthūlaḥ, putrēṇa saha piṅgaluḥ.

1. This is not found in Bombay edition.

इदं चाप्युदाहरणम् - तिलैः सह माषान् वपति इति
This, too, may be taken as the example—Tilāiḥ saha māṣān vapati.

ननु चोक्तं - तिलैमिश्रीकृत्य माषा उप्यन्ते तत्र करण इत्येव सिद्धम् इति Oh, it was said that $trt\bar{t}y\bar{a}$ is secured on the strength of karaṇē, since black gram mixed with gingelly is sown.

भवेत्सिद्धं यदा तिलैर्भिश्रीकृत्योप्येरन् । यदा तु खल्ल कस्य चिन्माषत्रीजावाप उपस्थितः, तद्र्थं च क्षेत्रमुपार्जितं, तत्रान्यद्रिप किञ्चिदुप्यते, यदि भविष्यति भविष्यति इति तदा न सिध्यति

It is secured if black gram mixed with gingelly is sown. But, if it was the time for black gram to be sown, if the land is made ready for it and it is sown and if, afterwards, something other than that (say gingelly) is sown with the idea "If it chances to be fruitful, let it be", it cannot be secured.

सहयुक्तेऽप्रधानवचनमनर्थकप्रपाद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिवलीयस्त्वाद् अन्यत्रापि No purpose is served by reading the sūtra Sahayuktēspradhānē on account of kārakavibhakti overruling the upapadavibhakti here as elsewhere.

सहयुक्तेऽपधानवचनमनर्थकम्

It is needless to read Sahayuktëspradhanë.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

उपपद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिवर्लायस्त्वात् । अन्यत्रापि उपपद्विभक्तेः कारक विभक्तिर्वर्लीयसी इति प्रथमा भवति, एवमलापि उपपद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिर्वर्लीयसी इति प्रथमा भविष्यति

On account of kārakavibhakti overruling upapadavibhakti. Prathamā sets in elsewhere on the strength of the dictum-Upapadavibhaktēļi kārakavibhaktir balīyasī. So also prathamā will set in here on the strength of the dictum Upapadavibhaktēļi kārakavibhaktir balīyasī.

कान्यत्र To which does anyatra refer?

गाः स्वामी वजित इति In the sentence Gali svāmī vrojati.

97

NOTE:— The upapadavibhakti - ṣaṣṭhī or saptamī is enjoined to gō by the sūtra $Sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}śvara$ (2, 3, 39) and the $k\bar{a}rakavibhakti - dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ - is enjoined by Karmani $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$. The latter overrules the former.

येनाङ्गविकारः (2, 3, 20)

इह कस्मान भवति - अक्षि काणम् अस्य इति?

Why does not $tr\bar{t}\bar{y}\bar{a}$ set in here (after $k\bar{a}na$) in Aksi $k\bar{a}nam$ asya?

अङ्गाद्विकृतात्तद्विकारतश्चेदङ्गिनो वचनम्

It must be enjoined after the deformed anga in case the defect serves to denote the angin.

अङ्गाद् विकृतात् तृतीया वक्तव्या, तेनैव चेद् विकारेण अङ्गी द्योत्यते इति वक्तव्यम्

It $(trt\bar{t}y\bar{a})$ must be enjoined after the word denoting the deformed limb, if it alone serves to denote the whole.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be so read.

न वक्तन्यम् । अङ्गश्चन्दोऽयं समुदायशच्दः; येनेति च करणे एपा तृतीया । येनावयवेन समुदायोऽङ्गी द्योत्यते तस्मिन् भिवतन्यम् । न चैतेनावयवेन समुदायो द्योत्यते No, it need not be so read. This word anga in the sūtra means angin; tṛṭīyā in yēna has karaṇatva for its artha. Hence the sūtra means that tṛṭīyā should be used after that anga-vācaka by which deformity angin is suggested. Angin is not suggested by the anga here (in the expression akṣi kāṇam asya).

Note:—1. Kāiyata derives anga thus:—Angāni asya santi.

Note:—2. $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ suggests modification of the $s\bar{u}tra$ and $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ does not want it.

इत्थंभूतलक्षणे (2, 3, 21)

इत्थंभृतलक्षणे तत्स्खे प्रतिषेधः

Prohibition if ittham-bhūta-lakṣaṇa is in lakṣya-vāci-śabda.

इत्थंमूतलक्षणे तत्स्थे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - अपि भवान् कमण्डलुपाणि छात्रमदाक्षीत् इति । There is need to prohibit $trt\bar{t}y\bar{a}$ after ittham- $bh\bar{u}ta$ -laksana if the same is in laksya- $v\bar{a}ci$ -sabda, as in Api $bhav\bar{a}n$ kamandalup $\bar{a}n$ im $ch\bar{a}tram$ $adr\bar{a}k$ s $\bar{i}t$? (Did you see the pupil having kamandalu in his hand?)

Note:— $Kamandalup\bar{a}ni$ is $lakşyav\bar{a}caka$ and kamandalu is $itthambh\bar{u}talakşana-v\bar{a}caka$.

न वेत्थंभूतस्य लक्षणनापृथग्भावात्

No, it is not necessary on account of the laksya of this nature not being separated from the laksana.

न वा वक्तन्यम् It is not necessary.

किं कारणम्? Why?

इत्थंभृतस्य लक्षणेनऽपृथाभावात् - यत्रेत्थम्भृतस्य पृथाभूतं लक्षणं तत्र भवितव्यम् । न चाल इत्थंभतस्य पृथाभृतं लक्षणम्

On account of the lakṣya of this nature not being separated from lakṣaṇa. It (third case) will appear if lakṣya of this nature has its lakṣaṇa separated from it. But here the lakṣya of this nature does not have the lakṣana separated from it.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत? Is this to be so explained?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते

How will it be known without the explanation?

तथा ह्ययं प्राधान्येन लक्षणं प्रतिनिर्दिशति, इत्थंभूतस्य लक्षणम् इत्थंभूतलक्षणं तस्मिन् इत्थंभतलक्षणे इति ।

For he gives prominence to lakṣana by reading it as the second member of ithambhūtalakṣaṇē, the seventh case of the tutpuruṣa-itthambhūtalakṣaṇam.

संज्ञोऽन्यतरस्यां कर्मणि (2, 3, 22)

संज्ञः कृत्प्रयोगे पष्टी विप्रतिपेधेन

There is need to enjoin sasthī with the root jāā preceded by the preposition sam and followed by kṛt suffix through vipratisēdha.

संज्ञोऽन्यतरस्यां कर्मणि इत्येतस्मात् कृद्योगे षष्ठी भवति वित्रतिषेधेन । संज्ञोऽन्यतरस्यामित्यस्य अवकाशः - मातरं संज्ञानीते, मात्रा संज्ञानीते; कृत्ययोगे षण्ठ्या अवकाशः - इध्मप्रवश्चनः, पलाशशातनः । इहोभयं प्राप्नोति - मातुः संज्ञाता, पितुः संज्ञाता इति । पष्ठी भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Kṛdyōgē ṣaṣṭhī bhavati vipratiṣēdhēna has to be read supplementing the sūtra Sanijñōsnyatarasyām karmaṇi. The place where the sūtra Sanijñōsnyatarasyām operates is: mātaram sañjānītē and mātrā sañjānītē. The place where ṣaṣṭhī appears with kṛtprayōga is Idhmapravraścanaḥ and palāśaśātanaḥ. Both may operate here and ṣaṣṭhī appears through vipratiṣēdha:—Mātuḥ sanijñātā and pituḥ sanijñātā.

उपपद्विभक्तेश्चोपपद्किः

Need for the supplement that the upapadavibhakti which is enjoined later overrules that enjoined earlier through vipratisēdha.

उपपद्विभक्तेश्रोपपद्विभक्तिभवति विपतिषेधेन

One upapadavibhakti overrules another through vipratiṣēdha.

अन्यारादितर्तेदिक्छ्ट्याञ्चूत्तरपदाजाहियुक्ते इत्यस्य अवकाशः अन्यो देव-दत्तात् । स्वामीश्वराधिपतिदायादसाक्षिपतिभूपसूतैश्च इत्यस्य अवकाशः गोषु स्वामी गवां स्वामी । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - अन्यो गोषु स्वामी, अन्यो गवां स्वामी इति । स्वामीश्वराधिपतिदायादसाक्षिपतिभूपसूतैश्च इत्येतद् भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

The room for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Anya-\bar{a}r\bar{a}d....(2, 3, 29)$ to operate is $Any\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}t$. The room for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}svara...(2, 3, 39)$ is $G\bar{o}su$ $sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}$ and $gav\bar{a}m$ $sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}$. Both may operate here and the latter $Sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}...$ operates through $vipratis\bar{e}dha-Any\bar{o}$ $g\bar{o}su$ $sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}$ and $any\bar{o}$ $gav\bar{a}m$ $sv\bar{a}m\bar{i}$.

नैष युक्तो विप्रतिषेधः । न ह्यत्र गावोऽन्ययुक्ताः

Vipratiṣēdha here is not legitimate; for cows are not here related to anya.

कस्तर्हि ? What then ? स्वामी Svāmī

एवं तर्हि, तुल्यार्थेरतुलोपमाभ्यां तृतीयान्यतरस्याम् इत्यस्य अवकाशः तुल्यो देवदत्तेन इति । स्वामीश्वराधिपतिदायादसाक्षिप्रतिभूप्रसृतैश्च इत्यस्य अवकाशः स एव । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - तुल्यो गोभिः स्वामी, तुल्यो गवां स्वामी इति । तुल्यार्थेरतुलोपमाभ्यां तृतीयान्यतरस्याम् इत्येतद् भवति विप्रतिपेधेन । If so, room for sūtra Tulyārthāir....(2, 3, 72) to operate is Tulyō Dēvadattasya and tulyō Dēvadattēna. Room for Svāmīśvara.... (2, 3, 39) is the same mentioned above. Here both have room to operate and Tulyārthāir....overrules the other through vipratiṣēdha and hence the forms Tulyō gōbhiḥ svāmī and tulyō gavām svāmī are secured.

हेतौ (2, 3, 23)

निमित्तकारणहेतुषु सर्वासां प्रायद्शनम्

Appearance of almost all case-suffixes with nimitta, $k\bar{a}rana$ and $h\bar{e}tu$.

निमित्तकारणहेतुपु सर्वाः प्रायेण दृश्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् । किं निमित्तं वसित, केन निमित्तं वसित, कस्म निमित्ताय वसित, कस्माविमित्ताद्वसित, कस्य निमित्तस्य वसित, किसिविमित्तं वसित । किं कारणं वसित, केन कारणेन वसित, कस्म कारणाय वसित, कस्मात् कारणाद्वसित, कस्य कारणस्य वसित, किस्मिन् कारणे वसित । को हेतुवैसित, कं हेतुं वसित, केन हेतुना वसित, कस्मे हेतवे वसित, कस्माद्धेतो-वैसित, कस्य हेतोवैसित, किस्मन हेता वसित ॥ 1

It must be stated that almost all case-suffixes are used after the words nimitta, kāraṇa and hētu. Viz. Kim nimittam vasati, kēna nimittēna vasati, kasmāi nimittāya vasati, kasmād nimittād vasati, kasya nimittasya vasati, kasmin nimittē vasati. Kim kāraṇam vasati, kēna kāraṇēna vasati, kasmāi kāraṇāya vasati, kasmāt kāraṇād vasati, kasya kāraṇasya vasati. kasmin kāraṇē vasati. Kō hētur vasati, kam hētum vasati, kēna hētunā vasati, kasmāi hētavē vasati, kasmād hētōr vasati, kasya hētōr vasati, kasmin hētāu vasati.

^{1.} Since the stem means cause, it seems immaterial which cases suffix is used after it,

AHNIKA TWENTYFIVE—APADANE PANCAMI

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the enumeration of synonyms is, according to some, to ward off others and according to others, to show that that they are upalaksana.

अपादाने पश्चमी (2, 3, 28)

पश्चमीविधाने ल्यव्लोपे कर्मण्युपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to supplement Lyab-lõpē karmani under pañcamyadhikāra

पञ्चमीविधाने लयव्लोपे कमीण पञ्चम्या उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - प्रासादमारु । प्रेक्षते - प्रासादारप्रेक्षते

There is need to supplement lyab-lõpē pañcamī, so that pañcamī may be used after the karman of the indeclinable participle which is dropped Prāsādāt prēkṣatē for prāsādam āruhya prēkṣatē.

अधिकरणे च Need to supplement Adhikaraṇē ca

अधिकरणे चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - आसनात्वेक्षते, शयनात्वेक्षते

There is need to add that $pa\tilde{n}cam\tilde{i}$ is used in place of adhikarana to secure the forms $\bar{a}san\bar{a}t$ $pr\bar{e}ksat\bar{e}$ and $\hat{s}ayan\bar{a}t$ $pr\bar{e}ksat\bar{e}$ (in case there is $lyab-l\bar{o}pa$).

प्रश्नाख्यानयोश्च

Need to allow the use of $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ in question and answer also.

प्रश्नाख्यानयोध्य पञ्चमी वक्तन्या - कुतो भवान्? पाटलिपुत्रात्

There is need to enjoin pañamī in question and answer also. Viz. Kutō bhavān? Pāṭaliputtrāt.

यतश्राध्यकालानिर्माणम्

Pañcamī after that from which distance and time are calculated.

यतश्चाध्वकालनिर्माणं तल पञ्चमी वक्तव्या • गवीधुमतः साङ्काश्यं चत्वारि योजनानि ; कार्तिक्या आम्रहायणी मासे

Pañcamī has to be enjoined after that whence distance and time are calculated. Viz. Garīdhumataḥ Sāṅkśyam catvāri yōjanāni (Sānkāśya is four yōjanas from Gavīdhuman; kārttikyā āgrahāyaṇī māsē. (One month passing from kārttika it is āgrahāyaṇī).

तद्यक्तात्काले सप्तमी

Saptamī with reference to the kāla associated with it.

तद्युक्तात्काले सप्तमी वक्तव्या - कार्तिक्या आग्रहायणी मासे Saptamī is to be enjoined to the kāla associated with it Viz. Kārttikyā āģrahāyaņī māsē.

अध्वनः प्रथमा च The distance to be in the first case too.

अध्यनः प्रथमा च सप्तमी च वक्तव्या - गवीधुमतः साङ्काश्यं चत्वारि योजनानि, गवीधुमतः साङ्काश्यं चतुर्षु योजनेषु

There is need to enjoin prathamā and sap!amī after adhvan viz. Gavīdhumataḥ Sāṅkāśyam catvāri yōjanāni, Gavīdhumataḥ Sāṅkāśyam caturṣu yōjanēṣu,

तत्तर्हीदं वहु वक्तव्यम् If so, much has to be enjoined here.

न वक्तव्यम् । अपादाने इत्येव सिद्धम्

No, it need not; everything is secured from Apādānē pañcamī.

इह तावत् प्रासादात् प्रेक्षते, शयनात्प्रेक्षते इति, अपकामित तत्तसाद्र्शनम् Firstly with reference to Prāsādāt prēkṣatē and sayanāt prēkṣatē, the sense of sight departs from it.

यद्यपकामति किं नात्यन्तायापकामति ?

If it departs, does it not completely depart?

सन्तत्वात् It departs, in succession.

अथ वा अन्यान्यप्रादुर्भावात् - अन्या चान्या च प्रादुर्भवित Or it departs one after another. The sight that departs at first is different from that which departs next and so on.

प्रश्नाख्यानयोश्च पञ्चमी वक्तन्येति, इदमत्र प्रयोक्तन्यं सन्न प्रयुज्यते - कृतो भवानागच्छति इति, पाटलिपुत्रादागच्छामि इति With reference to Prasnākhyānayōs ca pañcamī vaktavyā, the

word agacchati or agacchami is found dropped in kuto bhavan agacchati? and Pāļaliputtrād agacchami.

यत्रध्याध्वकालनिर्माणं तत्र पद्ममी वक्तन्येनि, इदमत्र पयोक्तन्यं सन्न प्रयुज्यने -गवीधुमतो निःमृत्य साङ्कादयं चत्वारि योजनानि । कार्निषमा आम्रहायणी मामे इति - इदमत्र प्रयोक्तन्यं सन्न प्रयुज्यने - कार्निषया प्रमृति आम्रहायणी मामे इनि With reference to the statement that $pr\tilde{n}cam\tilde{i}$ should be used after that whence distance and time are calculated, the word nih srtya to be used after the first word is dropped:-in $Gav\tilde{i}dhumat\tilde{o}$ $S\tilde{a}nik\tilde{a}syam$ $catv\tilde{a}ri$ $y\tilde{o}jan\tilde{a}ni$ and the word prabhrti is dropped in $k\tilde{a}rttiky\tilde{a}$ $agrah\tilde{a}yan\tilde{i}$ $m\tilde{a}s\tilde{e}$.

तद्युक्तात् काले सप्तमी वक्तज्येति, इदमत्र प्रयोक्तव्यं सन्न प्रयुज्यते कार्तिवया प्रभृति आग्रहायणी गते मास इति

With reference to $Tadyukt\bar{a}t$ $k\bar{a}l\bar{e}$ $saplam\bar{\imath}$ $vaktavy\bar{a}$, the word $gat\bar{e}$ to be used before $m\bar{a}s\bar{e}$ is left out in $k\bar{a}rttiky\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}grah\bar{a}-yan\bar{\imath}$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{e}$.

अध्वनः प्रथमा च सप्तमी च इति - इद्मन्न प्रयोक्तव्यं सन्न प्रयुज्यते -गवीधुमतो नि.स्त्य यदा चत्वारि योजनानि गतानि भवन्ति, ततः साङ्काश्यं - चतुर्षु योजनेषु गतेषु ततः साङ्काश्यम् इति ।

With reference to Adhvanah prathamā ca saptamī ca, the sentences are read with ellipsis in place of Gavīdhumatō niḥsrtya yadā catvāri yōjanāni gatāni bhavanti, tataḥ Sāṅkāśyam; and Caturṣu yōjanēṣu gatēṣu tataḥ Sāṅkāśyam.

Note:— $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ argues that the purpose of the supplementary $v\bar{a}rttikas$ is served by the $s\bar{u}tra$ itself.

अन्यारादितरतेंदिक्शब्दाश्चृत्तरपदाजाहियुक्ते (2, 3, 29)

अञ्चत्तरपद्महणं किमर्थं ? न दिक्शव्दैयोंग इत्येव सिद्धम् ? What for is a verticar and a read? Is not its purpose

What for is añcūttarapala read? Is not its purpose served by Dikśabdāir yōgē?

षष्ठ्यतसर्थेप्रत्ययेनेति वक्ष्यति, तस्यायं पुरस्तादपकर्षः

He is going to read the sūtra Ṣaṣṭhyatasarthapratyayēna and this is its apavāda in advance.

षष्ट्यतसर्थप्रस्ययेन (२, ३, ३०)

अर्थे प्रहणं किमर्थेम् ? Why is artha read?

षप्ठ्यतस्प्रत्ययेन इत्युच्यमाने इहैव स्यात् - दक्षिणतो ग्रामस्य, उत्तरतो ग्रामस्य इति ; इह न स्यात् - उपिर ग्रामस्य, उपिरष्टाद् ग्रामस्य इति । अर्थग्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे अतस्प्रत्ययेन च सिद्धं भवति यश्चान्यस्तेन समानार्थः If the sūtra is read Ṣaṣṭhyataspratyayēna, it will operate only here—Dakṣiṇatō grāmasya and uttaratō grāmasya and not here—Upari grāmasya and upariṣṭād grāmasya. If, on the other hand, the word artha is read, it will operate with reference to the words ending in atas-pratyaya and also words having the same meaning.

अथ प्रत्ययमहणं किमर्थम् ? Why is pratyaya read?

इह मा भूत - प्राम् प्रामात, प्रत्यम् प्रामात् . So that the sūtra may not operate here in Prāg grāmāt and pratyag grāmāt.

अञ्चत्त्यत्याप्येतस्योजनमुक्तम् । तत्र अन्यतरत् शक्यमवक्तुम् । The same benefit is said with reference to Añcāttarapada in the previous sātra also. Hence either of the two may be dropped.

पृथग्विनानानाभिस्तृतीयान्यतरस्याम् (२, ३, ३२)

पृथगादिषु पञ्चमीविधानम्

Need to enjoin pañcamī with reference to prthagādis.

पृथगादिषु पञ्चमी विधेया - पृथग् देवदत्तात्

There is need to enjoin pañcamī after prthagādis to secure Prthag Dēvadattāt.

किमर्थम्? न प्रकृतं पञ्चमीग्रहणमनुवर्तते ?

What for? Is there no anuvitti for pañcamī from the context?

क प्रकृतम्? What is the sūtra referred to?

अपादाने पश्चमी इति 'The sutra Apadane pañcami.

अनिधकारात On account of the absence of adhikara.

अनिधिकारः सः It is not endowed with the sign of adhikara.

अधिकारे हि डितीयापष्टीत्रिपये प्रतिपेधः

lf there is adhikāra, it should be prohibited in Enapā dvitīyā and Şaşthyatasarthapratyayēna enjoining dvitīyā and şasthī.

अधिकारे हि द्विनीयापष्टीविषये प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः स्यात् - दक्षिणेन प्रामं, दक्षिणतो मामस्य । For, if there is $adhik\bar{a}ra$, it should be prohibited in the preceding $s\bar{u}tras$ enjoining $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ and $sasth\bar{\imath}$, to secure only the following expressions— $Daksin\bar{e}na$ $gr\bar{a}mam$ and $duksinat\bar{o}$ $gr\bar{a}masya$.

एवं तर्हि अन्यतरस्यांग्रहणसामध्यत्पञ्चमी भविष्यति । If so, pañcamī is secured here on the strength of the word anyatarasyām read here.

अस्यन्यद् अन्यतरस्यांग्रहणस्य प्रयोजनम् Another purpose is served by anyatarasyām.

किम्? What?

यस्यां नाप्राप्तायां तृतीया आरभ्यते सा यथा स्यात्

To allow such case-suffix to appear whose chance disappears on account of the reading of $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

कस्यां च नाप्राप्ताथाम्? In whose chance?

अन्ततः षष्ट्याम् In the place of sasthī enjoined at the end.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must then be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । प्रकृतमनुवर्तते

No, it need not be read. There is anuviti for the prakita.

क प्रकृतम्? What is it which is prakrta.

अपादाने पञ्चमी इति The sūlra Apādānē pañcamī.

ननु चोक्तम् - अनिषकारः सः, अधिकारे हि द्वितीय। पष्टीविषये प्रतिषेध इति Oh, it was said that it is not endowed with adhikāratva and if it is so, there is need for the pratisētha to pañcamī in the sūtras enjoining $dvit\bar{\imath}y\hat{a}$ and saṣṭhī.

एवं तर्हि सम्बन्धमनुवर्तिष्यते - अपादाने पञ्चमी - अन्यारादितरतेदिक्शञ्दाञ्च्तर-पदाजाहियुक्ते पञ्चमी - षण्ट्यतसर्थप्रत्ययेन, अन्यारादिभियोगेपञ्चमी - एनपा द्वितीया, अन्यारादिभियोगे पञ्चमी - पृथग्विनानानाभिस्तृतीयान्यतरस्याम् - पञ्चमीग्रहणमनुवर्तते, अन्यारादिभियोगे इति निवृत्तम् ।

If so anuvrtti for that connected with anya etc. is resorted to:Apādānē pañcamī, Anyārāditartēdikśabdāncūttarpadājāhiyuktē
pañcamī, Ṣaṣṭhyatasurthapratyayēna, anyārādibhiryōgē pañcamī,

Enapā dvitīyā, anyārādibhiryōgē pañcamī and then Pṛthagvinānānābhis tṛtīyānyatarasyām is read. The expression pañcamī is supplied and the expression Anyārādibhiryōgē is made to recede.

अथवा मण्डूकप्छतयोऽधिकाराः । तद्यथा - मण्डूका उल्प्छत्योत्प्छत्य गच्छन्ति तद्वद्रधिकाराः ।

Or anuvittis are like frog-leap. As frogs skip over intermediate things, so are adhikāras.

अथ वा Or

अन्यवचनाचकाराकरणात्प्रकृतस्थापवादो विज्ञायते यथोत्सर्गेण प्रसक्तस्य Or on account of the reading of another vibhakti and the absence of ca, it is understood to be an exception as a special rule prevents the application of a general rule.

अन्यस्या विभक्तेचेचनात् चकारस्य अनुकर्पणार्थस्य अकरणात् प्रकृतायाः पश्चम्याः द्वितीयाप्यच्चो वाधिके भविष्यतः, यथोत्सर्गेण प्रसक्तस्यापवादो वाधको भविष्यति । Since another vibhakti is enjoined and since the word ca which denotes grammatical attraction is not read, dvitīyā and şaṣṭhī set aside the pañcamī enjoined first, in the same way as a special rule sets aside what will, otherwise, happen by the general rule.

अथ वा वक्ष्यत्येतत् अनुवर्तन्ते च नाम विधयः, न चानुवर्तनादेव भवन्ति
Or he is going to say this-that, though rules have the capacity
to be supplied later on, they do not do so only on account
of it.

कि तर्हि ? By what then ? यज्ञाद् भवन्ति इति By the effort.

द्रान्तिकार्थभ्यो द्वितीया च (2, 3, 35)

द्रान्तिकार्थभ्यः पञ्चमीविधाने तशुक्तात् पञ्चमीप्रतिपेधः

Need to prohibit pañcami after the word associated with düra, antika and their synonyms when pañcami is enjoined after them.

दूरान्तिकार्थेभ्यः पञ्चमीविधाने तद्युंक्तात् पञ्चम्याः प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - दूराद् ग्रामस्य

There is need to prohibit $pa\tilde{n}cam\tilde{i}$ after the word associated with $d\tilde{u}ra$, antika and their synonyms, when $pa\tilde{n}cam\tilde{i}$ is enjoined after them, to secure the expression $D\tilde{u}r\tilde{a}d$ $gr\tilde{a}masya$.

न वा तलापि द्रशनादप्रतिपेधः

No, it need not be prohibited, since it too is current.

अनर्थकः प्रतिपेधः अपितपेधः । न वा तत्रापि दर्शनात् पञ्चम्याः प्रति-षेधोऽनर्थकः । तत्रापि हि पञ्चमी दृश्यते—

> दूरादावसथान्मूत्रं दूरात्पादावसेचनम् । दूराच भाव्यं दस्युभ्यो दूराच कुपितादुरोः ॥

Apratiṣēdhaḥ means the pratiṣēdha which is unnecessary. The prohibition is not necessary since pañcamī is current there too. Pañcamī is seen in such places in the following verse:—

Dūrād āvasathān mūtram dūrāt pādāvasēcanam ı Dūrācca bhāvyam dasyubhyō dūrācca kupitād gurōḥ ॥

Note:—The fifth case-suffix in $\bar{a}vasath\bar{a}t$, dasyubhyah and $kupit\bar{a}d$ is the point referred to above.

सप्तम्यधिकरणे च (2, 3, 36)

सप्तमीविधाने क्तस्येन्विषयस्य कर्मण्युपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to read the supplement ktasya invisayasya karmani in saptamyadhikāra.

सप्तमीविधाने क्तस्येन्विषयस्य कर्मण्युपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अधीती व्याकरणे, परिगणिती याज्ञिक्ये, आझाती छन्दसि ।

It is necessary to supplement that $saptam\bar{\imath}$ follows the karma of the verbal noun ending in kta followed by in. Viz. $Adh\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$ $Vy\bar{a}karan\bar{e}$ (student in grammar). $Pariganit\bar{\imath}$ $y\bar{a}j\bar{n}iky\bar{e}$ (Renowned in priesthood in sacrifices), $\bar{A}mn\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}$ chandasi (specialist in the study of the $V\bar{e}das$).

साध्वसाधुप्रयोगे च

After the words qualifying sādhu and asādhu.

साध्वसाधुप्रयोगे च सप्तमी वक्तज्या - साधुर्देवदत्तो मातिर, असाधुः पितिर There is need to enjoin saptamī after words qualifying sādhu and asādhu. Viz. Sādhur Dēvadattō mātari and asādhuh pitari.

कारकार्हाणां च कारकत्वे

Also when those that deserve to do action do it.

. कारकार्हाणां च कारकत्वे सप्तमी वक्तव्या - ऋद्धेषु भुञ्जानेषु दरिद्रा आसते, बाह्मणेषु तरत्सु वृपला आसते ।

Saptamī is to be enjoined also when those that deserve to do action do it. Viz. Rddhēṣu bhuñjānēṣu daridrā āsatē (when men in prosperity enjoy, the poor keep quiet); brāhmaṇēṣu toratsu vṛṣalā āsatē (when brahmans cross, vṛṣalas keep quiet).

अकारकार्हाणां चाकारकत्वे

Also when those that do not deserve to do an action do not do it.

अकारकार्हाणां चाकारकत्वे सप्तमी वक्तन्या - म्खेंप्वासीनेषु ऋद्धा सुझते, वृपलेप्वासीनेषु वाह्यणास्तरन्ति

Also when those that do not deserve to do an action do not do it. Viz. Mūrkhēşu āsīnēşu rddhā bhuñjatē, Vrṣalēṣu āsīnēsu brāhmaṇās taranti.

तिद्वपर्यासे च Also when the same is topsyturvy.

तद्विपर्यासे च सप्तमी वक्तन्या - ऋद्धेष्वासीनेषु मूर्खा भुझते, ब्राह्मणेष्वासीनेषु वृषलास्तरन्ति

Saptamī is to be enjoined even when the same is topsyturvy. Viz. Rddhēşu āsīnēşu mūrkhā bhuñjatē, brāhmaņēşu āsīnēşu vṛṣalās turanti.

निमित्तात्कर्मसंयोगे च

Also after the word denoting the fruit of the action when it is in association with the karma of the action.

निमित्तात् कर्नसंयोगे सप्तमी वक्तव्या त्रमीण द्वीपिनं हन्ति दन्तयोहीन वृद्धरम् । केथेप त्रमरी हन्ति सीचि पुष्कलको हतः ॥ Saplamī is to be enjoined to the word denoting $kriy\bar{a}phala$ when it is in association with the karma of the $kriy\bar{a}$.

Carmaṇi dvīpinam hanti. (He kills the leopord for the skin)

Dantayōr hanti kuñjaram. (He kills the elephant for tusks)

Kēśēṣu camarīm hanti. - (He kills camarī for the hair)

Sīmni puskalakō hatah. (Musk-deer is killed for the scrotum)

यस च भावेन भावलक्षणम् (2, 3, 37)

भावलक्षणे सप्तमीविधानेऽभावलक्षणे उपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add that the seventh case-suffix follows $kriy\bar{a}v\bar{a}cuka$ when the $kriy\bar{a}$ is not the nimitta of another $kriy\bar{a}$.

भावलक्षणे सप्तमीविधानेऽभावलक्षण उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अमिषु ह्रयमानेषु प्रस्थितः, हुतेषु आगतः ; गोषु दृश्यमानासु प्रस्थितः, दुग्धास्वागतः ।

When saptamī is enjoined to bhāva which serves as lakṣaṇa, there is need to enjoin the same even when it does not serve as lakṣaṇa. Viz. Agniṣu hūyamānēṣu prasthitaḥ, hutēṣu āgataḥ (He started when agnihōtra was started and returned when it ended); Gōṣu duhyamānāsu prasthitaḥ, dugdhāsvāgataḥ. (He started when the cows were being milked and returned when the milking was over).

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति?

How is it not accomplished from the sūtra itself?

लक्षणं नाम तद् भवति येन पुनः पुनरुद्धयते । सक्चासौ कथं चिद्मिषु ह्यमानेषु प्रस्थितः, हुतेष्वागतः; गोषु दुद्धमानासु प्रस्थितः, दुग्धास्वागतः ॥

Lakṣaṇa is that which is frequently seen in concomitance with lakṣya. This is seen only once by chance that he started when agnihōtra commenced and returned when it ended or that he started when the cows were being milked and returned when the milking was over.

सिद्धं तु भावप्रवृत्तौ यस्य भावारम्भवचनात्

The object is achieved by reading the sūtra Yasya ca bhāva-pravṛttāu bhāvārambhaḥ.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम् ? How?

यस्य भावपदृत्तौ द्वितीयो भाव आर्भ्यते तत्र सप्तभी वक्तन्या Saptamī is to be enjoined to the word which denotes an action, when another action takes place at the same time.

सिध्यति । स्रतं तर्हि भिद्यते

Yes, the object is accomplished. But the sūtra is to be recast-

यथान्यासमेवास्तु Let the sūtra remain as it is.

ननु चोक्तं भावलक्षणे सप्तमीविधानेऽभावलक्षण उपसङ्ख्यानम् इति
Oh, it was said Bhāvalakṣaṇē saptamīvidhānē abhāvalakṣaṇa
upasankhyānam.

नैप दोषः । न खल्वर्यं तदेव लक्षणं भवति येन पुनः पुनर्लक्ष्यते । सक्द्रिप यित्रिमित्तत्वाय कल्पते तदिप लक्षणं भवति । तद्यथा - अपि भवान् कमण्डलुपाणि छालमद्राक्षीदिति ! सक्द्रसौ कमण्डलुपाणिः दृष्टः छातः, तस्य तदेव लक्षणं भवति । This difficulty does not arise. It is not absolutely necessary that it alone deserves to be lakşana which is frequently seen in concomitance with lakṣya. Even when two things are seen together for a moment, one becomes the lakṣaṇa of another. For instance, one puts this question, "Did you see the pupil having kamaṇḍalu in his hand?" The pupil was seen only once with kamaṇḍalu in his hand. The same becomes his lakṣaṇa.

पश्चमी विभक्ते (2, 3, 42)

इह कसान्न भवति - कृष्णा गवां संपन्नक्षीरतमा इति?

Why does not pañcamī appear here in gavām of Kṛṣṇā gavām sampannakṣīratamā (Black cow among cows gives a large quantity of milk)?

विभक्त इरयुच्यते, न चत्र विभक्तम्
The word vibhakta is read and this is not vibhakta (different element).

विभक्तमेतत् - गोभ्यः कृष्णा विभज्यते

This too is vibhakta. The black cow is different from cows.

विभक्तमेव यन्नित्यं तत्र भवितन्यम्, न चैतन्नित्यं विभक्तम It should appear where vibhaktatva is nitya and the vibhaktatva here is not nitya.

Note: -Vibhakta refers to those which belong to different genera and not to those which have different individuality but belong to the same genus.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत्? Is this to be stated ?

न हि No, It need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते

How is it to be understood without its being stated?

विभक्तम्रहणसामर्थ्यात्

On the sāmarthya of the word vibhakta which is read.

यदि यद् विभक्तं चाविभक्तं च तत्र स्याद् विभक्तग्रहणम् अनर्थकं स्यात् If it applies with reference to those which are vibhakta (with reference to individuality) and avibhakta (with reference to genus), the reading of the word vibhakta in the sūtra is unnecessary.

साधुनिपुणाभ्यामचीयां सप्तम्यप्रतेः (२, ३, ४३)

अप्रसादिभि: Apratēḥ is to be replaced by apratyādibhiḥ.

अप्रत्यादिभिरिति वक्तव्यम् - इहापि यथा स्यात् - साधुदैवदचो मातरं परि, मातरमनु ।

Apratyādibhih is to be read in place of apratēh, so that the seventh case may not follow $m\bar{a}tr$ in the following expressions: $S\bar{a}dhur\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{o}\ m\bar{a}taram\ pari,\ m\bar{a}taram\ anu.$

प्रसितोत्सुकाभ्यां तृतीया च (2, 3, 44)

प्रसित इत्युच्यते, कः प्रसितो नाम ?

The word prasita is read. What is that word prasita?

यस्तत्र नित्यं प्रतिबद्धः

It means one who is pratibaddha intermittently.

कृत एतत् ? How is it so interpreted ?

सिनोतिरयं वध्नात्यर्थे वर्तते, बद्ध इवासौ तत्र भवति

The root si (5th conjugation) means to bind; he remains as if he is bound.

नक्षते च छिप (2, 3, 45)

इह कस्मान्न भवति - अद्य पुष्यः अद्य मद्या

Why does not the $s\bar{u}tra$ operate here in Adya pusyal, and adya $magh\bar{a}$?

अधिकरण इति वर्तते There is anuvṛlti to the word adhikaraṇē.

Ahnika - Twentyfive ends.

(Second adhyāya, third pāda, second āhnika ends.

Ahnika — Twentysix

(Second adhyāya, third pāda, third āhnika)

प्रातिपदिकार्थलिङ्गपरिमाणवचनमात्रे प्रथमा (2, 3, 46)

There are eight topics dealt with here:—(1-5) Benefits of reading prātipadika, linga, parimāna, vacana and mātra in the sūtra: (6) Need or otherwise of the upasankhyāna of Padasāmānādhikaranyē (7-8). Replacing the sūtra by Abhihitē prathamā or Tinsamānādhikaranē prathamā.

T

प्रातिपदिकमहणं किमधम ? Why is prātipadika read ?

उच्चैः, नीचैः इत्यत्रापि यथा स्यात्

To allow prathamā in the avyayas-uccāih and nīcāih also.

किं पुनरत्र प्रथमया प्रार्थ्यते ?

What is aimed at by admitting $pratham\bar{a}$ (which is elided) after them?

पद्रवम् Padatvam

Note: $-K\tilde{a}iyata$ says that padatva is secured by the dietum: $-Pratyayal\tilde{o}p\tilde{e}$ pratyayalaksanam,

नैतद्स्ति । षष्ट्या अत्र पदस्वं भविष्यति

It is not so. Padatva is secured here through $sasth\bar{i}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $sasth\bar{i}$ $s\bar{e}s\bar{e}$.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - ग्राम उचैस्तव स्वम्, ग्राम उचैरते स्वम् - सपूर्वायाः प्रथमाया विभाषा इत्येष विधियथा स्थात्

This, then, is the benefit that the sūtra Sapūrvāyūḥ prathamāyā vibhāṣā (8, 1, 26) may operate to secure tē in Grāma uccāis tē svam along with tava in Grāma uccāis tava sv.m.

H

अय लिङ्गग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? Why is linga read?

स्त्री, पुमान्, नपुंसकम् इत्यत्रापि यथा स्यात् To allow prathamā after the words strī, pumān and napumsakam also. नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम्, एप एवाल प्रातिपादिकार्थः

No, it cannot be the benefit, since the same is the meaning of the stem there.

इदं तर्हि, कुमारी, वृक्षः, वु.ण्डम् इति

If so, the use of $pratham\bar{a}$ after the words $kum\bar{a}r\bar{i}$, $v_{i}k_{i}ah$ and kundam is the benefit.

Note: - Kāiyaļa prefers taļah and taļam as udāharaņa.

III

अथ परिमाणग्रहणं किमर्थम्? Why is parimāṇa read?

द्रोणः, खारी, आढकम् इत्यत्रापि यथा स्यात्

To allow prathamā after the words $dr\bar{o}na$, $kh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{a}dhakam$ also.

Note:—1. The words $dr\bar{o}na$ etc. denote measure. If they are used in the sense of objects that serve as measure, parimāna is the meaning of the prātipadika and hence the word parimāna is not needed in the sūtra. But if, on the other hand, they denote grain of that measure (parimēya) by lakṣaṇā, it does not become the prātipadikārtha and hence it is to be read in the sūtra.

Note:—2. Nāgēšabhaṭṭa explains the bhāṣya thus:—So that there may be anvaya of parimāṇa which is vibhaktyartha, with vrīhi, the parimēya, through measured measuring relationship. He further states that the meaning of the stem in drēṇa is drēṇatva and not pariṇāmatva and the reading of parimāṇa in the sūtra is for vāilakṣaṇya in śābdabēdha.

IV

अथ वचनश्रहणं किमर्थम् ! Why is vacana read ?

इह समुद्राये वावयपरिसमाप्तिर्दृश्यते । तद्यथा - गर्गाः शतं दृष्ट्यन्ताम् इति, अधिनश्च राजानो हिर्ण्येन भवन्ति, न च प्रत्येकं दृण्टयन्ति । सत्येतिमान् दृष्टान्ते यंत्रतानि सर्वाणि समुद्रितानि भवन्ति तत्र्येव स्यात् - द्रोणः लारी आदकम् इति । इष्ट न स्यात् - कुमारी, युक्षः, कुण्टम् इति ।

The fruit of the vidhi in this $s\bar{u}tra$ is seen collectively (so that, $pratham\bar{a}$ may appear where all the three - $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rtha$, linga and vacana - are present). This is seen from the following $ny\bar{a}ya$:- $Garg\bar{a}h$ $\hat{s}atam$ $dandyant\bar{a}m$ (Let Gargas be fined hundred coins). Kings want money and they do not fine them individually. Following this $ny\bar{a}ya$, $pratham\bar{a}$ will set in only where all the three are expressed, as in $dr\bar{o}nah$, $kh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{a}dhakam$ and will not appear in $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$, vrksah and kundam.

नैतद्दित प्रयोजनम् । प्रत्येकमपि वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिर्दृश्यते । तद्यथा गुण-वृद्धिसंज्ञे प्रत्येकं सवतः ।

This cannot be the benefit. The fruit of the meaning of the sentence is seen individually also, as with reference to the designations guna and vrddhi.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - उक्तेप्वप्येकत्वादिषु प्रथमा यथा स्यात् - एकः, द्वौ, बहवः इति

This, then, is the benefit that $pratham\bar{a}$ appears in the words $\bar{e}kah$, $dv\bar{a}u$ and bahavah even though $\bar{e}katva$ etc. have been expressed (by the stem).

Note:—Vacana refers to $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}$ according to $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}$ - $c\bar{a}ryas$.

V

अथ मात्रग्रहणं किमधेम् ? Why is mātra read?

एतन्मात्र एव प्रथमा यथा स्थात् कर्मादिविशिष्टे मा भूदिति - कटं करोति To allow prathamā only when the meaning of the stem alone is expressed and not when karmatva etc too are expressed in addition, as in kaṭam karōti.

Note:—This arises in pratyayaniyama-pakṣa thus-Karmaṇi $dvitīy\bar{a}$ etc. are taken that $dvitīy\bar{a}$ etc. may express only karmatva etc. This will not prohibit $pratham\bar{a}$ from expressing them.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । कर्मादिषु द्वितीयाद्याः विभक्तयः । ताः कर्मादिविशिष्टे वाधिका भविष्यन्ति ।

No, this is not the benefit. The vibhaktis-dvitīyā etc. enjoined to denote karmatva etc. prevent prathamā being used there (i.e.) in arthaniyama-pakṣa,

अथ वा आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित न कर्मादिविशिष्टे प्रथमा भवतीति, यदयं सम्बोधने प्रथमां शास्ति ।

Or the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $pratham\bar{a}$ does not appear to denote karmatva etc. also, since he enjoins $pratham\bar{a}$ in the vocative case (and hence there is no harm in pratyayaniyama-pakṣa),

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya\dot{t}a$ says that the statement that $pratham\bar{a}$ denotes vocative will be unnecessary, if it is taken to express karmatva etc.

नैतद्क्तिं ज्ञापकम् । अस्ति ह्यन्यदेतस्य वचने प्रयोजनम् This does not serve as a jñā paka; for another purpose is served by reading it.

किम्? What?

सामन्त्रितमिति वक्ष्यामि इति

I am going to give the $sainj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ $S\tilde{a}mantritam$ to that which ends in it.

यत्ति योगिविभागं करोति । इतरथा हि सम्बोधने आमिन्त्रितम् इत्येव ब्रूयात् । It is not the case, since he reads them as two sūlras. Otherwise he would have read them as one sūlra thus: Sambādkanē āmantrilam.

इदं तर्हि उक्तेष्विप एकत्वादिपु प्रथमा यथा स्यात् - एकः, द्वौ, वहवः इति
This, then, is the benefit that prathamā appears in ēkaḥ, dvāu
and bahavaḥ even though ēkatva etc. are expressed by the
prātipadikas.

वचनप्रहणस्यापि एतत् प्रयोजनमुक्तम् । अन्यतरत् शक्यमवनतुम् । The same prayojana was stated for reading vacana and hence either of the two - vacana and mātra - may be dropped.

VI

प्रातिपदिकार्थिलिङ्गपरिमाणवचनमात्रे प्रथमालक्षणे पदसामानाधिकरण्ये उप-सङ्ख्यानमधिकत्वात्

There is need for adding padasāmānādhikaraņyē in the prathamālakṣaṇasūtra-Prātipadikārtha-linga-parimāṇa-vacana-mātrē prathamū, since it makes its appearance in addition.

प्रातिपदिकार्थेलिङ्गपरिमाणवचनमात्रे प्रथमालक्षणे पदसामानाधिकरण्ये उप-सङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - वीरः पुरुषः इति ।

There is need for the upasankhyāna of padasāmānādhikaruṇyē in the sūtra Prātipadikārtha-linga-parimāṇa-vacana-mātrē prathamā, so that prathamā may follow puruṣa in vīraḥ puruṣaḥ.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why will it not follow it?

अधिकत्वात् । व्यतिरिक्तः पातिपदिकार्थ इति कृत्वा प्रथमा न प्राप्नोति On account of an extraneous element. Prathamā can not appear since the prātipadikārtha is something other linga, parimāņa and vacana alone.

कथं व्यतिरिक्तः ?

What is it which makes it other than liniga etc.?

पुरुषे वीरत्वम्

Vīratva in the meaning of puruşa is an extraneous element.

न वा वाक्यार्थत्वात्

No, it need not be read, on account of its $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}ana-vi\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}yabh\bar{a}va$ being the meaning of $v\bar{a}kya$.

न वा वक्तव्यम् It need not be read.

किं कारणम्? Why?

वाक्यार्थत्वात् । यदत्राधिकयं वाक्यार्थः सः

Since it comes under $v\bar{a}ky\bar{a}rtha$. The additional element is taken under $v\bar{a}ky\bar{a}rtha$.

VII

अथ वा 'अभिहिते प्रथमा" इत्येत छक्षणं करिष्यते Or the sūlra is recast thus:— Abhihitē prathamā.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads: $-Ti\dot{n}-k\dot{r}t$ -taddhita-sam $\bar{a}s\bar{a}ir$ abhihit \bar{t} kartr $\bar{a}d\bar{a}u$ pratham \bar{a} ityarthah.

अभिहितलक्षणायामनभिहिते प्रथमाविधिः

If $Abhihit\bar{e}$ prathamā is agreed to, there is need to enjoin prathamā where it is anabhihita.

अभिहितलक्षणायामनभिहिते प्रथमा विघेया - वृक्षः, स्रक्षः इति

There is need to enjoin prathamā where there is anabhihitatva, as in vṛkṣaḥ, plakṣaḥ, if the lakṣaṇa Abhihitē prathamā is accepted.

डक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

अस्तिभैवन्तीपरः प्रथमपुरुषोऽपयुज्यमानोऽप्यस्तीति गम्यते - वृक्षः प्रक्षः अस्तीति गम्यते इति

Asti in the present tense is taken to be understood after a noun of the third person though it is not read. Hence asti is taken to be understood after vrksah and plaksah.

अभिहितानभिहिते हि प्रथमाभावः

There is chance for prathamā where there is abhihitatva and anabhihitatva.

अभिहितानभिहिते हि प्रथमा प्राप्नोति

There is chance for prathamā where there is abhihitatva and anabhihitatva.

事? Where?

प्रासादे आस्ते, शयने आस्ते इति । सदिप्रत्ययेन अभिहितमधिकरणमिति कत्वा प्रथमा प्राप्नोति ।

There is chance for prathamā after prāsāda and śayana in the sentences $Pr\bar{a}s\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ āstē and Śayanē āstē, since adhikaraṇatva is expressed by the kṛt-pratyaya a after sad (and śi).

VIII

एवं तर्हि 'तिङ्समानाधिकरणे प्रथमा' इत्येतल्लक्षणं करिष्यते If so, the laksana is recast thus:—Tinsamanadhikarane prathama.

तिङ्समानाधिकरण इति चेत् तिङोऽप्रयोगे प्रथमाविधिः

If the laksana is recast Tin-samānādhikaraņē prathamā, there is need to enjoin prathamā where tin is not used.

तिङ्समानाधिकरण इति चेत् तिङोऽपयोगे प्रथमा विधेया वृक्षः, प्रक्षः If the lakṣaṇa is recast Tin-samānādhikaraṇē prathamā, there is need to enjoin prathamā where tin is not used, as in vrkṣaḥ and plakṣaḥ.

उक्तं पूर्वेण It was answered previously.

किमुक्तम्? How was it answered?

अस्तिर्भवन्तीपरः प्रथमपुरुपोऽप्रयुज्यमानोऽप्यस्ति इति गम्यते - वृक्षः प्रक्षः, अस्तीति गम्यते इति

Asti in the present tense is taken to be understood after a noun of third person, if no verb is used after it. When vrkṣaḥ or plakṣaḥ is used above, the word asti is taken to be understood.

श्रतृशानचोश्र निमित्तभावात्तिङोऽभावस्तयोरपवाद्त्वात्

No chance for tin on account of satr and sanac which are its apavada having nimitta to appear.

शतृशानचोश्च निमित्तमावात्तिङोऽमावः

There is no chance for tin, since there is nimitta for saty and sanac to appear.

Note:—1. The nimitta for śair and śūnac is aprathamā-sāmānādhikaranyam mentioned in the sūtra Laṭaḥ śairśānacā-vaprathamāsamānādhikaraṇē (3, 2, 124).

Note:—2. $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that nominative suffix alone follows $D\bar{e}vadatta$ only when the finished word pacati follows it. Before it there is chance for the second case-suffix to appear. In that case $\hat{s}atr$ and $\hat{s}anac$ alone will follow pac and not tin.

朝? Where?

पचत्योदनं देवदत्त इति

After the root pac in the sentence Pacati odanam Devadattali.

किं कारणम् ? Why ?

तयोरपवादत्वात् । शतृशानचो तिङ्गवादो । तो अत वाधको । न च अपवादिवपये उत्सर्गोऽभिनिविशते - पूर्व हापवादा अभिनिविशन्ते पश्चादुत्सर्गाः; प्रकरुप्य च अपवादिविषयमुत्सर्गोऽभिनिविशते । न तावदत्र कदाचित् तिङादेशो भवति । अपवादो तावत् शतृशानचौ प्रतीक्षते । On account of their being apavāda. Śatr and śānāc are apavāda of tin. They may serve as bādhaka here. Utsarga does not step in the sphere of apavāda. For apavādas are given the first preference to act and then only atsargas operate, utsargas operate reserving room for apavādas to operate. In either case there is no chance for tin to take the place of lakāra. It expects the apavādas-śatr and śānac to appear and recedes.

Note:—The former of the two principles stated above rests on lakṣaṇāika-cakṣuṣka-darśanam and the latter on lakṣyāika-cakṣuṣka-darśanam.

पाक्षिक एप दोपः

This difficulty will arise only when a particular doctrine is held.

कतरसिन्पक्षे श्रतृशानचे हैंतं भवति - अत्रथमा वा विधिना आश्रीयते प्रथमा वा प्रतिषेधेन; विभक्तिनियमे चापि हैतं भवति, विभक्तिनियमो वा स्याद अर्थनियमो वेति?.

In what pakṣa does the difficulty arise? Is there conflict between śatṛ and śānac on one side and tin on the other, when aprathamā is taken as paryudāsa or prasajya pratiṣēdha and when vibhakti-niyama is taken in the sūtras Karmaņi dvitīyā etc. or arthaniyama is taken?

Note:—If aprathamā is taken as paryudāsa, the word aprathamāsamānādhikaraņē means when there is sāmānādhikaraņya with dvitīyā, trīīyā etc. and if it is taken as prasajyapratiṣēdha. it means satr and sānac do not replace lakāra when there is sāmānādhikaraṇya with prathamā. If vibhaktiniyama is taken in Karmaṇi dvitīyā etc, it means karma etc, are denoted by, dvitīyā etc. alone and dvitīyā etc. may have other meanings If arthaniyama is taken, dvitīyā etc. are used to denote karma etc. alone and karma etc. may be denoted by other cases also. Nāgēšabhaṭṭī says that pratyayaniyama alone is acceptable to Sūtrakāra and arthaniyama to Vārttikakāra.

तद्यदा तावद् अर्थनियमः, अत्रथमा च विधिना, आश्रीयते तदेष दोपो भवति । यदा हि विभक्तिनियमः, तदा यद्येत्रमप्रथमा विधिनाश्रीयते अथापि प्रथमा प्रतिपेधेन, तदा न दोषो भवति ॥

This difficulty arises only when arthaniyamapakṣa and paryu-dāsapakṣa of aprothamā are adhered to. With reference to vibhaktiniyamapakṣa, the difficulty does not at all arise whether aprathamā is paryudāsa or prasajyapratiṣēdha.

पृष्टी शेषे (2, 3, 50)

There are four topics here:—(1) The denotation of the word $\delta \bar{e} \, \bar{s} a$ (2) Its connotation (3) The need for $\delta \bar{e} \, \bar{s} \bar{e}$ in the $s \bar{u} t r a$ and (4) The need or otherwise of the $v i \delta \bar{e} \, \bar{s} y a pratis \bar{e} d h a$.

T

शेष इत्युच्यते ; कः शेषो नाम?

The word śēṣē is read. What does it denote?

कर्मादिभ्यो येऽन्येऽर्थाः स शेषः

Śēśa denotes the meanings other than karmādis.

11

एवं तर्हि कर्मादीनामविवक्षा भेषः

If so, śēṣa connotes non-cognizance of karmādis.

1. This is so said since purusa, being under the service of the king, receives remuneration from him.

कथं पुनः सतो नामाविवक्षा स्यात्?

How cannot one take cognizance of what actually exists?

सतोऽप्यविवक्षा भवति - तद्यथा अलोमिका एडका, अनुदरा कन्या इति । असतश्च विवक्षा भवति - तद्यथा समुद्रः कुण्डिका, विन्ध्यो वर्धितकम् इति । Sometimes even things that do exist are not taken cognizance of, as in—Alōmikā ēḍakā (eve without wool), and Anudarā kanyā (girl without abdomen). Sometimes even those that do not exist are expressed, as in Samudraḥ kuṇḍikā (the little pot is an ocean), and Vindhyō vardhitakam (the heap of food is Vindhyas).

III

किमर्थ पुनः शेपग्रहणम् ? Why is śēṣē read ?

प्रत्ययावधारणाच्छेपवचनम्

Need to read śēṣē, since pratyayas are niyata.

प्रत्ययावधारणात् शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । प्रत्यया नियताः, अर्था अनियताः, तत्र पष्ठी प्रामोति । तत्र शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं पष्ठीनियमार्थं, शेष एव पष्ठी भवति, नान्यत्र इति ।

There is need to read sess on account of pralyayas being restricted. Pralyayas are niyala and meanings are aniyala and sasihī may appear there. Hence there is need to read sess to restrict that sasihī can be used only with reference to sesa and none else.

अर्थावधारणाद्वा Or on account of the niyatatra of meanings.

अथ वा अर्था नियताः, प्रत्यया अनियताः, ते श्रेपऽपि प्राप्नुवन्ति । तत्र श्रेपमहणं कर्तन्यं श्रेपनियमार्थं - श्रेप पण्ड्येव भवति नान्या इति । Or meanings are niyata and pratyayas are aniyata and they may chance to appear with reference to seşa. Hence is the need to read seşe for seşaniyama, that sasthi alone should be used with reference to seşa.

अर्थनियमे हे।पप्रद्णं जनयमफर्तुम् It is possible to manage without ६१६६ in arthaniyama-pakça.

क्षम्! How?

अर्था नियताः, प्रत्यया अनियताः । ततो वक्ष्यामि षष्ठी भवति इति । तिन्यमार्थे भविष्यति - यत्र षष्ठी चान्या च प्राप्तोति, षष्ठ्येव तत्र भवति इति । Meanings are restricted and pratyayas are unrestricted. I shall, then, read that ṣaṣṭhī makes its appearance. It serves the purpose of niyama, that ṣaṣṭhī alone appears, where both ṣaṣṭhī and non-ṣaṣṭhī have a chance to appear.

IV

पष्टी शेष इति चेद् विशेष्यस्य प्रतिषेधः

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read \bar{s} , $s\bar{e}$, there is need to prohibit $vi\bar{s}\bar{e}sya$ from taking it.

षष्ठी शेष इति चेद् विशेष्यस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । राज्ञः पुरुष इत्यल राजा विशेषणं, पुरुषो विशेष्यः । तत्र प्रातिपदिकार्थी व्यतिरिक्त इति कृत्वा प्रथमा न प्रामोति । तत्र पष्ठी स्यात् , तस्याः प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः

If the sūtra is read Ṣaṣṭhī śēṣē, there is need to prohibit viśēṣya from taking ṣaṣṭhī. In the expression Rājñaḥ puruṣaḥ, rājā is viśēṣaṇa and puruṣa is viśēṣya. There is no chance for prathamā on the strength of the sūtra Prātipadikārtha-linga ... (2, 3, 46) after the word puruṣa, since its meaning has within it rāja-nirūpita-viśēṣyatva which is beyond the range of the prātipadikārtha. Hence is the chance for ṣaṣṭhī to appear there and it should be prohibited.

तत्र प्रथमानिधिः Need to enjoin prathamā there.

तत्र षष्ठीं प्रतिषिध्य प्रथमा विधेया, राज्ञः पुरुषः इति । There is need to enjoin $pratham\bar{a}$, after prohibiting sasthī to secure the expression $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ak$ purusal.

उक्तं पूर्वेण

It has already been answered (in the bhāṣya under 2, 3, 46)

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

न वा वाक्यार्थत्वाड् इति । यदत्राधिक्यं वाक्यार्थः सः It need not be read, on account of its being vākyārtha. The additional element which is mentioned here comes within the purview of the meaning of the sentence.

कुतो नु खल्वेतत् - पुरुषे -यदाधिश्यं स वाक्यार्थ इति, न पुना राजनि यदाधिश्यं स वाक्यार्थः स्यात How is it that the additional element with reference to purusa comes within the the purview of $v\bar{a}ky\bar{a}rtha$ and that the additional element with reference to $r\bar{a}jan$ does not come under it?

अन्तरेणापि पुरुषशाटदस्य प्रयोगं राजनि सोऽर्थो गम्यते, न पुनरन्तरेण राज-शाटदस्य प्रयोगं पुरुष सोऽर्थो गम्यते ।

The additional element with reference to $r\bar{o}jan$ comes within cognition even if puruṣa is not read; but, on the other hand, additional element with reference to puruṣa does not come within cognition except in its association with the word $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$.

अस्ति कारणं यनैतदेवं भवति

There is reason for such a conclusion.

कि कारणम्? What is the reason?

राजगठदाद्धि भवान् पष्ठीमुचारयति । अङ्ग हि भवान् पुरुपगठदादण्युचारयतु, गंस्यते सोऽर्थः

Oh, you read $sasth\bar{i}$ after $r\bar{a}jan$. You better use, sir, the same after purusa also, and the same meaning is found

ननु च नेतेनैवं भवितव्यम् - न हि शब्दछतेन नामार्थेन भवितव्यम् , अर्थक्रोन नाम शब्देन भवितव्यम् ।

Oh, cannot we decide so on the basis that meaning should not be ascertained from the words used, but words have to be chosen to express the desired meaning?

तदेतदेवं दृश्यताम् - अर्थस्यमेवेतद् एवंशातीयकं येनात्र अन्तरेणापि पुरुष शब्दपयोगं राजनि सोऽर्थो गम्यते

Let it be taken so that word is chosen to suit the meaning. That meaning is suggested in rājan even if puruṣa is not read.

कि पुनस्तत्! What is it?

स्यामित्वम् The idea of svāmitra

कि छतं पुनम्तन्? By which is it understood?

खट्टाम् It is understood from its own capacity.

तराथा - प्रातिपिटिकार्थानां क्रियाकृता विशेषा उपज्ञायन्ते, तरकृताय अस्ययः प्रादुर्भवन्ति - क्रमे, करणस्, अपादाने, सम्यदानस्, अधिरमणस् इति । ताश्र पुनर्विभक्तीनासुर्वकी फदाचिलिमिक्तवेगोपादीयस्ते फदाकिल्ल । This is explained thus: Some višēṣas in the meanings of prātipadikas through their vyāpāra are taken cognisance of and from them, names like karma, karaṇam, apādānam, sampradānam and adhikaraṇam are given. They sometimes serve as nimitta to choose the case suffixes and sometimes do not.

कदा च विभक्तीनामुत्पत्ता निमित्तत्वेन नोपादीयन्ते ?

When do they not serve as nimitta to choose the case-suffixes?

यदा व्यभिचरन्ति प्रातिपदिकाथम्

When they (śakti-viśēṣas) are outside the sphere of $pr\bar{a}ti$ - $padik\bar{a}rtha$.

यदा हि न व्यभिचरन्ति, आख्याभूता एव तदा भवन्ति - कर्म, करणम्, अपादानं, सम्प्रदानं, अधिकरणम् इति

When they are within the sphere of prātipadikārtha, karma, kuraņam, apādānam, sampradānam and adhikaraņam serve as mere names denoting the function of case-suffixes.

यथेव तहिं राजनि स्वकृतं स्वामित्वं तल षष्ठी भवति, एवं पुरुषेऽपि स्वामिकृतं स्वत्वं तत्र षष्ठी प्रामोति ।

Just as $sasth\bar{\imath}$ is used after $r\bar{a}jan$ on the basis that there is $sv\bar{a}mitva$ created by himself in him, there is chance for the same $sasth\bar{\imath}$ to be used after purusa, since there is svatva in him created by $sv\bar{a}mitva$.

राजशब्दाद् उत्पद्यमानया पष्ट्या अभिहितः सोऽर्थ इति कृत्वा पुरुपशब्दात् पष्ठी न भविष्यति

Since that meaning-sva- $sv\bar{a}mitva$ -sambandha has been expressed by the $sasth\bar{i}$ after $r\bar{a}jan$, it cannot be used after purusa.

न तर्हि इदानीमिरं भवति - पुरुषस्य राजा इति

If so, is there no chance for the expression Puruşasya rājā?

भवति । राजशब्दात्त् तदा प्रथमा

Yes, it has. $Pratham\bar{a}$ alone can then be used after $r\bar{a}jun$.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति राज्ञः पुरुपस्य इति ?

If so, is there is no chance for the expression Rājñaḥ puruṣasya? भवति वाद्यमर्थमभिसभीक्ष्य Yes, it has a chance, on considering its relation with an external object.

Note:-If puru, a subordinates itself by governing another, it takes a, a, b, a after it, even though it is pradh ana with reference to $r\bar{o}jan$.

अधीगर्थदयेशां कर्मणि (2, 3, 52)

कर्मादिष्यकर्मकत्रद्वचनम्

Need to read akarmakarad with reference to the āśrayas of karma.

कर्मादिष्वकर्मकवद्भावो वक्तव्यः

Akarmakavadbhāva has to be enjoined with reference to the āṣrayas of karma, (the dhātus read in the sūtras from 2, 3, 52 to 2, 3, 61.)

कि प्रयोजनम् ? What is the benefit?

अकर्मकाणां भावे लो भवति इति भावे लो यथा स्यात् - मातुः सार्यते, पितुः सार्यते इति

So that the expressions Mātuḥ smaryatē and Pituḥ smaryatē in bhāvē-prayēga on the authority of Akarmakāṇām bhāvē lö bhavati may be secured.

अंथ वत्करणं किमर्थम्! What is the purpose served by vat?

स्वाश्रयमि यथा स्थात् - माता सार्यते, पिता सार्यते इति

So that the expressions Mātā smaryatē and pitā smaryatē based on karmaņi-prayēga also may be secured.

कर्माभिधाने हि लिङ्गवचनानुपपत्तिः

For in the absence of akarmakavadbhava, there will be anupapatti of linga and vacana.

क्यांभिधाने हि सति लिक्षयन्तयोरनुष्पत्तिः स्थात् - मात्ः स्मृतम्, मात्रोः स्मृतम्, मातृगां स्मृतम् इति । मातृर्येष्ठिक्षं यपनं तत् स्मृत्याव्यस्मापि पामोति । If akarmakavadhhāva is not read, the linga (napunisala) and vacana (ikavacana) after the word smrta in Matuh smrtam, Mātrēh smrtam and Mātiņām smrtam may not be appropriate, since it will have to take the *linga* and the racana of mātṛ that precedes it.

पष्टीप्रसङ्गश्च Chance too for sasthī.

षष्ठी च प्राप्नोति There is chance for sasthī too to appear.

कृतः? After what?

स्मृतशब्दात्, मातुः सामानाधिकरण्यात् षष्ठी प्राप्नोति

After the word smrta which is $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa$ with $m\bar{a}tr$.

अपर आह Another says.

पद्योप्रसङ्गञ्च Need to make şaşthī to appear.

षष्ठी च प्रसङ्क्तन्या There is need to make sasihī appear.

कृतः? After what?

मातृशब्दात् । स्मृतशब्देनाभिहितं कभेति कृत्वा षष्ठी न प्राप्ताति After the word mātṛ. There will be no chance for ṣaṣṭhī, since karmatva is expressed by the smrta.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It (akarmakavat) has to be enjoined then.

न वक्तव्यम् । अविवक्षिते कर्मणि षष्टी भवति

No, it need not be enjoined. Saṣṭhī sets in where there is no vivakṣā for karma.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत्? Is this to be stated?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते

How is it to be so understood without its being stated? शेष इति वर्तते There is anuvṛtti for śēṣē.

शेषश्च कः ? What does the word śēṣa connote?

The word sēsa connotes the avivaksā of karmādis.

यदा च कर्म विवक्षितं भवति, तदा षष्टी न भवति - तद्यथा, साराम्यहं मातरं, साराम्यहं पितरं इति ।

When there is vivakṣā for karmatva, ṣaṣṭhī does not set in, as in Smarāmi aham mātaram and Smarāmi aham pitaram.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa clearly explains how bhāiavacanānām means bhāvakartrkānām.

रुजार्थानां भाववचनानामज्वरेः (2, 3, 54)

अज्यारिसन्ताप्याः Need to replace Ajvarēļi by Ajvari-santāpyēļi.

अज्वरिसन्ताप्योरिति वक्तव्यम् , इहापि यथा स्यात् चोरं सन्तापयति, वृपलं सन्तापयति

Ajvarisantāpyāh is to be read, so that the expressions Coram santāpayati and Vrsalam santāpayati may be secured.

अथ किमर्थ भाववचनानाम् इत्युच्यते, यावता रुजार्था भाववचना एव गवन्ति! What is the need for the word bhāvavacanānām when rujārthas are only bhāvavacanas?

भावकतृकाद् यथा स्यात्, इह मा भृत् - नदी क्रूचानि रुजति इति So that it may be after bhāvakartṛka, so as to prevent its operation here in Nadī kūlāni rujati.

द्वितीया त्रासणे (2, 3, 60)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example?

गां प्रन्ति, गां प्रदीवयन्ति, गां सभासद्भ्य उपहरन्ति

The examples are:-Gám ghnanti, gám pradivyanti, and gám sabhāsadbhya upaharanti.

नैतदस्ति, पूर्वेणाप्येतत् सिद्धम्

No, this is not; this is secured even by the previous sulta (Vibhāsēpasargē).

इदं तर्हि, गामस्य तदहः सभायां दीव्ययुः This, then, is the example:-Gom esta tedahah sathayom dienayuh.

प्रव्यव्यविदेशियो देवतासम्प्रदाने (१, ३, ६१)

हित्रेषे ५ शिक्षा स्थ

चतुर्थ्यथे बहुलं छन्दासे (2, 3, 62)

पष्ट्यर्थे चतुर्थी 1 Need to read Şaşthyarthē caturthī.

षण्ठ्यर्थे चतुर्थी वक्तव्या - या खर्वेण पित्रीत तस्य खर्वः (जायते)

There is need to read Ṣaṣṭhyarthē caturthī, to sanction tasyāi for tasyāḥ in Yā kharvēṇa pibati tasyāi kharvaḥ (jāyatē).

यां मलेबद्वाससं सम्भवन्ति यस्ततो जायते सोऽभिश्नस्तः, यामरेण्ये तस्यै स्तेनो यां पराचीं तस्यै हीतमुख्यपगलमो या स्नाति तस्यो अप्युमारको, या अभ्यङ्क्ते तस्यै दुश्चर्मा, या प्रिलखते तस्यै खलतिरपमारी, या अङ्क्ते तस्यै काणः, या दतो धावेते तस्यै श्यावदन्, या नखानि निक्नन्तते तस्यै कुनखी, या कृणित्त तस्यै क्लीवः, या रज्जुं स्वजित तस्या उद्घन्धुको, या पर्णेन पिनित तस्या उन्माद्कः ॥ तितिरीयसंहिता (2, 5, 1, 6-7) अङ्ख्यायै जारः (तै. आर. 1, 58), मनाय्ये तन्तुः

In the following Vēdic quotation the fourth case in tasyāi, Ahalyāyāi and Manāyyāi is found in place of genetive:— Yām malavadvāsasam sambhavanti yas tatē jāyatē sēsbhiśastaḥ, yām araṇyē tasyāi stēnaḥ, yām parācīm tasyāi hrītamukhyapagalbhaḥ, yā snāti tasyāi apsumārakaḥ, yā abhyanktē tasyāi duścarmā, yā pralikhatē tasyāi khalatir-apamārī, yā anktē tasyāi köṇaḥ, yā datē dhāvatē tasyāi śyāvadan, yā nakhāni nikrntatē tasyāi kunakhī, yā krṇatti tasyāi klībaḥ, yā rajjum srjati tasyā udbandhukaḥ, yā parṇēna pibati tasyā unmādukaḥ. Ahalyāyāi jāraḥ, Manāyyāi tantuḥ.²

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be enjoined.

न वक्तव्यम No, it need not.

योगविभागात् सिद्धम्

It is accomplished by splitting the sūlra into two.

- 1. Caturthivacanam is another reading.
- 2. This passage in the Tāittirīyasankitā teils us how advanted the Science of Psychology was in Vidic times and how careful the wife should be when she is in her manses.

चतुर्थी । ततः, अर्थे बहुलं छन्दिस

First $Caturth\bar{i}$ is taken as one $s\bar{u}tra$ and then $Arth\bar{e}$ bahulam chandasi is taken as another $s\bar{u}tra$.

कर्त्वकर्मणोः कृति (2, 3, 65)

Whether there is need for the use of the word kṛti in the sūtra is the only topic that is discussed here.

इद्धर्ण किमर्थम्? Why is krti read?

इह मा भूत् - पचत्योदनं देवदत्त इति

So that the sūtra may not operate here when a finite verb like pacati as in Pacati ōdanam Dēvadattaḥ is read.

कर्तृकर्मणोः पष्टीविधाने कृद्धहणानर्थक्यं लप्रतिवेधात्

Reading of krti with reference to şaṣṭhīvidhāna of kartr and karman is unnecessary, on account of the niṣēdha of lakāra.

कर्तृकर्मणोः पद्यीविधाने कृद्धहणमनर्थकम्

Reading of kṛti is unnecessary with reference to the vidhāna of ṣaṣṭhī of kartṛ and karman.

किं कारणम्? Why?

लप्रतिपेधात् । प्रतिपिध्यतेऽत्र पष्टी लप्रयोगे न इति

On account of the prohibition before $lak\bar{a}ra$. Susth is going to be prohibited when $lak\bar{a}ra$ is used, in the sulra $Nalok_a$... (2, 3, 69).

. तस्य कर्मकर्त्रेश्व तिर्हि कुद्धहणं कर्तेन्यम् । कृतो ये कर्तृकर्मणी तत्र यथा स्यादन्यस्य ये कर्तृकर्मणी तत्र मा भृदिति ।

There is need for the word kṛti, so that it may serve as the viṣēṣaṇa of karmakartṛ, to allow the sūtra to operate with reference to the kartṛ and karman of kṛt and not with reference to those of another.

नैतद्रस्ति प्रयोजनम् । धातोहिं द्वये प्रत्यया विधीयन्ते, तिष्टश्च कृतश्च । तत्र कृत्ययोग इष्यते, तिङ्पयोगे प्रतिषिध्यते ।

This is not the benefit; for, two kinds of pratyryns—tin and krt are enjoined to roots. Of them, this sutra is intended to operate if krt-pratyaya is used and not to operate if tin is used.

न जूम: - इहाध तस्य कर्मकर्त्वर्थ क्रद्धहणं कर्तव्यमिति
We do not say that the word kṛti should be used to serve as
the viśēsana of kartrkarmaṇōḥ in this sūtra.

किं तर्हि ! Where then?

उत्तरार्थम् - 1 अन्ययप्रयोगे न इति षण्ठ्याः प्रतिषेधं वक्ष्यति, स कृतोऽन्ययस्य ये कर्तृकर्मणी तत्र यथा स्याद्, अकृतोऽन्ययस्य ये कर्तृकर्मणी तत्र मा भूत् - उच्चेः कटानां स्रष्टा इति ।

For the sake of what follows. He is going to prohibit sasthī with reference to the prayōga of avyaya. It should apply to the kartr and karman of the avyaya which is krt and should not apply to the kartr and karman to other avyayas, so that the following expression can be secured—Uccāiḥ kaṭānām sraṣṭā.

तस्य कर्मकर्त्रथीमिति चेत् प्रतिपेधेऽपि तदन्तकर्मकर्तृत्वात्सिद्धम्

If it is said that krt is to serve as the visesana of karmakartr with reference to avyaya, the object is achieved since the avyaya which takes kartr and karman is only krd-anta.

क्रत एते कर्नुकर्मणी, नान्ययस्य, अधिकरणमत्रान्ययम् । Kartr and karman belong only to krdanta and not to avyaya; avyaya, here (uccāiḥ) is only adhikaraṇa.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - उभयप्राप्तौ कर्मणि पष्ट्याः प्रतिरेधं वक्ष्यिति, स कृतो ये कर्तृकर्मणी तत्र यथा स्यात् कृतोर्थे कर्तृकर्मणी तत्र मा भूदिति - आश्चर्यमिदं वृत्तम्, ओदनस्य च नाम पाकः, ब्राह्मणानां च प्राद्भीव इति

This, then, is the benefit, that the pratisedha which he is going to enjoin with reference to sasthi in the sutra Uthayapraptau karmani may apply to the kartr and karman of the same krdanta and not to the kartr and karman of two krts, as in Ascaryam idam vrttam, odanasya ca nāma pākah, brāhmaṇānān ca prādurbhāvah (This is a strange occurrence—Oh. there is cooking of food and there is the arrival of brahmans)

अथ कियमाणेपि कुद्रहणे कसादेवात्र न भवति?

1. अन्यययोगे is another reading.

Even on reading the word krti, how does it (the sūtra - Ubhaya-prāptāu karmaņi) not operate here?

उभयप्राप्ती इति नैवं विज्ञायते - उभयोः प्राप्तिः उभयप्राप्तिः, उभयप्राप्ती इति The word ubhayaprāptāu is not taken as the seventh case of ubhayaprāpti whose vigraha is ubhayāḥ prāptiḥ.

कथं तर्हि? How then?

उभयोः प्राप्तिर्थस्मिन् कृति सोऽयमुभयप्राप्तिः कृत्, उभयप्राप्तौ इति । It is taken as the seventh case of ubhayaprāpti which qualifies kṛt and whose vigraha is ubhayōḥ prāptiḥ yasmin.

अथ वा कृतो ये कर्नृकर्मणी तल यथा स्यात्, तिद्धितस्य ये कर्नृकर्मणी तत्र मा भृदिति - कृतपूर्वी कटं, भुक्तपूर्वी ओदनम् इति । Or, in order that it may operate with reference to the kartr and karman of krdanta alone and not to those of taddhitānta, as

ननु च वाक्येनैवानेन न भवितव्यम्
Oh, there is no chance for sasthī from the nature of the sentence.

तत्र द्वितीयया तावत्र भवितन्यम्
Oh! there is no chance even for dvitīyā there (after kaļa and ādana.)

किं कारणम् ? Why?

क्तेनाभिहितं कर्मेति कृत्वा

Taking that karman is expressed by kta.

in krtapūrvī katam, bhuktapūrvī odanam.

इनिश्रत्ययेन चापि नोश्यचन्यम्

There is no chance for ini-pratyaya too to appear.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामध्यांत On account of the absence of samarthya.

प्रथममार्थ्यम् ? Why is samarthya absent?

मार्देशमसम्बं भवति

On the dictum Sāpēkşam asamartham bhavati.

यत्तावदुच्यते - द्वितीयया तावन्न भवितव्यम्, किं कारणम्, क्तेन अभिहितं कर्मीते कृत्वा - इति, योऽसौ कृतकटयोरभिसम्बन्धः स उत्यन्ने प्रत्यये निवर्तते ; अस्ति च करोतेः कटेन सामर्थ्यम् इति कृत्वा द्वितीया भविष्यति ।

Firstly with reference to the objection that there is no chance for $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ after kata on account of the karmatva being expressed by kta, the sambandha between krta and kata recedes from the mind the moment ini-pratyaya is used and $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$, then, sets in on the assumption that there is samarthya between the meaning of the root kr and kata.

यद्प्युच्यते - इनिप्रत्ययेन चापि नोत्पत्तव्यम्, किं कारणम्, असामध्यत्, कथमसामध्यम्, सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति - इति, नेदमुभयं युगपद् भवति वाक्यं प्रत्ययश्चः यदा वाक्यं न तदा प्रत्ययः; यदा प्रत्ययः सामान्येन तदा वृत्तिः । तत्र अवस्यं विशेषार्थिना विशेषोऽनुप्रयोक्तव्यः - कृतपूर्वी । किम् १ कटमिति । भुक्तपूर्वी - किम् १ ओदनमिति

With reference to the second objection too that there is no chance for ini-pratyaya on account of $as\bar{a}marthya$ which is based on $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksatva$, the answer is this:—Both the $v\bar{a}kya$ and the pratyaya do not operate simultaneously; when the $v\bar{a}kya$ operates, pratyaya does not. When the pratyaya makes its appearance, it has its $vrtti^1$ only in a general sense and hence one who is bent upon informing the $vis\bar{e}sa$ has to make use of $vis\bar{e}sa$. On saying $krtap\bar{u}rv\bar{v}$, he gives room for the object of krta to be known and hence reads katam. Similarly he reads $\bar{o}danam$ after $bhuktap\bar{u}rv\bar{v}$.

अथ वा इदं प्रयोजनम् - कर्तृभूतपूर्वमात्रादिष पष्टी यथा स्यात् भेदिका देवदत्तस्य यज्ञदत्तस्य काष्ठानाम् इति

Or this is the benefit that sasthī may be used after prayōjya-kartr as Bhēdikā Dēvadattasya Yajñadattasya kāsthānām.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa derives Kṛt as Kartari iti and states that it refers to prayōjyakartā who is apradňāna. Nāgēśabhaṭṭa says that taddhitanivrtti alone is the prayōjana of kṛdgrahaṇa.

^{1.} Vrttih = Parārthābhidhānam.

उभयप्राप्तौ कर्मणि (2, 3, 66)

उभयप्राप्तौ कर्मणि पष्ट्याः प्रतिपेधेऽकादिग्रयोगेऽप्रतिपेधः

There is need for apratisēdha with reference to those which end in aka etc. under the sūtra Ubhayaprāptāu karmaņi.

उभयप्राप्ती कर्मणि पष्ट्याः प्रतिषेधे अकादिप्रयोगे प्रतिषेधो न भवतीति वक्तन्यम् - भेदिका देवदत्तस्य काष्ठानाम्, चिक्तीर्पा विष्णुमित्रस्य वरस्य It must be enjoined that the pratisedha of sasthī to the kartr by the sūtru Ubhayaprāptāu karmaņi does not hold good if the kṛṭ is aka etc. Viz. Bhēdikā Dēvadatlasya kāṣṭhānām, cikīrsā Visnumitrasya katasya.

अपर आह Another says:

अकाकारयोः प्रयोगे प्रतिषेधो नेति वक्तव्यं, शेषे विभाषा

It must be enjoined that the $pratis\bar{c}dha$ does not hold good if the krt is aka, and \bar{a} and there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ with reference to the rest.

शोभना खलु पाणिनेः स्त्रस्य कृतिः, शोभना खलु पाणिनिना स्त्रस्य कृतिः । शोभना खलु दाक्षायणस्य सङ्गहस्य कृतिः, शोभना खलु दाक्षायणेन सङ्गहस्य कृतिः इति ।

Viz. Śōbhanā khalu Pāṇinēḥ Sūtrasya kṛtıḥ, Śōbhanā khalu Pāṇininā Sūtrasya kṛtiḥ. Śōbhanā khalu Dākṣāyaṇasya Saṅgrahasya kṛtiḥ, Śōbhanā khalu ¹ Dākṣāyaṇēna Saṅgrahasya krtih.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa rends:—Akākāravyatiriktastrī pratyaya ēva nānyasminniti kēcid āhuḥ. Aparē tu pratyayamātrē akākāravarjitē vikalpam icchanti—Śabdānām anuśāsanam Ācāryasya Ācāryēņa vā iti.

क्तस्य च वर्तमाने (2, 3, 67)

क्तस्य च वर्तमाने नवुंसके भाव उपसङ्ख्यानम् There is need to add Napumsakë bhavi to the satra Ktasya ca vartamanë.

 This shows that Vy5B, the author of the Sangrala is a descendant of Pâgini. क्तस्य च वर्तमाने नपुंसके भाव उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - छात्रस्य हसितं, नटस्य भुक्तम्, मयूरस्य नृत्तम्, कोकिलस्य व्याहृतम् इति

There is need to add Napuńsakē bhāvē under the sūtra Ktasya ca vartamānē to secure the forms Chātrasya hasitam, Naṭasya bhuktam, Mayūrasya nrttam and Kōkilasya vyāhrtam.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तत्र्यम् No, it need not be read.

शेषविज्ञानात् सिद्धम् 1 - शेषलक्षणात्र षष्ठी भविष्यति ।

It is accomplished through $\dot{s}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$. The $\dot{s}asth\bar{i}$ will appear here on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{S}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ $\dot{s}asth\bar{i}$.

शेष इत्युच्यते । कश्च शेषः?

The word śēṣē is read. What does śēṣa connote?

कर्मादीनामविवक्षा शेषः

Śēṣa connotes the avivakṣā of karmādis.

कथं पुनः सतो नाम अविवक्षा स्यात्, यदा छात्रो हसति, नटो सुङ्क्ते, मयूरो नृत्यित, कोकिलो व्याहरति?

How is it possible to have avivakṣā of that which exists, as Chātrō hasati, Naṭō bhuṅktē, Mayūrō nṛṭyati and Kōkilō vyāharati?

सतोऽप्यविवक्षा भवति । तद्यथा - अलोमिका एडका, अनुदरा कन्या इति । असतश्च विवक्षा - समुद्रः कुण्डिका, विन्ध्यो वर्द्धितकम् इति

Sometimes even things that do exist are not taken cognizance of as in $Al\bar{o}mik\bar{a}$ $\bar{e}dak\bar{a}$ and $Anudar\bar{a}$ $kany\bar{a}$. Sometimes even those which do not exist are expressed as in $Kundik\bar{a}$ samudrah and Varddhitakam vindhyah.

यद्येवम् उत्तरत्र चातु शब्दां प्राप्तोति - इद्महेः स्रतम्, इहाहिना स्तम्, इहाहिः स्तः, इहाहेः स्तम्, प्रामस्य पार्श्वे, प्रामस्य मध्ये इति If so, there will be chance for the following four-fold expression:—(1) Idam ahēḥ srptam grāmasya pārśvē, grāmasya madhyē (2) Iha ahinā srptam... (3) Iha ahiḥ srptam... (4) Iha ahēḥ srptam...

1. This is printed as a vārttika in the printed editions. But, in the opinion of Nāgēšabhaṭṭa, it seems to be bhāṣya.

इप्यत एव चातुःशब्दम्

The four-fold expression is evidently a desired one.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ says that, according to $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$, the ways of expression are three, that, according to $Mah\bar{a}-bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$, they are four and that, according to some, they are five.

. न लोकाच्ययनिष्ठाखलर्थतृनाम् (२, ३, ६९)

लादेशे सिछड्ग्रहणं किकिनोः प्रतिपेधार्थम्

Need to replace la by sallit to prohibit ki and kin.

लादेशे सिछड्यहणं कर्तव्यम् - सिछटोः प्रयोगे नेति वक्तव्यम्

It is necessary to read sallit in place of la. It must be enjoined that there is pratisēdha when sat and lit are used.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$ and says that sad refers to sair and sanac and lit refers to $k\bar{a}nac$ and kvas.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

किकिनोः प्रतिषेधार्थम् - किकिनोरिष प्रयोगे प्रतिषेधो यथा स्यात्, पिः सोमम्, दिवर्गः

To prohibit with reference to ki and kin. So that the pratised may take place when ki and kin also are used, as in Papil somam and Dadir gal.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति?

How is it not secured from the sūlra?

तयोरलादेशत्यात् On account of their not being lādēśa.

न हि तो लादेशों For those two are not the ādēsas of lakāra.

अथ तो लादेशा स्यातां, स्यात्मतिवेधः?

Can there be pratisēdha if they are lādēśa?

वादं स्यात् Certainly will it be.

हादेशी तर्दि भविष्यतः If so, they are taken as ladesa.

कथम् ! How ?

आहगमहनजनः किकिनो लिट् च इति, लिड्डादिति वक्ष्यामि I shall take lit ca in the $s\bar{u}tra$ \bar{A} -dr-gama h lit lit

स तर्हि वतिनिर्देशः कर्तन्यः, न ह्यन्तरेण वतिमतिदेशो गम्यते । If so, vat has to be read; for atidēśu is not possible without vat.

अन्तरेणापि वतिमतिदेशो गम्यते । तद्यथा, एप श्रह्मदत्तः, अब्रह्मदत्तं ब्रह्मदत्त इत्याहं, ते मन्यामहे ब्रह्मदत्तवद्यं भवतीति । एवमिहाप्यिक्टं लिडित्याह, लिड्वदिति विज्ञास्यते ।

Atidēśa is suggested even in the absence of vat. For instance, one, on seeing a person who is not Brahmadatta, says, 'This man is Brahmadatta' and we infer from it that he is like Brahmadatta. So also he reads aliţ as liţ. It is construed liḍvat.

उकारप्रयोगे न Need to prohibit where ukāra is used.

उकारप्रयोगे नेति वक्तन्यम् - कटं चिकीर्षुः, ओदनं बुभुक्षुः । It is necessary to prohibit it with reference to ukārānta. Viz. Kaṭam cikīrsuh and ōdanam bubhuksuḥ.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् [t must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । उकारोप्यत्र निर्दिश्यते

It need not be read. Ukāra, too, is found in the sūtra.

कथम्? How?

शिक्ष्यिनिर्देशोऽयम् – उ + उक = ऊक, $\varpi +$ ऊक = छोक इति
The word $l\bar{b}ka$ embraces within it la, u and uka where the latter two first combine to form $\bar{u}ka$.

उकप्रतिषेधे कमेर्भाषायामप्रतिषेधः

Need for apratisēdha of kāmuka in Classical Sanskrit.

डकपतिषेथे कमेर्भाषायां प्रतिषेधो न भवतीति वक्तन्यम् - दास्याः कामुकः, वृषल्याः कामुकः ।

lt must be enjoined that, with reference to uka-pratiṣēdha, there is no pratiṣēdha for kāmuka in Classical Sanskrit. Viz. Dāsyāḥ kāmukaḥ and Vṛṣalyāḥ kāmukaḥ.

अन्ययप्रतिपेधे तोसन्कसनोरप्रतिषेधः

Need for apralisēdha with reference to tosun and kasun.

अव्ययप्रतिषेधे तोसुन्कसुनोः प्रतिषेधो न भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - पुरा सूर्यस्योदे-तोराधयः, पुरा वत्सानामपाकर्तोः, पुरा क्ररस्य विसुपो विरिष्शन्

It must be enjoined that the pratisedha with reference to avyayas does not embrace tosun and kasun. Viz. Purā sūryasya udētār ādhēyaḥ, Purā vatsānām apākartāḥ and Purā krūrasya visr po virapsin.

शानंश्वानक्शृतृणामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

There is need to add sanan, canas and satr.

शानैश्चानक्शतृणामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् , सोमं पवमानः, नटमान्नानः, अधीयन् पारायणम् । लप्रयोगे न इति प्रतिषेधो न प्रामोति

There is need to add under the sūtra śānan, cānas and so that the pratisēdha may operate here: -Somam pavamānah, Națam aghnanah, and Adhiyan parayanam. These cannot be secured from the pratisēdha laprayogē na.

मा भदेवं ; तृज्ञित्येवं भविष्यति Let it not be secured by it; it will be secured by trn found in the sūtra.

कथम ! How?

तृत्निति नेदं प्रत्ययग्रहणम् Trn, here, is not the pratyaya.

किं तर्हि ? What, then, is it ?

प्रत्याहारग्रहणम् It is pratyāhāra.

क सन्निविष्टानां प्रत्याहारः ? Whose pratyāhāra is it?

लटः शतु इत्यतः प्रभृति आतृनो नकारात

It is the pralyāhāra from tr in Latah satr... (3, 2, 124) to n in Trn (3, 2, 135).

यदि प्रत्याहारमर्गं चोरस्य द्विपन्, वृपलस्य द्विपन् अत्रापि प्रामोति । If it refers to pratyāhāra, the pratisēdha will have chance here also in Cōrasya drisan and Vṛṣalasya dvisan.

द्विपः शतुर्वावचनम् There is need to read Drisali Saturva.

द्विषः शतुर्वेति वक्तव्यम् । तच्चावश्यं वक्तव्यम् , प्रत्ययग्रहणे सति प्रतिषेधार्थं , तदेव पत्याहारम्रहणे सति विध्यर्थं भविष्यति

There is need to state $Dviṣah \dot{s}aturva$. It must, necessarily be read for the sake of pratiṣēdha if trn is taken as a pratyaya and for the sake of vidhi if trn is taken as a pratyava.

अकेनोर्भविष्यदाधमण्ययोः (२, ३, ७०)

अकस्य भिविष्यति Need to restrict aka only to bhavisyati.

अकस्य भविष्यतीति वक्तव्यम् - यवान् लावको व्रजति, ओदनं भोजको व्रजति, सक्तून् पायको व्रजति

There is need to restrict aka only to bhaviṣyati, Viz. Yavān āvakō vrajati, Ōdanam bhōjakō vrajati and Saktūn pāyakōl vrajati.

इन आधमण्यें च In in the sense of ādhamarņya too.

तत इन आधमण्यें च भविष्यति चेति वक्तत्र्यम् - शदं दायी, सहस्रं दायी, यामं गमी ।

Then it is necessary to enjoin that in is used to denote $\bar{a}dhamarnya$ in addition to bhavisyati.

कृत्यानां कर्तरि वा (2, 3, 71)

कर्तृग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? Why is kartari read?

कर्माण मा मूदिति To prevent it in karmani.

नैतद्क्ति प्रयोजनम् । भावकर्मणोः क्रत्या विधीयन्ते, तत्र कृत्येरभिहितत्वात् कर्मणि पष्ठी न भविष्यति

This is not the benefit. Kṛṭyapratyayas are enjoined to denote bhāva and karman, and since karman is expressed by kṛṭyas, there is no chance for karmaṇi ṣaṣṭhī.

अत उत्तरं पठति He, then, reads the answer.

भव्यादीनां कर्मणोऽनभियानात् क्रत्यानां कर्त्रग्रहणम्

Since karman is not expressed by krtya in $bhavy\bar{a}di$ noted in 3, 4, 68, kartari is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

भन्यादीनां कर्म कृत्यैरनिभाहितम् - गेयो माणवकः साम्नाम् The karman of bhavyādis is not expressed by kṛtya. Gēyō māṇavakaḥ sāmnām.

भन्यादीनां कर्मणोऽनभिधानात् कृत्यानां कर्तृत्रहणं कियते Since the karman of bhavyādis is not expressed, there is need to read kartari in the sūtra.

किमुच्यते - भव्यादीनां कर्भ कृत्येरनिमहितम् इति, नेहाप्यनिमहितं भवति, आकष्टव्या ग्रामं शाखा इति ?

Why is it said that the karman of bhavyādis is not expressed by kṛtyas? Is it not that it is not expressed even here in the sentence $\bar{A}krastavy\bar{a}$ grāmam śākhā?

Note:—Kāiyaţa says here: Kṛṣēr dvikarmakatvāţ pradhānakarmaṇaḥ krtyēna abhidhānam, na tu apradhānakarmaṇaḥ iti tannivrttyar:ham kartṛgrahaṇam kasmān na bhavati iti bhāvaḥ.

एवं तर्हि योगविभागः करिष्यते - क्रत्यानाम् - क्रत्यानां प्रयोगे पष्टी न भवति इति ।

If so, the $s\bar{u}tra$ is split into two:— Firstly $krty\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ is read and it means that $sasth\bar{\iota}$ does not appear when krtyas are used.

किमुराहरणम् What is the udāharaņa ? त्राममाकष्टव्या शाला Grāmam ākrastavyā Sākhā.

ततः कर्तरि वा इति Secondly kartari vā is read.

इहापि तर्हि प्रामोति, गेयो माणवकः साम्नामिति
If, so, the niçedha will appear here too in Geyő māṇavakaḥ
sāmnām.

डभयशासाविति वर्तते There is anuvilli for ubhayaprapti.

ननु चोभयप्राप्तिरवैषा - गेयो माणवकः साम्नाम् इति च, गेयानि माणवकेन सामानि इति च भवति

Oh this too is a case where there is ubhayaprāpti: Gēyō māṇavakalı sāmnām and Gēyāni māṇavakēna sāmāni.

उभयप्राप्तिर्नाम सा भवति यत्रोभयस्य युगपत् प्रसङ्गः । अत्र च यदा कर्माण न तदा कर्तरि, यदा कर्तरि न तदा कर्मणीति ॥

Ubhayaprāpti is that where both have a chance to appear simultaneously. Here when it comes with reference to karman, it does not come with reference to kartr and when it comes with reference to karty, it does not come with reference to karman.

Ahnika - Twentysix ends.

(Second adhyāya third pada third ahnika ends.)

Ahnika — Twentyseven

(Second adhyāya, fourth pāda, first āhnika)

द्विगुरेकवचनम् (2, 4, 1)

The need or otherwise of this sūira is discussed here.

किमर्थमिद्मुच्यते ? Why is this read?

प्रत्यधिकरणं वचनोत्पत्तेः सङ्ख्यासामानाधिकरण्याच द्विगोरेकवचनविधानम् Injunction of singular number suffix to dvigu on account of vacuna being related to each dravya and dvigu has sāmānādhikaraṇya with sankhyāvacaka.

प्रत्यधिकरणं वचनोत्पत्तिर्भवति

Number-suffix follows each dravya-vācaka stem.

किमिदं प्रत्यधिकरणम् इति ?

What does pratyadhikaranam mean?

अधिकरणम् अधिकरणं प्रति - प्रत्यधिकरणम्

Pratyadhikaranam means with reference to each dravya.

सङ्ख्यासामानाधिकरण्याच - सङ्ख्यया बहुर्थया चास्य सामानाधिकरण्यम् । प्रत्यधिकरणं वचनोत्पत्तेः सङ्ख्यासामानाधिकरण्याच बहुत् बहुवचनमिति बहुवचनं प्रामोति । इज्यते चैकवचनं स्यादिति । तचान्तरेण यत्नं न सिध्यति इति द्विगो-रेकवचनविधानम्

On account of the stem being in sāmānādhikaraṇya with number-suffix also. There is sāmānādhikaraṇya between dravya and number which may be one, two or many. There is chance for plural number suffix on the strength of the sūtra Bahuṣu bahuvacanam, since each dravya has its number and both of them have sāmānādhikaraṇya; but singular suffix is desired there. It cannot be accomplished without effort and hence is the vidhāna of ēkaracana to dvigu.

अस्ति प्रयोजनमेतत् ? Is this the benefit?

कि तहींति? What then?

तत्रानुप्रयोगस्यैकवचनाभावोऽद्विगुत्वात्

If so, there is no chance for the singular suffix in anuprayoga (addition of one after another) on account of its not being dvigu.

तत्रानुप्रयोगस्यैकवचनं न प्रामोति पञ्चपूलीयम् इति If so, singular suffix cannot be used in the anuprayoga, pañcapūlīyam.

किं कारणम् Why?

अद्विगुत्वात् । द्विगुरेकवचनित्युच्यते, न चाल अनुप्रयोगो द्विगुसंज्ञः । On account of its not being dvigu. Dvigu is read to take ēkavacana and anuprayōga, here, does not have the dvigusamjñā.

सिद्धं तु द्विग्वर्थस्यैकबद्धचनात्

It is accomplished by reading dvigvartha and ēkavad in place of dvigu and ēkavacana.

सिद्धमेतत् This is accomplished.

कथम्? How?

द्विग्वर्थ एकवद्भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

Dvigvartha ēkavadbhavati should be read in place of Dvigur-ēkavacanam.

तत्तिहैं वक्तत्र्यम् It, then, has to be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । नेदं पारिभाषिकस्य वचनस्य ग्रहणम्

No, it need not be so read. The word vacana here is not used in the technical sense of number.

किं तर्हि ? In what sense then?

अन्वर्थप्रहणम् । उच्यते वचनम् , एकस्यार्थस्य वचनम् एकवचनम् इति
It is used in its derivative sense. The word ēkavacana means
ēkasya arthasya vacanam (mention of one object) where the derivation of vacanam is ucyatē.

एकशेषप्रतिषेधश्च Need to prohibit ēkavadhhāva.

एकशेषस्य च प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - पञ्चपूली च पञ्चपूली च पञ्चपूली च पञ्चपूलयः ।

There is need for pratisēdha with reference to ēkavadbhāva to secure pañcapūlyah from pañcapūlī ca pañcapūlī ca pañcapūlī ca pañcapūlī ca.

न वान्यस्यानेकत्वात्

No, it need not be prohibited on account of anekatva belonging to another.

न वा वक्तव्यः No, it need not be enjoined.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अन्यस्यानेकत्वात् । नैतद् द्विगोरनेकत्वम्

On account of anēkatva belonging to another. This anēkatva does not belong to dvigu.

कस्य तर्हि ? To what then ?

द्विग्वर्थसमुदायस्य

To the collection which forms the meaning of dvigu.

समाहारग्रहणं च तद्धितार्थप्रतिपेधार्थम्

Need to read samāhāra to prohibit taddhitārtha.

समाहारग्रहणं च कर्तव्यम् There is need to read samahari.

किं प्रयोजनम् Why?

तद्धितार्थवितिषेधार्थम् - तद्धिनार्थे यो द्विगुः तस्य मा भृदिति - पञ्चकपारी पञ्चकपाला इति

To prohibit dvigu having tad Ihitārtha. So that the sūtra may not operate with reference to dvigu having taddhitārtha, as paūcaka pūlāu and paūcaka pālāķ.

िक पुनर्य पञ्चकपालशबदः प्रत्येकं परिसमाप्यते, आहोस्विन् समुदाये पर्तते ! Does the word pañca-kapāla refer to the purēdaša placed on each kapāla separately or to that placed on all simultaneously?

कि चातः ? What difference does it make ? यदि तावत् भरयकं परिसमाप्यत, पुरस्तादेव चोदिनं पशितं च If it is taken to be pratyēka-parisamāpti, it was raised previously and answered (under 2, 1, 4).

अथ समुदाये वर्तते Suppose it is taken to be samudāyavṛtti, न वा समाहारैकत्वात

The end is not gained on account of ēkatva of samāhāra.

न वैतत् समाहारैकत्वादि सिध्यति

No, the end is not gained since samāhāra is one.

एवं तर्हि, प्रत्येकं परिसमाप्यते

If so, pratyēkaparisamāpti is resorted to.

पुरस्तादेव चोदितं परिहृतं च

It was raised previously and answered.

अपर आह Another says:-

न वा समाहारैकत्वात

The sūtra is not necessary on account of the ēkatva of samāhāra.

न वा योगारम्भेणैवार्थः No purpose is served by the sūtra.

किं कारणम्? Why?

समाहारैकत्वात्। एकोऽयमर्थः समाहारो नाम, तस्यैकत्वाद् एकवचनं भविष्यति। On account of oneness of samāhāra. Samāhāra is one and on account of its oneness, singular suffix will be used.

द्दन्द्वश्च प्राणितूर्यसेनाङ्गानाम् (2, 4, 2)

प्राणितूर्यसेनाङ्गानां तत्पूर्वपदोत्तरपदग्रहणम्

With reference to $pr\bar{a}ni-t\bar{u}rya-s\bar{e}n\bar{a}ngas$, need to enjoin that both the $p\bar{u}rvapada$ and the uttarapada should denote the anga of one and the same.

प्राणित्र्येसेनाङ्गानां तत्पूर्वपदोत्तरपद्यहणं कर्तन्यम् । प्राण्यङ्गानां प्राण्यङ्गीरिति वक्तन्यम् ; तूर्याङ्गानां तूर्याङ्गीरिति वक्तन्यम् ; सेनाङ्गानां सेनाङ्गीरिति वक्तन्यम् There is need to enjoin, with reference to prāṇi-tūrya-sēnāṅgas, that the pūrvapada and the uttarapada should denote the aṅga of one and the same. It must be read that prāṇyaṅgas compound with prāṇyṅgas, tūryāṅgas with tūryāṅgas and sēnāṅgas with sēnāṅgas.

किं पयोजनम्? Why?

व्यतिकरो मा भूदिति

To prevent mixing up of the anga of one with that of another.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be so enjoined.

न वक्तन्यम् No, it need not.

योगिवभागात्सिद्धम् It is accomplished by sūtra-split.

योगविभागः 'करिष्यते - द्वन्द्वश्च प्राण्यङ्गानाम् । ततः, तूर्याङ्गानाम्; ततः सेनाङ्गानाम् इति

The sūlra is split thus:—First Dvandvaś ca prānyangānām is read; then Tūryūngānām and then Sēnāngānām.

स तर्हि योगविभागः कर्तव्यः

The sūtra-split has, then, to be done.

न कर्तच्यः । प्रत्येकमङ्गश्चदः परिसमाप्यते

No, it need not. The word anga is taken with each of them.

अनुवादे चरणानाम् (2, 4, 8)

इह कस्मान्न भवति – नन्दन्तु कठकालापाः, वर्धन्तां कठकौथुमाः ? Why does not the sūtra operate here, in Nandantu kaṭhakālā pāḥ and vardhantām kaṭhakāuthumāh ?

स्थेणी: Only in association with the roots sthā and in

स्थेणोरिति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that it appears only in association with the roots $sth\bar{a}$ and in.

एवमपि तिष्ठन्तु कठकालापाः इत्यत्रापि प्रामोति
If so, it will operate here in tisthantu kathakalapah.

अद्यतन्यां च Only in norist.

अद्यतन्यां चेति वक्तव्यम् - उदगात् कठकालापं, मत्यष्ठात् कठकेशियुमं, उदगाकोमोदपप्पलादम्

lt must be enjoined only in norist. Viz. Udagāt kaļhakālāpam, Pralysļhāt kaļhakāuthumam. Udagāt kaumodapāippalādam.

विशिष्टलिङ्गो नदीदेशेऽग्रामाः (2, 4, 7))

ग्रामप्रतिषेधे नगरप्रतिषेधः

Nagara should not be taken within the range of grāma.

अग्रामा इत्यत्र अनगराणामिति वक्तन्यम् - इह मा मृत् मधुरापाटिलपुत्रम् । Cities should be taken off the range of grāmus to avoid the prohibition in Madhurāpāṭaliputram (both being cities.)

उभयतश्च ग्रामाणाम्

Prohibition to $gr\bar{a}mas$ both ways (i.e.) when both are $gr\bar{a}mas$ or either of the two is a $gr\bar{a}m\iota$.

उभयतश्च ग्रामाणां प्रतिषेधो वक्तत्र्यः - शौर्थं च केतवता च शौर्यकेतवते ;

There is need to prohibit both ways with reference to $gr\bar{a}mas:-\hat{S}\bar{a}uryam$ ca $k\bar{e}tavat\bar{a}$ ca $=\hat{s}\bar{a}uryak\bar{e}tavat\bar{e}$; $j\bar{a}mbavam$ ca $\hat{s}\bar{a}l\bar{u}kin\bar{v}$ ca $=\hat{j}\bar{a}mbava\hat{s}\bar{a}l\bar{u}kiny\bar{a}u$.

क्षुद्रजन्तवः (2, 4, 8)

क्षुद्रजन्तव इत्युच्यते । के क्षुद्रजन्तवः ?

Kṣudrajantavaḥ is read. What are kṣudrajantus?

क्षोत्तव्या जन्तवः क्षुद्रजन्तवः

Kṣudrajantus are those that do not die tho' crushed under feet.

यदेवं यूकालिंशं कीटिपिपीलिकं दंशमशकम् इति न सिध्यति

If so, the following forms $y\bar{u}k\bar{a}lik\bar{s}am$ (louse and nit), $k\bar{i}t^2-pip\bar{i}likam$ (worm and ant) $da\dot{m}\hat{s}ama\hat{s}akam$ (gad-fly and mosquito) cannot be secured.

^{*} एवं तर्हि अनास्थिकाः क्षद्रजन्तवः

If so, ksudrajantus are those that have no bones.

अथ वा येषां स्वं शोणितं नास्ति ते क्षद्रजन्तवः

Or kṣudrajantus are those which do not have blood of their own-अथ वा येषामा सहस्रादञ्जलिन पूर्विते क्षुद्रजन्तवः

^{1.} These two are examples where the former member is nagara and the latter, $gr\bar{a}ma$.

148

Or ksudrajantus are those which do not fill the hollow of the hands even though they are thousand in number.

अय वा येषां गोचर्ममात्रं राशि हत्वा न पतितो भवति ते क्षद्रजन्तवः Or ksudrajantus are those by killing which occupying an area of gocarman one does not become a patita.

अथ वा नकुरुपर्यन्ताः क्षद्रजन्तवः

Or ksudrajantus are those in the list including mungoose.

येपां च विरोधः शाश्वतिकः (2, 4, 9)

किमर्थश्रकार: ? In which meaning is cakāra used ?

एवकारार्थश्रकारः । येषां विरोधः शाश्वतिकः तेषां द्वन्द्व एकवचनमेव यथा स्याद यदन्यत् प्रामोति तन्मा भृदिति ।

Cakāra means ēva. Singular number alone may be used in the dvandva of those denoting permanent enemies and none else.

किञ्च अन्यत प्रामोति ?

What is it other than the singular number that may appear?

पशुशकुनिद्वःद्वे विरोधिनां पूर्वविपतिपिद्धम् इत्युक्तं स पूर्वपतिपेधो न वक्तव्यो भवति

The pūrcavipratiṣēdha enjoined in Pasu-sakunidvandvē virādhinām pūrvaripratisidham under (2,4,12) is unnecessary.

शृद्राणामनिरवसितानाम् (2, 4, 10)

अनिरवसितानाम् इत्युच्यते, क्रुतोऽनिरवसितानाम् ?

The word aniravasitanam is read in the satra. What is the place that is referred to from which they are not banished?

आर्यावर्नाद् निरवसितानाम्

The place from which they are not banished is Aryāvarta.

फः पुनरार्यावर्तः! Which is, then, Aryavarta?

भागादर्शात्, प्रत्यक् कालक्षयनात्, दक्षिणेन हिमयन्तन्, उत्तरेण पारियासम् The country to the east of Adarsa, to the west of Kālakarana, to the north of the Himalayas and to the north of Pariyatra.

यरेपवं विविद्यागानिषदं शद्यायतं शीर्यकाञ्चम इति न मिध्यति

If so, the forms Kişkindhagındhikam, şakayavanam and şāurya-krāuñcam are not secured.

एवं तर्हि आर्यानिवासादनिरवसितानाम्

If so, it refers to those not banished from the residence of aryas

क पुनरार्थनिवासः ? Which is the place where āryas reside?

त्रामो घोषो नगरं संवाह इति Grāma, ghōṣa, nagara and samvāḥa.

Note: $-Gh\bar{o}$ a refers to the place where eattle is kept and $sa\dot{m}v\bar{a}ha$ to the place where merchants reside.

एवमपि य एते महान्तः संस्त्यायास्तेष्वभ्यन्तराश्चण्डाला मृत्याश्च वसन्ति तत्र चण्डालमृतपाः इति न सिध्यति ।

Even then, the form candālamṛlapāḥ is not secured to denote the dwelling of candālas and mrtapas in outhouses of big houses.

एवं तर्हि यज्ञात् कर्भणोऽनिरवसितानाम्

If so, it refers to those not prevented from doing sacrifice.

एवमपि तक्षायस्कारं, रजकतन्तुवायम् इति न सिध्यति
If so, the forms Takṣāyaskāram and rajakatantuvāyam cannot be secured.

एवं तर्हि पात्राद् अनिरवसितानाम् । यैर्भुक्ते पात्रं संस्कारेण शुध्यति तेऽनिरवसिताः ; यैर्भुक्ते पात्रं संस्कारेणापि न शुध्यति ते निरवसिताः इति lf so, it refers to pātrād aniravasitas. Aniravasitas are those whose dining plates are considered fit for use after cleaning and niravasitas are those whose dining plates are not considered

गवाश्वप्रभृतीनि च (2, 4, 11)

fit for further use even after ceremonial cleaning.

गवाश्वप्रभृतिषु यथोचरितं द्वन्द्ववृत्तम्

 $Dvandva-vy\bar{a}p\bar{a}ra$ in $gav\bar{a}\acute{s}vam$ etc. is as it is read in the $Gunap\bar{a}\acute{t}ha$.

गवाश्वनभृतिषु यथोचारितं द्वन्द्ववृत्तं द्रष्टव्यम् - गवाश्वम्, गवाविकम्, गवैडकम्

Dvandva-vyāpāra in gavāśvam etc. is to be observed as read in the Ganapāṭha. Viz. Gavāśvam, gavāvikam,gavāiḍakam.

विभाषा वृक्षसृगतृणधान्यव्यञ्जनपञ्चज्ञुज्ञज्ञन्यश्ववडवपूर्वापराधरोत्तराणाम् (२, ४, 12)

बहुप्रकृतिः फलसेनावनस्पतिसृगशकुनिक्षुद्रजन्तुधान्यतृणानाम्

Vibhāṣā of ēkaracana of the dvandvas where component parts are in plural number and denote phala, sēnā, vanaspati, mṛga, śakuni, kṣudrajantu, dhānya and tṛṇa.

फलसेनावनस्पतिमृगशकुनिश्चद्रजन्तुधान्यतृणानाम् द्वन्द्वे विभाषेकवद्भवति बहु-प्रकृतिरिति वक्तव्यम्

It must be enjoined that the dvandvas whose component parts are in plural number and which denote phala, sēnā. vanaspati, mṛga. śakuni, kṣudrajantu, dhānya and tṛṇa optionally take singular number.

फल - बद्रामलकं, बद्रामलकानि । सेना - हस्त्यश्वं, हस्त्यश्वाः । वनस्पिन - प्रक्षन्ययोधं, प्रक्षन्ययोधाः । मृग - रुर्प्यपं, रुर्प्यताः । शकुनि - हंसचकवाकं, हंसचकंवाकाः । क्षुद्रजन्तु - यूकालिक्षं, यूकालिक्षाः । धान्य - ब्रीहियवं, ब्रीहियवाः; मापितिलं, मापितिलाः । तृण - कुशकाशं, कुशकाशाः; शरशीपं, शरशीपंः Phala - Badarāmalakam, badarāmalakāni; Sēnā - Hastyasvam, hastyasvāḥ; Vanaspati - Plakṣanyagrōdham, plakṣanyagrōdhāh; Mrga - Rurupṛṣatam, rurupṛṣatāḥ; Śakuni - Hamsacakravākam, hamsacakravākāḥ; kṣudrajantu - yūkātikṣam, yūkātikṣāḥ; dhānya - vrīhiyavam, vrīhiyavāḥ; māṣatilam, māṣatilaḥ; Trna - kuśakāśam, kuśakāśāḥ; śaraśīrsam, śaraśīrsāh.

र्कि प्रयोजनम् ? What is the purpose served by this vārttika? बह्मकृतिरेव यः, तत्र यथा स्थात्

To restrict it only to where the component parts are plural.

क मा मृत् ! Where should it not be ?

वदरामलके तिष्ठतः In badarāmalakē tisthataļi.

किं पुनरनेन या प्राप्तिः सा नियम्यते, आहोस्विद्विशेषेण ?

Does this restrict the application of those enjoined in this $s\bar{u}tra$ or in all the $s\bar{u}tras$ in this context?

किञ्चातः! What does it matter if it is one or the other?

यद्यनेन या प्राप्तिः सा नियम्यते, ष्ठश्चन्यत्रोधो - जातिरप्राणिनाम् इति नित्यो द्वन्द्वैकवद्भावः प्राम्नोति । अथ अविशेषेण, न दोषो भवति

If this serves as the niyama to what is enjoined in this sūtra, there is chance for dvandva-ēkavadbhāva alone in place of plakṣanyagrādhāu by the sūtra Jātir aprāninām. If it serves as the niyama to what is enjoined in all the sūtras in the context, there will be no defect.

यथा न दोप, तथास्तु

Let it be taken in the way free from any defect.

पशुशकुनिद्वन्द्वे विरोधिनां पूर्वविप्रतिपिद्धम्

Pūrvavipratiṣēdha with reference to the dvandva of paśu and śakuni which are enemies.

पशुशकुनिद्वन्द्वे विरोधिनां येषां च विरोधः शाश्वतिकः इत्येतद् भवति पूर्वविष्ठतिषेधेन । पशुशकुनिद्वन्द्वस्य अवकाशः - महाजोरश्रं, महाजोरश्राः ; हंस-चक्रवाकाः । येषां च विरोधः शाश्वतिकः इत्यस्यावकाशः = श्रमण- ब्राह्मणम् । इहोभयं प्राप्नोति - काकोल्द्रकं, श्वशृगालम् इति । येषां च विरोधः शाश्वतिकः इत्येतद् भवति पूर्वविष्ठतिषेधेन ॥

The sūtra Yēṣām ca virōdhaḥ śāśvatikaḥ will operate through pūrvavipratiṣēdha with reference to to the dvandva of words denoting paśu and śakuni which are natural enemies. The room for this sūtra dealing with paśu-śakuni-d andva is mahājōrabhram, mahājōrabhrāḥ; hamsacakravākam, hamsacakravākah. The room for the sūtra Yēśām ca virōdhaḥ śāśvatikaḥ to operate is śramaṇa-brāhmaṇam Both have a chance to operate with reference to kākōlūkam, śvaśrgālam and the sūtra Yēṣām ca virōdhaḥ śāśvatikaḥ operates through pūrvavipratiṣēdha.

स तर्हि पूर्ववित्रतिवेधो वक्तव्यः

If so, pūrvavi pratisēdha has to be enjoined.

न वक्तत्रयः । उक्तं तत्र चकारकरणस्य श्योजनम् - येपां च विरोधः शाश्वितिकः तेषां द्वनद्वे एकवचनमेव यथा स्याद् यदन्यत् शामोति तन्मा भूदिति । No, it need not. It was pointed out under the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Y\bar{e}s\bar{a}m$ ca $vir\bar{o}dhah$ $s\bar{a}svatikah$ that $cak\bar{a}ra$ is read to suggest that only singular number will be used and none else in the dvandva of words denoting objects which are natural enemies.

अश्ववडवयोः पूर्विलिङ्गत्वात्पशुद्वन्द्वनपुंसकम्

Need to enjoin the napumsakatva of pasudvandva since the linga of the former is enjoined (in $P\bar{u}rvavad$ osvavadavāu 2, 4, 27).

अश्वनडवयोः पूर्विरुङ्गत्वात् पशुद्धन्द्वनपुंसकं भवति पूर्विविविष्धेन । अश्व-वडवयोः पूर्विरुङ्गत्वस्थावकाशः - विभाषा पशुद्धन्द्वनपुंसकम् यदा न पशुद्धन्द्वनपुंसकं सोऽवकाशः - अश्वनडवै । महाजोरशाः । पशुद्धन्द्वनपुंसकपसङ्ग उभयं प्रामोति, अश्ववडवं पशुद्धन्द्वनपुंसकं भवति पूर्वविपतिषेधेन ।

There is need to enjoin paśu-dvandva napumsakatva through $p\bar{u}rvavipratiṣēdha$ since $aśvavadav\bar{u}u$ takes the linga of the former member. Room for the $p\bar{u}rvalingatva$ of the dvandva of aśla and $vadav\bar{a}$ is where paśudvandvanapumsakatva does not set in on account of its $vibh\bar{a}ṣ\bar{a}$ - $Aśvavadav\bar{a}u$. Room for paśu-dvandva-napumsakatva is paśudvandvas other than this — $Mah\bar{a}j\bar{o}rabhram$, $mah\bar{a}j\bar{o}rabhr\bar{a}h$. Both have a chance when paśudvandvanapumsakatva begins to operate and it is to be enjoined that paśudvandva-napumsakatva sets in through $p\bar{u}rva-vipratiṣēdha$.

स तर्हि पूर्वविप्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः

Then there is need to enjoin pūrvavipratiṣēdha.

न वक्तव्यः No, it need not.

प्रतिपदिविधानात् सिद्धम्

It is accomplished on account of the injunction with reference to the particular words.

मतिपदमल नपुंसकं विधीयते - अश्ववडवपूर्वापर इति
Napumsakalıa is enjoined here in the sūlra Asvavaḍavapūrva-apara to individual words.

एकवचनमनर्थकं समाहारेकत्वात्

Non-necessity of the anuviti of ēkavacanam here from Dvigur ēkavacanam on account of oneness of samāhāra.

एकवद्भावोऽनर्थकः Injunction of ēkavadbhāva is unnecessary. किं कारणम् ? Why?

समाहारैकत्वात्। एकोऽयमर्थः समाहारो नाम। तस्यैकत्वाद् एकवचनं भविष्यति। On account of oneness of samāhāra. The word samāhāra means collection. Since it is one, it takes singular number.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - एवं विज्ञास्यामि - इह नित्यो विधिरिह विभाषेति
This, then, is the prayojana. I shall state that the
ēkavadbhāva is nitya in this prakaraņa and there it is vibhāṣā.

नैतद्गित प्रयोजनम् - आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित सर्वो द्वन्द्वो विभाषेकवद्भवित इति यद्यं तिप्यपुनर्वस्थोनिक्षलद्वन्द्वे बहुवचनस्य द्विवचनं नित्यम् इत्याह । This is not the benefit. The procedure of Ācārya suggests that all dvandvas take ēkavadbhāva optionally, since he enjoins dual number alone in tişya-punarvasū in place of plural in Tişya-punarvasvār nakṣatradvandvē bahuvacanasya dvivacanam nityam.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - स नपुंसक्तमिति वक्ष्यामि इति

I shall say that the injunction of neuter gender is the benefit.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । लिङ्गमशिप्यं लोकाश्रयत्वालिङ्गस्य This, too, is not the benefit. The gender need not be enjoined since it is decided from usage.

न तहींदानीमिदं वक्तव्यम्? Is not this sūtra necessary then?

वक्तव्यं च The sūtra has to be read.

किं प्रयोजनम् Why?

पूर्वत्र नित्यार्थमुत्तरत्र व्यभिचारार्थं विभाषा वृक्षमृगेति

To enable the $s\bar{u}tras$ that precede to be nitya and $Vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ $v_{!}ksam_{!}ga$ and the next $s\bar{u}tra$, to be $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ unlike them.

विभाषा समीपे (2, 4, 16)

किमुदाहरणम् ? 1 What is gained from it?

UdāharaṇaśabdōSpi prayōjanaparaḥ (Nāgēśa).

उपदशं पाणिपादम्, उपदशाः पाणिपादाः

Upadaśam pāṇipādam and upadaśāḥ pāṇipādāḥ are secured.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयं द्व-द्वैकवद्भाव आरभ्यते । तत्र कः प्रसङ्को यदनु-प्रयोगस्य स्थात ?

This is not the benefit. $Dvandva-\bar{e}kavadbh\bar{a}va$ is the point at issue here. What will appear in $anupray\bar{o}ga$? other than this 1

एवं तर्हि अञ्ययस्य सङ्ख्यया अञ्ययीभावोऽत्यारभ्यते, बहुवीहिरिष, तद्यदा तावदेकवचनं तदा अञ्ययीभावोऽनुप्रयुज्यते एकार्थस्यकार्थ इति, यदा बहुवचनं तदा बहुवीहिरनुप्रयुज्यते बहुर्थस्य बहुर्थ इति

If so, both $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ and $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ are enjoined between avyaya and $saikhy\bar{a}$ If the compound is singular, $avyay\bar{\imath}-bh\bar{a}va$ form is used in $anupray\bar{\jmath}ga$, singular following singular and if the compound is plural, $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ form is used in $anupray\bar{\jmath}ga$, plural following plural.

तत्पुरुपोडनञ्कर्मधारयः (2, 4, 19)

किमधीमदमुच्यते ? Why is this sūtra read?

संज्ञायां कन्थोज्ञीनरेषु इति वक्ष्यति । तदतत्पुरुषस्य नज्यमासस्य कर्मधारयस्य वा मा भृदिति ।

Acārya is going to read $Samj\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m\ kanth\bar{o}s\bar{n}ar\bar{e}su$ (2, 4, 20). This $s\bar{u}tra$ is read to prevent it from operating in $na\tilde{n}-sam\bar{a}s_a$ and $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ which is not tatpurusa.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । न हि संज्ञायां कन्थान्तः उशीनरेषु अतत्पुरुषो नञ्समासः, कर्मधारयो वास्ति

This is not the benefit; for the word ending in kanthā of ušīnaras used as samjīā is neither nañ-samāsa nor karma-dhāraya which is not tatpuruṣa.

उत्तरार्थं तर्हि । उपज्ञोपक्रमं तदाद्याचिख्यासायाम् इति वक्ष्यति, तद् अतत्युरुपस्य नञ्समासस्य कर्मधारयस्य वा मा भृदिति ।

For the sake of the next sātra then. He is going to read Upajāāpakramam tudādyācikhyāsāyām (2, 4, 21). This is read to prevent it from operating in naāsamāsa and karmadhāraya which is not tatpuruṣa.

1. Anuprayogah = Associative word.

एतद्पि नास्ति पयोजनम् । न हि तदाद्याचिख्यासायामुपज्ञोपक्रमान्तोऽ तत्पुरुषो नञ्समासः कर्मधारयो वास्ति

This, too, is not the benefit; for there is no word ending in $upain\bar{a}$ or upakrama denoting beginning etc. which is a $na\tilde{n}$ -sam \bar{a} sa or $karmadh\bar{a}$ raya which is not tatpurusa.

उत्तरार्थमेव तर्हि । छाया वाहुल्ये इति वक्ष्यति, तंदतत्पुरुषस्य नञ्समासस्य कर्मधारयस्य वा मा भृदिति ।

If so, it is for the sake of the next $s\bar{u}tra$. He is going to read $Ch\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ $b\bar{a}huly\bar{e}$ (2, 4, 22). This is read so that it may not operate in $na\tilde{n}$ $sam\bar{a}sa$ or $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ which is not tatpuruṣa.

नैतदिस्त प्रयोजनम् । न हि च्छायान्तो बाहुल्ये अतत्पुरुषो नञ्समासः कर्मधारयो वा अस्ति ।

This, too, is not the benefit; for there is no word ending with $ch\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ preceded by a word denoting an object in plenty which is $na\tilde{n}$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$ or $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ which is not tatpuruṣa-

उत्तरार्थमेव तर्हि । सभा राजामनुष्यपूर्वा अशाला च इति वक्ष्यति । तदतत्पुरुषस्य नञ्समासस्य कर्मधारयस्य वा मा भृदिति ।

If so, it is for the sake of the next sūtras. He is going to read Sabhā rājāmanuṣyapūrvā (2, 4, 23), Aṣālā ca (2, 4, 24). This is read so that they may not operate with reference to nañ-samāsa or karmadhāraya which is not tatpuruṣa.

एतद्पि नास्ति, प्रयोजनम् । न हि सभान्तः अशालायाम् अतत्पुरुषो नञ्समासः कर्मधारयो वास्ति ।

This, too, is not the benefit; for there is no word ending in $sabh\bar{a}$ not referring to $saigh\bar{a}ta$ which is $na\tilde{n}sam\bar{a}sa$ or $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ which is not tatpurusa.

इदं तर्हि विभाषा सेनासुरिति वक्ष्यति, तदतत्पुरुषस्य नञ्समासस्य कर्मधारयस्य

वा मा भूदिति । If so, he is going to read $vibh\bar{a}$ $s\bar{a}$ $s\bar{e}n\bar{a}sur\bar{a}$ (2, 4, 25). This is read so that it may not operate with reference to $na\tilde{n}$ -sam \bar{a} sa or karma- $dh\bar{a}$ raya which is not tatpurusa.

तत्पुरुष इति किमर्थम् ? Why is talpurușa ! read?

इडसेनो राजा

So that this sūtra may not operate with reference to Dṛḍhusēnō rājā.

अनिविति किमर्थम् ? Why is anañ read?

असेना So that this stitra may not operate in asenia. अकर्मधारय इति किमर्थम्? Why is akarmadharayah read? परमसेना, उत्तमसेना

So that this sūtra may not operate in paramasēnā and uttamasēnā.

परविश्वनं द्वन्द्वतत्पुरुषयोः (2, 4, 26)

किमर्थमिद्मुच्यते ? Why is this sūtra read ?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya\dot{t}a$ says that the question here is whether this $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoins the gender of dvandva and $tatpuru\dot{s}a$ $sam\bar{a}sas$ or of that which precedes them. The former is based upon taking $dvandva-tatpuru\dot{s}ay\bar{o}\dot{h}$ as the sixth case and the latter upon taking the same as the seventh case.

द्वन्द्वोऽयमुभयपदार्थप्रधानः । तत्र कदाचित् पूर्वपदस्य यहिङ्कं तत् समासस्यापि स्यात्, कदाचिद् उत्तरपदस्य ; इप्यते च परस्य यहिङ्कं तत् समासस्य यथा स्यादिति ; तचान्तरेण यत्ने न सिद्ध्यति इति परविष्ठिङ्कं द्वन्द्वतरपुरुषयोरिति । एवमर्थमिदमुच्यते This dvandva is a coordinating compound. It may, sometimes, take the gender of the former member and sometimes that of the latter and it is desired that it should take the gender of the latter member. This cannot be achieved without effort and hence Acārva reads this sūtra – Paravallingam dvandvalatpuruṣayōḥ. This is the purpose served by the sūtra.

तत्पुरुपश्चापि कः प्रयोजयति ?

Which kind of tatpurusa makes use of this sūtra?

यः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधान एकदेशिसमासः अर्धपिष्पली इति । यो ह्युत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, दैवकृतं तस्य परविक्षक्रम् ।

The *ēkadēšisamāsa* of the type *ardhapippalī* where the former member is more prominent. Where the latter member is prominent it takes its gender without any effort.

परविश्चनं द्वनद्वतत्पुरुषयोरिति चेत् प्राप्तापन्नालंपूर्वगतिसमासेपु प्रतिपेधः

Need for pratisēdha in compounds whose former member is prāpta, āpannna or alum and in gatisamāsas, if paravallingatva of dvandva and tatpuruṣa is admitted.

परवाहिक्कं द्वन्द्वतत्पुरुषयोरिति चेत् प्राप्तापन्नालंपूर्वगतिसमासेषु प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः - प्राप्तो जीविकां प्राप्तजीविकः, आपन्नो जीविकाम् आपन्नजीविकः, अलं जीविकाये अलंजीविकः, निप्कौशाम्बिः निर्वाराणसिः ।

If it is enjoined that dvandva and tatpuruṣa take the liṅga of the latter, there is need to prohibit the same in the samāsas whose former member is prāpta, āpanna or alam and in gatisamāsas, as prāptajīvikaḥ (prāptō jīvikām), āpanna-jīvikaḥ (āpannaḥ jīvikām), alamjīvikaḥ (alam jīvikāyāi), Niṣkāušāmbiḥ and nirvārāṇasiḥ.

पूर्वपदस्य च

Need of prohibiting the former member taking that of the latter too.

पूर्वपदस्य च प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, मयूरीकुकुटौ

There is need to prohibit the former member, as in $May\bar{u}r\bar{i}-kukkut\bar{a}u$, taking that of the latter.

Note:—This point arises on taking the word $dvandvatatpurusay\bar{o}li$ as the seventh case. If so, there is chance for the former member to take the linga of the latter member since the $k\bar{a}ryin$ is not read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ and the $sam\bar{a}sa$ will take the form $may\bar{u}ra-kukkut\bar{a}u$.

यदि पुनर्यथाजातीयकं परस्य लिङ्गं तथाजातीयकं समासाद् अन्यद् अतिदिश्यते ।

Suppose the linga of the latter member which is other than $sam\bar{a}salinga$ is taken by $atid\bar{e}\dot{s}a$.

समासादन्यछिङ्गमिति चेदश्ववडवयोष्टाव्छग्वचनम्

It it is conceded that the linga of the latter member other than $sam\bar{a}salinga$ is taken, there is need to enjoin the $l\bar{o}pa$ of $t\bar{a}p$ in $a\dot{s}vavadav\bar{a}u$.

समासाद् अन्यलिङ्गमिति चेद् अश्ववडवयोष्टापो छुग्वक्तज्यः, अश्ववडवौ ।

If it is conceded that the gender of the latter member other than $sam\bar{a}salinga$ is taken, there is need to enjoin the prohibition of $t\bar{a}p$ in $a\dot{s}va$ of the compound $a\dot{s}vavadav\bar{a}u$.

निपातनात्सिद्धम् It is secured from nipātana.

निपातनारिसद्भमेतत् This is secured from nipātana.

किं निपातनम्? What is the nipātana?

अश्वनडनपूर्नापर इति Aśvavadava-pūrvāpara (1, 4, 27)

उपसर्जनहस्तरं वा Or there is shortening in the upasarjana.

अथ वा उपसेजनस्येति हस्वत्वं भविष्यति

Or upasarjana will be shortened by .. upasarjanasya (2, 2, 48).

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyața$ says that $avayav\bar{a}rtha$ is subordinate to $samud\bar{a}y\bar{a}rtha$ and hence upasarjana.

इहापि तर्हि प्राप्तोति कुक्तुरमयूर्यौ इति

If so, shortening may appear in kukkuṭamayūryāu too

अस्तु Let it be.

परवाछिङ्गमिति शब्दशब्दार्थौ

The word lings in paravallings refers to the lingspratysya and its meaning.

परविष्ठिङ्गमिति शञ्दशञ्दार्थी अतिदिश्येते । तत्रीपदेशिकस्य हस्वत्वम् , आतिदेशिकस्य अवणं भविष्यति ।

The word linga in paravallingam is taken by atidēśa to refer to gender-suffix and its meaning. There will be shortening where it is enjoined and there will be no shortening where it is got by atidēśa.

Note: Kāiyaļa reads: Lingašaldēna lingābhidhāyī pratyayō arthaś ca tantrēņa ēkašēṣēņa vā ucyatē ityarthaļi.

इदं तर्हि - दत्ता च कारीपगन्ध्या च दत्ताकारीपगन्ध्ये, दत्ता च गार्ग्यायणी च दत्तागार्ग्यायण्यो - द्वौ प्यडौ द्वौ प्कौ च प्राप्ततः

If so, there is chance for two syans and two sphas in the words Daltā-kārīṣagandhyē and Daltā-gārgyāyaṇyāu, whose vigrahavākya is Daltā ca kārīṣagandhyā ca and Daltā ca Gārgyāyaṇī ca.

स्ताम् । पुंबद्भावेनैकस्य निवृत्तिर्भविष्यति

Let them be. One of them will be dropped thro' puinvadbhāva.

इदं तर्हि दत्ता च युवतिश्च दत्तायुवती; द्वो तिशव्दो प्राप्नुनः ।

If so, there is chance for two ti's in Daltāyuvatī whose vigrahavākya is Daltā ca yuvatis ca.

तसानितच्छवयं वक्तुं श्वदश्वदार्थी अतिदिश्येते इति । Hence it cannot be said that there is atidēsa for the pratyaya and its artha.

ननु चोक्तं समासादन्याल्लिङ्गमिति चेद्, अश्ववडवयोष्टाट्लाग्वचनम् इति Oh it was raised that, if one other than the samāsa is conceded to take paravallinga, lug of ṭāp in aśva-vaḍavāu has to be enjoined.

परिहतमेतत्, निपातनात् सिद्धम् इति 'The objection was met by Nipātanāt siddham.

अथ वा नैवं विज्ञायते पर्ह्यैव पर्वदिति Or paravad is not taken in the sense of parasya. ēva.

कथं तर्हि ? In what sense is it taken then?

परस्येव परवद् इति । यथाजातीयकं परस्य लिक्नं तथाजातीयकं समासस्या-तिदिश्यते ।

It is taken in the sense of parasya iva. There is the atidēśa of that linga to the samāsa which is similar to that of the latter member.

Note:—Kāiyata says that dvindva is taken in three ways:—(1) Dvandva connotes only its component members which are interdependent. (2) It connotes a samudāya which is different from the component members, and it takes the gender of either of them, (3) It connotes a samudāya different from the component members whose gender is to be enjoined. With reference to (1) and (2), this sūtra is a niyama-sūtra and with reference to (3) It is a vidhi-sūtra. Nāgēsabhaṭṭa says that there is sanction in the bhāṣya for items (1) and (3) and it is doubtful whether there is sanction for item (2).

अथ पूर्वपदस्य न प्रतिषिध्यते

Then that of the former member is not prohibited.

प्राप्तादिपु कथम् ?

Which will sanction the $sam\bar{a}sa$ whose former member is $pr\bar{a}pla$ etc.

प्राप्तादिषु चैकदेशिग्रहणात् सिद्धम्

The object is achieved with reference to $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}dis$ by reading $\bar{\epsilon}kad\bar{\epsilon}in$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

द्वःद्वेकदेशिनोः इति वस्यामि I shall read Dvandvāikadēśinoh.

तदेकदेशियहणं कर्तेत्र्यम् Dvandvāikadēsinēļi must be read then.

न कर्तेव्यम् No, it need not be read.

एकदेशिसमासी नारभ्यते

Sūtras dealing with the samāsa of words with ēkadēšivācaka-sabdas are dropped.

Note:—The sūtras are Pūrvāparādharōttaram ēkadēśināikādhikaraņē (2, 2, 1) Ardham napumsakam (2, 2, 2), and Dvitīya (2, 2, 3).

फथमधीपेप्पली इति ?

How are we to arrive at the form ardha-pippali?

समानाधिकरणा भविष्यति - अर्द्धे च सा पिष्यली च अर्द्धपिष्पली इति Samāsa takes place having both the members to be samānādhikaraṇa thus:- Arddham ca sā pippalī ca arddhapippalī.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$!a roads:— $Av^ayav\bar{e}$ samudāy $\delta pac\bar{a}rat$ sāmānādhikaranyam.

न सिद्धचित, परत्वात् पष्टीसमासः प्रामोति

No, it is not secured. Sighīsamāsa, being para, will operate.

अग पुनरयमेकदेशिसनास आरभ्यगाणः पष्टीसमासं वाधने The injunction of FkadFisamāsa here vetoes şasthīsamāsa.

दृष्यने च पष्टीसमासोऽपि । तथ्या, अपूर्वार्द्धं मया भक्षितं, मामार्द्धं मया स्टब्पम् इति । एवं पिष्पस्यर्द्धमित्यपि भवितव्यम् ।

Ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa too is desired. Like apūpārddham and grāmārddham in Apūpārddham mayā bhakṣitam and Grāmārddham mayā labdham will pippalyarddham be arrived at.

कथमद्भिपिपारी ? How is arddhapippalī secured ?

समानाधिकरणो भविष्यति

The two members will be taken to be in apposition.

Note: -Kāiyaļa says that Mahābhāṣyakāra's range of knowledge of Literature is wider than that of Sūtrakāra and Vārttikakāra, and hence his words carry greater weight.

रात्राह्वाहाः पुंसि (2, 4, 29)

अनुवाकादयः पुंसि

Need to enjoin that anuvaka etc. is masculine in gender.

अनुवाकादयः पुंसि भाष्यन्ते इति वक्तव्यम् - अनुवाकः, श्रंयुवाकः, सूक्तवाकः It must be enjoined that anuvāka etc. are used in masculine gender. Viz. Anuvākah, šamyuvākah and sūktavākah.

अपथं नपुंसकम् (2, 4, 30)

पुण्यसुदिनाभ्यामहो नपुंसकत्वम्

Ahan after the words punya and sudina to be neuter.

पुण्यसुदिनाभ्यामहो नपुंसकत्वं वक्तव्यम् - पुण्याहम्, सुदिनाहम् । It must be enjoined that ahan after punya and sudina is neuter. Viz. Puņyāham and sudināham.

Note: -Kāiyaṭa says that sudina means superiority.

पथः मङ्ख्याव्ययादेः

Putha to be neuter after words denoting number, avyayas etc.

पथः सङ्ख्याव्ययादेरिति वक्तव्यम् - द्विपथं, त्निपथं, चतुष्पथम्, उत्पथं

विपथम् It must be enjoined that patha after words denoting number avyayas, etc. is neuter. Viz. Dvipatham, tripatham, catuspatham, utpatham and vipatham.

दिगुश्च Dvigu too.

द्विगुश्च समासो नपुंसकलिक्को भवतीति वक्तन्यम्, पञ्चगवं, दशगवम् । It must be enjoined that dvigusamāsa is neuter in gender. Viz. Puñcagavam and dasugavam.

अकारान्तोत्तरपदो द्विगुः स्त्रियाम्

Dvigu whose latter member ends in a takes feminine suffix after it.

अकारान्तोत्तरपदो द्विगुः स्त्रियां भाष्यत इति वक्तन्यम् – पञ्चपूली, दशपूली It must be enjoined that dvigu whose latter member ends in σ takes feminine suffix after it. Vlz. $Pa\~ncap\~ul\~l\~t$ and $da\~sap\~ul\~l\~t$.

वाबन्तः

Dvigu whose latter member ends in \bar{a} takes feminine suffix optionally.

वा आवन्तः स्त्रियां भाष्यत इति वक्तन्यम् – पञ्चलट्टी पञ्चलट्टे, दशसद्दी, दशसद्देम् It must be enjoined that dvigu whose latter member ends in ā takes feminine suffix optionally. Viz. Pañca'chaṭvī, and Pañcakhaṭvam; daŝakhaṭvī and daŝakhaṭvam.

अनो नलोपश्च The elision of n of an too.

अनो नलोपश्च, वा च स्त्रियां भाष्यत इति वक्तत्र्यम् - पञ्चतक्षं, पञ्चतक्षी, दशतक्षं दशतक्षी ।

It must be enjoined that n of an is dropped and it takes feminine suffix optionally. Viz. $Pa\tilde{n}ca-tak$, and $pa\tilde{n}ca-tak$, and an

पात्रादिभ्यः प्रतिपेधः Prohibition with reference to pātrādis.

पात्रादिभ्यः प्रतिषेधो वक्तज्यः - पञ्चपात्रं, द्विपात्रम् There is need to prohibit pātrādis Viz. Pañcapātram and dvipātram.

अर्द्धर्चाः पुंसि च (2, 4, 31)

अद्वर्चाद्यः Arddharcadayah to replace arddharcah.

अर्द्धनांद्य इति यक्तज्यम् - अर्द्धर्नम्, अर्द्धर्नः; फार्पापणम्, फार्पापणः; गोमयं, गोमयः; सरकं, सरकः Arddharcādayah should replace arddharcāh to get the following forms:-arddharcam and arddharcah; kārṣāpaṇam and kārṣā-paṇah; gōmayam and gōmayah; sarakam and sarakah.

तत्तर्हि वक्तत्र्यम् It must be so read then.

न वक्तत्र्यम् No, it need not.

वहुवचननिर्देशात् सिद्धम्

It is secured by the plural suffix (in arddharcāh).

वहुवचननिर्देशाद् आद्यर्थो गम्यते

The meaning of adi is suggested by the plural suffix.

इद्मोऽन्वादेशेऽशनुदात्तस्तृतीयादौ (2, 4, 32)

Three topics are dealt with here:-(1) The interpretation of the expression $anv\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ (2) The need to read $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ as (3) The need or otherwise of sitkarana in as.

1

अन्वादेशे समानाधिकरणग्रहणम्

Need to read samānādhikaraņam with reference to anvādēśa.

अन्वादेशे समानाधिकरणग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Samānādhikaraņam is to be read with reference to anvādēśa.

कि प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

देवदत्तं भोजयेमं चेत्यप्रसङ्गार्थम्

To prevent ēnam in place of imam in Dēvadattam bhōjaya, imam ca.

इह मा भूत् - देवदत्तं भोजय इमं च यज्ञदत्तं भोजय इति ।

To prevent ēnam in place of imam in Dēvadattam bhōjaya imam ca yajñadattam bhōjaya.

अन्त्रादेशश्च कथितानुकथितमात्रम्

Anvādēśa means reference to that alone which has been previously read.

अन्वादेशश्च कथितानुकथितमात्रं द्रष्टव्यम् । तद् द्वेप्यं विजानीथाद् इदमा कथितिमद्मेव यदानुकथ्यत इति । तदाचार्यः सुहृद् भूत्वा अन्वाचष्टे - अन्वादेशश्च कथितानुकथितमात्रम् इति

It must be taken that $anv\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ means $kathit\bar{a}nukathita$ alone. It is not desired if one denoted by idam is referred to again by idam. Hence $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($V\bar{a}rt'ikak\bar{a}ra$), being our friend, explains that $anv\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ means $kathit\bar{a}nukathita$ (and not) $pa\hat{s}c\bar{a}t$ kathanam).

11

अथ किनर्थ । क्षादेशः । क्षियते, न तृतीयादिषु इत्येबोच्येत । टायामोसि चैनेन भवितन्यम्, अन्याः सर्वा हलादयो विभक्तयः । तत्र इद्भूपलोपे क्वते केवल-मिदमोऽनुदात्तत्वमेव वक्तन्यम् ।

Why is the word as read in the $s\bar{u}tra$? It will do if $trt\bar{v}y\bar{a}d\bar{a}u$ is read as $trt\bar{v}y\bar{a}disu$. When the case-suffixes $t\bar{a}p$ and $\bar{o}s$ are used, let $\bar{e}na$ be the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ The other case-suffixes all commence in a consonant. When the form id is elided before them, it is necessary only to enjoin $anud\bar{a}ttatva$.

अत उत्तरं पटति The answer is hence read.

अशादेशवचनं साकच्कार्थम्

The reading of aśādēśa is for the sake of akac.

अशादेशवचनं साक्रच्कार्थं कियते । साक्रच्कस्यापि अयम् आदेशो यथा स्यात् - इमकाभ्यां छात्राभ्यां रात्रिरधीता अथो आभ्यामहर्ण्यधीतम् इति Asādēśa is read to allow the same for idam with akac. To allow idam with akac take the ādēśa as in Imakābhyām chātrābhyām rātrir adhītā, athō ābhyām ahar apyadhītam.

111

अथ किमर्थ शित्करणम्? Why is a read with s as it? शित्करणं सर्वादेशार्थम् Sitkaraņa is to allow sarvādēša.

शिस्करणं कियते । सर्वादेशार्थम् - शित् सर्वस्य इति सर्वादेशो यथा स्यात् - इमकाभ्यां छात्राभ्यां रालिरधीता, अयो आभ्यामहरप्यधीतम् इति । अकियमाणे हि शिस्करणे अलोऽन्त्यस्य विधयो भवन्तीति अन्त्यस्य प्रसच्येत । Sit is read. For the sake of sarvādēša. To allow sarvādēša by "... sit sarvasya" (1. 1. 55) and the word ābhyām can be used in the sentence Imakābhyām chātrābhyām rātrir adhītā, athō

ābhyām ahar apyadhītam. If sit is not read, the ādēša will replace only the final syllable by Alontyasya (1, 1, 52).

न वान्त्यस्य विकारवचनानर्थक्यात्

It need not be read on account of the anarthakya of the vikāravacana to the final otherwise.

न वा वक्तव्यम् It need not be read.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अन्त्यम्य विकारवचनानर्थक्यात् । अकारस्य अकारवचने प्रयोजनं नास्तीति कृत्वा अन्तरेणापि शकारं सर्वादेशो भविष्यति ।

Since the injunction of $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ to the final will prove unnecessary. Sarvādēśa will take place even without Sakāra, since, otherwise, no purpose will be served by saying that akāra is replaced by akāra.

अर्थवन्वादेशप्रतिपेधार्थम्

On account of its having the purpose of preventing other ādēśas from appearing.

अर्थवत्तु अकारस्य अकारवचनम्

Injunction of akāra to replace akara has its own purpose.

कोडर्थः ? What is the purpose?

आदेशपतिपेधार्थम् । येऽन्ये अकारस्यादेशाः प्राप्तुवन्ति तद्वाधनार्थम् । तद्यथा मो राजि समः कौ इति मकारस्य मकारवचने प्रयोजनं नास्तीति कृत्वा अनुस्वारादयो वाध्यन्ते

To prohibit other $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}as$ which have a chance to replace $akar{a}ra$ from making their appearance. It has an analogy in the sūtra Mo rāji samah kvāu which is taken to prohibit the ādēśas like anusvāra at the idea that no purpose is served by enjoining the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}a}$ m to m.

तस्माच्छित्करणम् Hence is the need for sitkarana.

तसाच्छकारः कर्तव्यः Hence s (as it) has to be read.

न कर्तव्यः । प्रिष्ठिष्टनिर्देशोऽयम् अ - अ इति । अनेकाल् शित् सर्वस्य इति सर्वादेशो भविष्यति ।

No, it need not be read. The two a's are read with a break between. Hence $sarv\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}_{\perp}}$ takes place on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra~An\bar{e}k\bar{a}l~\dot{s}it~sarvasya~(1,1,55)$.

अथ वा विचित्रास्तद्धितवृत्तयः । नान्वादेशेऽकजुत्पत्स्यते

Or wonderful is the nature of tad-lhitavṛttis. Akac may not appear with reference to anvādēśa.

एतदस्रतसोस्रतसौ चानुदाचौ (2, 4, 33)

किमर्थं त्रतसोरनुदात्तत्वमुच्यते ?

Why is anudattatva enjoined to tral and tasl?

उदाची मा भ्तामिति So that they may not be udātta.

नैतद्दित प्रयोजनम् । लिह्हारे कृते ¹ निवाते एतद्दोऽनुद्दान्तःथैन सिद्धम् This is not the benefit. When the stem takes udāttasvara of account of its being followed by a suffix having l for the a (by the sūtra Liti 6, 1, 193) and consequently tra and to become anudātta, the ādēšā of ētad becomes anudātta by thi sūtra.

इदमिह सम्प्रधार्यम् - अनुदात्तत्वं क्रियतां, लिख्तर इति
This is to be determined here whether anudāttatva of as by the previous sūtra operates first or the udāttatva of the stem by the sūtra Liti.

किमत्र कर्तन्यम् ? What is to operate here?

परस्वाह्यस्वरः

Svara by Liti (6, 1, 193), being para, operates first.

नित्यमनुदात्तत्वम् । कृतेऽपि लित्खरे प्राम्नोति, अकृतेऽपि The anudattatva is nitya. It appears whether litsvara has appeared or not.

तत्र नित्यत्यादनुदात्तत्वे कृते लिति पूर्व उदात्तभावी नास्तीति कृत्वा यथाप्राप्त प्रत्ययस्वरः प्रसज्येत । तद्यथा गोप्पद्मं वृष्टो देवः इत्यूलोपे कृते पूर्व उदात्तभावं नास्तीति कृत्वा यथाप्राप्तः प्रत्ययस्वरो भवति । तस्यात् त्रनसोगनुदात्तत्वं वक्तव्यम् ।

- 1. Kṛtē is omitted in Bombay edition.
- 2. Etat is another reading.

After anudattate t of as, being nitya, has set in, there is chance for the usual pratyayasvara (which is udatta) to set in, since there is no udatta stem to enable tral and tast to become anudatta. In the word $g\bar{s}spadapram$ in the sentence $G\bar{s}spada$ apram vṛṣṭō dēvaḥ, \bar{u} of $p\bar{u}ram$ has been dropped and consequently ra has become udatta. Hence is the need to enjoin anudāttatva to tra and tas here.

दितीयाटोस्खेनः (2, 4, 84)

कत्यायमना विशीयने ? To which is enas enjoined?

एतदः प्राप्तोति । इदमोऽपि त्विष्यते ।

It may be the adesa of etad; but it is desired to be of idam also.

Note: This arises by taking claduly here by anuvrtti from the previous sūtra.

तिद्रमो अहणं कर्तन्यम् If so, idamalı has to be read here.

न कर्तव्यम् । प्रकृतमनुवर्तते ।

No, it need not be read. It, being in this prakarana, is taken here by anuvrtti.

क प्रकृतम्? What is the sūlra referred to ?

इदमोऽन्वादेशेऽशनुदात्तस्तृतीयादो इति

The sūtra Idamēsnvādēšēs^s anudāttas tṛtīyādāu (2, 4, 32).

योद तद् अनुवर्तते एतद्स्रतसोस्रतसो चानुदाचो इदमश्च इतीदमोऽपि प्रामाति ।

If it is taken by anuvṛtti, the sūtra Etadas tratasās tratasās cānudāttāu has to be read with idamas ca at the end so that idam also will be replaced by as before tras and tasl.

नेष दोषः । सम्बन्धमनुवर्तिष्यते । इदमोऽन्वादेशेऽशनुदात्तस्तृतीयादौ, एत-दस्रतसोस्रतसो चानुदाचो, इदमोऽन्वादेशेऽशनुदात्तस्तृतीयादावश् भवति । ततो द्वितीयाटौस्स्वेनः, इदमः एतद्ध्र, तृतीयादौ इति निवृत्तम् ।

This difficulty does not arise. There is anuviti only to the connected element thus: -Idamôsnvādēšēsš anudāttas trtīyādāu, Etadas tratasos tratasou connudation. Idamosnvādēšēss anudattas Irtīyādāvas. Then the sūtra Dvitīyāṭāussvēnaḥ Idamaḥ and ētadaḥ are supplied here and the word trtīyādāu is allowed to recede.

अथ वा मण्डूकगतयोऽधिकाराः । तद्यथा – मण्डूका उत्प्लुत्योत्प्लुत्य गच्छन्ति तद्वदिधकाराः

Or anuvittis are like frog-leap. As frogs skip over intermediate things, so are adhikāras.

अथ वैकयोगः करिप्यते इदमोऽन्वादेशेशनुदात्तत्वायादावेतदस्रतसोस्रतसौ चानुदात्तो । र्ततो द्वितीयाटीस्लेनः, इदम एतदश्च तृतीयादाविति निश्तम्

Or the two sūtras are read as one thus:- $Idam\bar{o}$ anvādēsēs\$ anudāttas tṛtāyādāu ētadas tratasōs tratasāu cānudāttāu. Then is the sūtra $Dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ ṭāussvēnaḥ read. Idamaḥ and ētadas ca are taken here. $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}d\bar{a}u$ recedes.

अथ वा उभयं निवृत्तं तद्रपेक्षिप्यामहे

Or both are not taken by anuvrtti, but we take them by $adhy\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$.

एनीदिति नपुंसकैकवचने Need to read énad in the neuter singular.

एनदिति नपुंसकैकवचने कर्तव्यम् । इदं कुण्डमानय, प्रक्षालय एनत्, परिवर्तयैनत

There is need to read ēnad in the neuter singular to secure ēnat in idam kundam ānaya, prakṣālaya ēnat; parivartaya ēnat.

यद्येनत् कियते एनो न वक्तव्यः

If ēnat is read, ēnas need not be enjoined.

का रूपसिद्धिः - अथो एनम्, अथो एने, अथो एनानि

How are the forms athō ēnam, athō ēnē, athō ēnāni to be got? स्यद्दाचलेन ।सद्धम

It will be secured by the atra of tyalādi (by the sūtra Tyadādīnām aḥ (7, 2, 102).

यधेवमेनश्रितको न सिध्यति, एनच्छितक इति शामोति

If so, the form *ēnaśritakah* cannot be secured and the form *ēnacchritakah* will be secured.

यथालक्षणमप्रयुक्ते

Grammar has to decide the form of a word not current in the world.

आर्द्धधातुके (2, 4, 35)

जग्ध्यादिष्ट्रार्द्धधातुकाश्रयत्वात्सति तस्मिन्त्रिधानम्

Injunction of the ādēśas like jagdhi can take place only after the definite ārddkadhātuka-pratvaya makes its appearance, since they depend upon it.

जम्ध्यादिप्वार्द्धधातुकाश्रयत्वात् सति तसित्रार्द्धधातुके जम्ध्यादिभिभीवितन्यम् The $\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ s as like jagdhi will have to replace $sth\bar{a}nin$ only after the particular ārddhadhātuka-pratyaya makes its appearance, since the appearance of the former depends upon that of the latter.

किमतो यत्सति भवितव्यम्

What is the harm if the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ will have to set in only in the presence of the particular ārddhadhātuka-pratyaya?

तत्रोत्सर्गलक्षणप्रतिपेधः

Need, then, to prohibit the operation of the sūtras relating to sthanin.

तत्रोत्सर्गलक्षणं कार्यं प्राप्तोति, तस्य प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः, भव्यं, प्रवेयम् आख्येयम् इति । ण्यत्यत्रस्थितेऽनिष्टे प्रत्यय आदेशः स्थात् ; ण्यतः श्रवणं प्रसज्यते । There is chance, in that case, for the operation of the $s\bar{u}tras$ relating to sthanin and it must be prohibited. When the unwanted nyal-pratyaya has to follow $bh\bar{u}$, $v\bar{\imath}$ and $khy\bar{a}$, the vowel may take vrddhi and the desired forms pravēyam and ākhyēyam cannot be secured.

नैष दोष: I his difficulty cannot arise.

सामान्याश्रयत्वाद्विशेषस्थानाश्रयः

The particular pratyaya is not taken into account on account of taking the arddhadhatukatva-jāti into consideration.

सामान्ये ह्याश्रीयमाणे विशेषो नाश्चितो भवति । तत्र आर्द्धधातुकसामान्ये जम्ध्यादिष्वादेशेषु कृतेषु यो यतः प्रत्ययः प्राप्ते ति स ततो भविष्यति ।

When the $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tukaj\bar{a}ti$ is taken into account, the particular is not taken into consideration. After the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}as$ j.igdhi etc. replace $sth\bar{a}nins$ with the view that an $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tuka$ is after them, the particular pratyaya will take its place.

गामान्याश्रयत्याद्विशेषस्थानाश्रय इति चेदुवर्णाकारान्तभ्यो ण्यद्विधिप्रसङ्गः

There is chance for nyadvidhi to ukārānta and akārānta if the principle sāmānyāśrayatvād viśēṣasya anāśrayaḥ is conceded.

सामान्याश्रयत्वाद् विशेषस्यानाश्रय इति चेद् उवर्णाकारान्तेभ्यो ण्यत् शमोति - लब्यं, पत्र्यम् इति - आर्द्धधातुकसामान्ये गुगे कृते, यि प्रत्ययसामान्ये च वान्ता देशे, हलन्तात् इति ण्यत् प्रामोति । इह च दित्म्यं, धित्स्यम्, आर्द्धधातुकसामान्येऽकार-लोपे कृते हलन्तादिति ण्यत् प्रामोति !

If the principle $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}ny\bar{a}srayalv\bar{a}d$ $vis\bar{e}sasya$ $an\bar{a}srayah$ is conceded, there is chance for nyal with reference to $uk\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$ and $ak\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$. After \bar{u} of the roots $l\bar{u}$ and $p\bar{u}$ takes guna on account of being followed by $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tukapratyaya$, it may take $v\bar{a}nt\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ since it is followed by ya- $j\bar{a}ti$ -pratyaya, It may then take nyat by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Rhal\bar{o}r$ nyat (3, 1, 124), since it ends in a consonant and consequently $l\bar{u}$ and $p\bar{u}$ cannot take the pratyaya yat after them to secure the forms lavyam and pavyam. Similarly $d\bar{a}$ and $dh\bar{a}$ will take nyat by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Rhal\bar{o}r$ nyat after \bar{a} is elided on account of their being followed by $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tuka$ -pratyaya and not yat to secure the forms ditsyam, dhitsyam.

Note:—Nāgēšabhaṭṭa reads:-Ditsyadhitsayōr yati "Yatōsnāva ..." iti ādyudāttatvam, nyati "Titsvaritam" iti svaritatvam iti bhēdaḥ.

पीर्वापर्याभावाच सामान्येनानुपपत्तिः

Inappropriateness of taking the jati on account of the absence of paureaparya.

पौर्वापर्याभावाच सामान्येन जग्ध्यादीनामनुपपत्तिः । न हि सामान्येन पौर्वापर्यमस्ति

It is not possible for the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}as$ jagdhi etc. to make their appearance if we take the $j\bar{a}ti$ $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tukatva$ into consideration, since it cannot be taken that it follows the $sth\bar{a}nin$.

Note:— Kāiyaļa reads:— Sāmānyasya vyāpakatvād nityatvācca dēśakālakṛtam pāurvāparyam nāsti.

सिद्धं तु सार्वधातुके प्रतिपेधात्

The object is achieved by prohibiting the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ when $s\bar{a}rva-dh\bar{a}tuka$ follows.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम् ? How?

अविशेषेण जम्ध्यादीनुक्तवा सार्वधातुके न इति प्रतिषेधं वक्ष्यामि

Having said that the jugdhi etc. replace the $sth\bar{a}nin$ whatever be the pratyaya which follows it, I shall state that it is prohibited when $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tukapratyaya$ follows it.

सिध्यति । सूत्रं तर्हि भिद्यते

It is accomplished; but the sutras are modified.

यथान्यासमेवास्तु Let the sūlras remain as they are.

ननु चोक्तं जग्ध्यादिष्वार्द्धधातुकाश्रयत्वात् सति तस्मिन् विधानमिति
Oh, it was said jagdhyādişu ārddhadhātukāśrayatvāt sati
tasmin vidhānam

परिहनमेतत् - सामान्याश्रयत्वाद् विशेषस्यानाश्रय इति It was met by saying Sāmānyāśrayatvād višēṣasya anāśrayaḥ.

ननु चोक्तं सामान्याश्रयत्वाद् विशेषस्यानाश्रय इति चेद् उवणीकारान्तेभ्यो ण्याद्विधिपसङ्गः इति

Oh it was raised sāmānyāśrayatvād višēṣasya anāśraya iti cēd uvarņākārāntēbhyō nyadvidhiprasangah.

नैष दोपः ; वक्ष्यते तत्राज्यहणस्य प्रयोजनम् , अजन्तभृतपूर्वमात्रादिप यथा स्याद् इति This difficulty does not arise. He will say under the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ac\bar{o}$ yat (3, 1, 97) that the purpose of reading acah in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is that the same may take place even in cases where ac was and has now been elided.

Note: Here vak syati refers to Mahābhāsyakāra himself.

यद्प्युच्यते पौर्वापर्याभावाच सामान्येनानुपपत्तिरिति, अर्थसिद्धिरेवैषा यत् सामान्येन पौर्वापर्यं नास्ति । असित पौर्वापर्यं विषयसप्तमी विज्ञास्यते - आर्द्धधातुके विषये इति । तत्र आर्द्धधातुके विषये जम्ध्यादिषु कृतेषु यो यतः प्रामोति स ततो भविष्यति ।

With reference to the objection $P\bar{a}urv\bar{a}pary\bar{a}bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}cca$ $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}ny\bar{a}nupapattih$, the object is achieved even though there is no $p\bar{a}urv\bar{a}parya$ when $j\bar{a}ti$ is taken into consideration by taking the $saptam\bar{\imath}$ to be $vi\bar{s}ayasaptam\bar{\imath}$, so that $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tuk\bar{\epsilon}$ may be taken to mean when $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tuka$ is conceived in the mind. After the $\bar{a}d\bar{\epsilon}ss$ jagdhi etc. have set in when the $\bar{a}rddhadh\bar{a}tuka$ is conceived in the mind, the particular pratyaya which is to come sets in.

अथवा आर्द्धभातुकासु इति वक्षामि
Or Arddhadhatukasu will be read instead.

कासु आई,धातुकासु ?

With reference to which arddhadhatukas?

Note:—Here ārddhadhātukāḥ is taken to refer to the words bhavya, lavya which have ārddhadhātukapratyayas as their avayava. Hence Kāiyaṭa says that there is no harm even in vyaktipakṣa, since Grammar simply decides the correctness of words which already exist.

टक्तिपु युक्तिपु रहिषु प्रतीतिषु श्रुतिषु संज्ञासु ।

With reference to words denoting their derivative meaning, and conventional meaning, to those formed only by grammatical rules, and current in the world and to those used as sanijāas.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads here:- $\bar{A}rddhadh$ atukāsu samhatişu vyutpādyaṃānāsu astyādīnām bhvādaya ādēśā ityuktam bhavati.

अदो जिम्बर्यप्ति किति (2, 4, 30)

ल्यव्यहणं किमर्थम् ? न 'ति किति ' इत्येव सिद्धम् ?

Why is lyap read in the $s\bar{u}tra$? Will it not do if the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read Adō jagdhis ti kiti?

रुयपि कते न प्राप्ताति

The ādēśa jagdhiḥ may not replace at before lyap.

इदमिह सम्प्रधार्थ, ल्यप् कियताम् आदेश इति

This has to be decided which of lyap and adesa should be given precedence.

किमत्र कर्तव्यम्? Which should be done here?

परत्वारूरुयप् Lyap, being para, should precede.

अन्तरङ्ग आदेश: The ādēśa is antaranga.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads:-Antaranga ādēśa iti-Takārādi pratyayamātrāpēkṣatvāt; lyab- $\bar{a}d$ ē $\hat{s}as$ tu p $\bar{u}rvapad$ $\bar{a}p$ ēkṣasam $\hat{a}s$ \bar{a} śrayatvād bahirangah.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यल्ल्यव्यहणं करोति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अन्तरङ्गानिप विधीन् वहिरङ्गो ल्यव् वाधते इति

Since $ar{A}car{a}rya$ reads lyap though the object is achieved Otherwise, he suggests that lyap, though bahiranga, can veto sūtras which are antaranga.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ?

What is gained from this suggestion?

ल्यवादेश उपदेशिवद्वचनमनादिष्टार्थ वहिरङ्गलक्षणस्वात् इति वस्यति, तन्न वक्तव्यं भवति

He (Vārttikakāra) is going to read (under 7, 1, 37) Lyabādēśa upadēsivad-vacanam anūdistārtham bahirangalakṣaṇatvāt and it is unnecessary.

Note:—1. Nāgēśabhaṭṭa reads:— Upadēśivadvacanam iti $upadēśi = kt \cdot \bar{a}$, tadvad lyapō vacanam kāryam ityarthaḥ.

Note:—2. Kāiyaļa reads:— Anādisļārtham iti:-Pradhāy prasthāya ityādāu hitvādiṣu akṛtēṣvēva lyab yathā syād ityartha

जिभविधिरुर्येपि यत्तदकसात् सिद्धमदस् ति कितीति विधानात् ।

हिशभृतींस्तु सदा बहिरङ्गो ल्यञ्भरतीति कृतं विद्धि ॥
Injunction of jagdhi before lyap is of no use. Its purpose served by reading Ti kiti alone. Hence learn that lya, though bahiranga vetoes hi etc.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the above verse was read b $Vy\bar{a}ghrabh\bar{u}ti$.

एप एवार्थः

जग्धो सिद्धेऽन्तरङ्गश्वात्ति कितीति लयबुच्यते । ज्ञापयत्यन्तरङ्गाणां लयपा भवति बाधनम् ॥

The same is the meaning of the following verse :-

Jagdhāu siddhēsntarangatvāt tikiti lyab ucyatē \ Jūāpayatyantarangāṇām lyapā bhavati bādhanam \u00ed

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}sabhatta$ feels that the above verse perhap belongs to $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ himself.

खङ्सनोर्घस्त (2, 4, 37)

घस्तभावेऽच्युपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add that ghas! replaces ad before ac-pratyaya.

घस्त्रभावेऽच्युवसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम - प्राचीति प्रवसः

It must be added that ghas replaces ad before ac-pralyaya t secure the form praghasah (pra atti iti).

हनो वध लिङि (2, 4, 42); लुङि च (2, 4, 43)

किमयं विधव्यञ्जनान्तः, आहोस्विद् अदन्तः !

Does the root in radha end in consonant or vowel? (i.e., Is the final akāra in radha a part of the root or used the facilitate pronunciation?

कि नातः! What does it matter if it is either?

यदि व्यञ्जनान्तः If it ends in the consonant,

वधौ व्यञ्जनान्त उक्तम्

If vadha is vyañjanānta, the defect was pointed out.

किमुक्तम्? What was it?

वध्यादेशे वृद्धितत्वपतिषेत्र इतिषश्च इति

Vadhyādēšē vrddhitatvapratiṣēdha idvidhiś ca (1, 1, 56) 2

अथ अदन्तः, न दीषो भवति

If it is taken to end in a, there will be no defect.

यथा न दोषस्तथास्तु Let it be such as is unquestionable.

इणो गा छुङि (2, 4, 45)

इण्वदिकः To ik like in.

इण्वदिक इति वक्तव्यम् - इहापि यथा स्यात्, अध्यगात्, अध्यगाताम, अध्यगाः

It must be said that the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}a}$ replaces ik like in, to secure the forms $adhyag\bar{a}t$, $adhyag\bar{a}t\bar{a}m$ and adhyaguh.

णो गमिखोधने (2, 4, 46)

इण्विदिक इत्येव - अधिगमयित, अधिगमयतः, अधिगमयिन्त । Here too it must be said that the ādēśa replaces ik like iņ to secure adhigamayati, adhigamayataḥ and adhigamayanti.

सनि च (2, 4, 47)

इण्विद्क इत्येव - अधिजिगिमपिति, अधिजिगिमपितः, अधिजिगिमिपिति । Here too it must be said that the ādēśa replaces ik like iņ to secure adhijigamiṣati, adhijigamiṣataḥ and adhijigamiṣanti.

गाङ्क्षिटि (2, 4, 4º)

डिस्करणं किमर्थम् ! Why is gāin read as nit?

- This may be taken as bhāṣya.
- 2. Cf. Vol. III pp. 105, 106.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that, since the $sth\bar{a}nin$ (ii) is a this is unnecessary.

गांक चनुबन्धकरणं विशेषणार्थम्

Reading \dot{n} as it in $g\tilde{a}\dot{n}$ is for restriction.

गाङि अनुबन्धकरणं क्रियते, विशेषणार्थम्

 \dot{N} is read as it in $g\hat{a}\dot{n}$ to restrict the application.

क विशेषणार्थेनार्थः ? Where is viśēṣaṇa useful?

गाङ्कुटादिभ्योऽञ्णिन्डिद् इति In gán of Gān cuṭādibhyōsñṇi-n-1

गाकुटादिभ्योऽिकान्डिद् इतियत्युच्यमाने इणादेशस्यापि प्रसज्येत । If the sūtra is read Gākuṭādibhyôsñ nin nit, it will operate

relation to the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ of in also.

ज्ञापकं वा सानुबन्धकसादेशवचन इत्कार्याभावस

Or reading the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ with anubandha suggests the absertherein of the $itk\bar{a}rya$ of the $sth\bar{a}nin$.

अय वैततद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः, सानुबन्धकस्यादेशे इस्कार्यं न भवतीति Or Acārya (Sūtrakāra) suggests that the reading of anubana in ādēša prevents it from taking the ilkārya of the slhānin.

िकमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this jñāpar

प्रयोजनं चक्षिकः ख्याञ्

Benefit is seen in khyāñ, the ādēśa of cakṣin.

चक्षिङः स्याञ् प्रयोजनम् - चस्यौ, स्यास्यति इति; ङितः इत्यास्मने नित्यं न भवति

Benefit is seen with reference to the ādēśa khyāñ of cakṣin, the forms cakhyāu, and khyāsyati, which show thātmanēpada terminations by the sūtra Anudāttanīta ūtman pudam (1, 3, 12) are not nitya there.

लटः शतृशानची

Benefit is with reference to \hat{sa} nac, the \tilde{a} $d\tilde{e}\hat{sa}$ of lat mention in the \tilde{sa} trace Latah ... (3, 2, 124).

लटः शतृशानचा प्रयोजनं, पचमानः यजमानः इति, टितः इत्येत्वं न भवति । Benefit is seen with reference to śanic, the adēśa of lat read in the sūtra Laṭaḥ śatṛṣānacāu (3, 2 124) in the forms pacamānah and yajamānah where ētva by Ţita ātmanēpadānām tērē (3, 4, 79) is absent.

युवोरनाकौ

Benefit is with reference to the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}}as$ of ana and aka of yuand vu respectively.

युवोरनाको च प्रयोजनम् - नन्दनः, कारकः, नन्दना, कारिका इति . उगिल्लक्षणी ङीव्नुमी न भवतः ।

Benefit is seen with reference to ana and aka, the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}s$ is of yuand vu read in $Yuv\bar{o}r$ $an\bar{a}k\bar{a}u$ (7, 1, 1) in the forms $nandan\bar{a}$ and $k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ derived from nandana and $k\bar{a}raka$, where $ii\bar{i}p$ and num appearing after ugit are absent.

मेश्वाननुबन्धकस्याम्बनम्

Need to read am as the ādēśa of mi without the anubandha p in the sūtra Tas-thas-tha-mipām tāntantāmaḥ (3, 4, 101).

मेश्र अननुबन्धकस्याम् वक्तव्यः, अचिनवम्, अकरवम्, असुनवम् । It is necessary to state that mi and not mip takes $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ am to secure the forms acinavam, akaravam and asunavam.

Note:-Hence u of nu takes guna, the pitkārya, in acinavam etc.

अत्यरुपमिद्मुच्यते, मेरिति । तिप्सिम्मिपामिति वक्तव्यम्, इहापि यथ स्यात् वेद, वेत्थ

Reading of $m\bar{e}h$ alone is very meagre; it should have been read tip-sim- $mip\bar{a}m$, to have guna in the forms $v\bar{e}da$ and vēttha also.

Note:-Kaiyata feels that this amendment of meh is unnecessary, while Nāgēšabhaṭṭu feels it necessary.

अस्य ज्ञापकस्य सन्ति दोषाः; सन्ति प्रयोजनानि; समा दोषा भूयांसो वा; तसान्तार्थोऽनेन ज्ञापकेन ।

There are defects in the $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$ and there are merits; the defects are the same in number as the merits or greater and hence the $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$ need not be resorted to.

. कथं यानि प्रयोजनानि ? What about the merits?

नैतानि सन्ति; इह तावत् चिक्षेडः ख्याञ् इति, जित्करणसामध्यीद्विभाषा आत्मनेपदं भाविप्यति; लटः शतृशानची इति, वक्ष्यत्येतत् प्रकृतानामात्मनेपदानां टेरेत्वं भवति इति; युवोरनाको इति, वक्ष्यत्येतत्, सिद्धं तु युवोरनुनासिकत्वाद् इति । The merits do not exist. Firstly with reference to Cakşinah khyāñ, ātmanēpada sets in optionally on the strength of ñitkaraṇa; with reference to Laṭah śātṛṣānacāu, he (Mahābhāṣṇakāra) is going to state (under 3, 4, 79) that ētva replaces ṭi only before the ātmanēpada terminations read in the sūtra Tip-tas-jhi ...; and with reference to Yuvōr anākāu he (Vānttikakāra) is going to say (under 7, 1, 1) that yu and vu followed by anunāsika alone are taken into account.

Note:—The reading anunāsikaparatvāt is found under the sūtra Yuvōr anākāu (7, 1, 1).

चाक्षिङः ख्याञ् (२, ४, ५४)

किमयं क्शादिः, ¹ आहोस्वित् स्यादिः? Does this commence with ks or khy?

चाक्षिङः क्ञाञ्ख्याञी

The sūtra is to be read thus Cakṣinaḥ kṣāñkhyāñāu.

चक्षिङ: क्राञ्च्याञिति ² क्रादिश्च स्यादिश्च It commences with ks and khy, since the sūtra is to be read Cokṣiùaḥ kṣāñkhyañāu.

ख्शादिवीं Or it commences with khs.

अथ वा ख्शादिभविष्यति Or it may commence with khs.

- 1. Kaśādiķ is another reading.
- 2. It seems the reading kšān khyānāviti is better.

केनेदानीं क्शादिभविष्यति ?

How will the initial element become k??

चर्त्वेन By cartva.

अथ ख्यादिः कथम् ?

How will the initial element become khy?

असिद्धे शस्य यवचनं विभाषा

Need to read śasya yatvam vibhāṣā after the sūtra Pūrvattrāsiddham.

असिद्धे शस्य विभाषा यत्वं वक्तव्यम्

Śasya vibhāṣā yatvam must be read after Pūrvattrāsiddham.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

प्रयोजनं सौप्ररूयेऽबुञ्त्रिधः

 $Vu\~nvidhi$ does not operate with reference to $s\=auprakhya$.

सौपरूय इति योपधरुक्षणो वुञ्चिधिन भवति । सौपरूयार्थ इति वृद्धाच्छ

इति छो भवति

The sū!ra Yōpadhād gurūpōt!amād vuñ (5, 1, 132) does not operate with reference to sauprakhya. Chah sets in there by the sūtra Vrddhāc chah (4, 2, 114).

निष्टानत्वमाख्याते Na does not replace kta in the word ākhyāta.

आख्यात इति निष्ठानत्वं न भवति

Na does not replace kta in the word ākhyāta.

रुविधि: पुंख्याने Ruiva does not appear in punkhyāna.

पुंख्यानमिति रुविधिर्न भवति

The sūtra Pumaļi khayyamparē (8, 3, 6) does not operate in pumkhyānam.

णत्वं पर्याख्याने Absence of nalva in the word paryakhyana.

पर्याख्यानमिति णत्वं न भवति

Natva does not appear (by the sūtra Krtyacah 8, 4, 29) in paryākhyāna.

सस्थानत्वं नमः ख्यात्रे

Jihvāmūlīya does not replace visarga in namaḥ khyātrē.

नमः च्यात्र इति सस्थानत्वं न भवति न

Jihvāmūlīya does not replace visarga in namah khyātrē.

Note:—Sasthānatva is the pūrvācārya-samjñā for Jihvā-mūlīya.

वर्जने प्रतिषेध: Prohibition of the ē ādēśa in the meaning of varjana

वर्जने प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, अवरं चक्ष्याः, परिसंचक्ष्याः

Need to prohibit the ādēśa in the sense of varjana to secure the forms avasamcakṣyāḥ and parisamcakṣyāḥ.

असनयोध Prohibition before the pratyayas a and sin too.

असनयोश्च प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, नृचक्षा रक्षः, विचक्षण इति । There is to prohibit the adesa before the pratyayas a and san to secure the forms nreaksā raksaļ, vicaksanaļ.

वहुलं तिण Necd to read Bahulam tani.

बहुलं तणीति वक्तज्यम् Bahulam tani is to be read.

किमिदं तणीति ? What is meant by tuni?

संज्ञाच्छन्दसोर्त्रहणम् Samijñā and chandas.

कि पयोजनम् ! What is the benefit ?

अन्नवधकगात्रविचक्षणाजिराद्यर्थम्

To secure the forms anna, vadhaka, gātra, vicakṣaṇa, ajira etc.

अत - अत्रम्, वधक - वधकम्, गात्र - गात्रं पश्य, विचक्षण - विचक्षणः, अजिर - अजिरे तिप्रति

So that the forms annam, vadhakam, gatram in gatram pasya, vicakṣaṇaḥ and ajiram in ajirē tiṣṭhati may be secured.

अजेर्व्यवज्याः (२, ४, ५६)

पञ्चोः प्रतिषेधे क्यम उपसङ्ख्यानम् Need to add kyap to agañ apōli.

घजपोः प्रतिपेधे क्यप उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तत्र्यम् । इहापि यथा स्यात् - समजनं, समज्य इति ।

There is need to add kyap to $gha\tilde{n}$ and ap in the pratised ha, so that it may operate here too in samijanam and samajya.

तत्तर्हि वक्तव्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तत्र्यम्, अपीत्येव भविष्यति

No, it need not be read. It is secured from api.

Note:—Ghoñapōh means ghañi and api parē.

कथम् ? How?

अभित नेदं प्रत्ययग्रहणम्

Ap in api does not refer to the pratyaya.

कि तर्हि ? To what then ?

प्रत्याहार्यहणम् It refers to the pratyāhāra.

क सन्त्रिविष्टानां प्रत्याहारः ?

From which is the pratyāhāra formed?

अपोऽकारात्प्रभृति आ क्यपः पकारात्

From a of ap (in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{R}d\bar{o}r$ ap 3, 3, 57) to p in kyap (in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Vraja- $yaj\bar{o}r$ $bh\bar{a}v\bar{e}$ kyap 3, 3, 97).

यदि प्रत्याहारग्रहणं संवीतिर्न सिध्यति

If it is taken as pratyāhāra, the form samvītiķ is not secured.

Note:— $Sa\dot{m}v\bar{\imath}ti\dot{h}$ is secured from $Striy\bar{a}m$ ktin (3, 3, 94) and ktin comes under the $praty\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ ap

एवं तर्हि नार्थ उपमङ्ख्यानेन, नापि घनपोः प्रतिषेधेन । इदमस्ति चक्षिङः ख्यान्, वा लिटि इति; ततो वक्ष्यामि' अनेवी – अनेवीभावो भवति वा, व्यवस्थितविभाषा च वा इति, तेनेह च भविष्यंति प्रवेता, प्रवेतुं, प्रवीतो रथः, संवीतिरिति; इह च न भविष्यंति – समाजः समजः, उदाजः उद्जः, समजनम् उद्जनं, समज्य इति ।

If so, no purpose is served by upasaikhyāna or by the pratisēdha of ghañ and ap. There are here the sūtras Cakṣinaḥ

khyān and $V\bar{a}$ liṭi (2, 4, 55). Then shall I read $Aj\bar{e}r$ $v\bar{\imath}$, which may mean that $v\bar{\imath}$ optionally replaces aj (by taking here $v\bar{a}$ from the previous $s\bar{u}tra$) and take it to be $vyavasthitavibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, so that it operates with reference to $prav\bar{e}t\bar{a}$, $prav\bar{e}tum$, $prav\bar{e}t\bar{o}$ rathah, and $samv\bar{e}th$ and does not operate with reference to $sam\bar{a}juh$, samvjah, $ud\bar{a}jah$, udajah, samajanam, udajanam, and samajya.

तत्रायमप्यर्थः, इदमपि सिद्धं भवति प्राजिता इति In that case this too—prājitā is secured.

किं च भो इध्यते एतद्भूषम्? Oh! Sir, is that form wished for? बाढिमिप्यते Certainly, it is desired.

्ष्वं हि कश्चिद् वैयाकरण आह, कोऽस्य स्थस्य प्रवेता इति ् sammarian save thus "Who is the *mravēlā (*chariotees

A grammarian says thus, "Who is the $prav\bar{e}t\bar{a}$ (charioteer) of this chariot?"

सूत आह, आयुष्मन्नहम् अस्य रथस्य प्राजिता इति The charioteer replies, "Sir, I am the prājitā of this chariot."

वैयाकरण आह, अपशब्द इति

The grammarian says, "It is incorrect form."

स्त आह, प्राप्तिज्ञो देवानांप्रियः, न त्विष्टिज्ञः, इप्यत एतद्भुषम् इति ।
The charioteer replies, "Sir, you, a learned fool, know only the forms learnt from sātras and do not know the forms secured from isti (the opinion of the Mahābhāṣyakāra) and this form - prājitā - is recognised by him."

वंयाकरण आह, अहो नु खल्यनेन दुरुतेन बाध्यामहे इति The grammarian says, "Oh, we are so slighted by this duruta."

स्त आह, न खलु वेजः स्तः, सुवतरेव स्तः । यदि सुवतेः कृत्सा प्रयोक्तत्र्या, दुःस्तेनेति वक्तत्र्यम्

^{1.} It is worthy of consideration whether Mahlibh Tryak Ira himself salls his views as iti.

The charioteer replies, "The word Sūtah is not derived from the root $v\bar{\imath}$, but from the root $s\bar{\imath}$." If you want to derive a term of contempt from $s\bar{u}$, it should be duḥsūtēna."

न तहींदानीमिदं वा या इति वक्तव्यम् In that case the $s\bar{u}tra\ V\bar{a}\ y\bar{a}u$ (2, 4, 57) need not be read.

वक्तव्यं च It has to be read.

किं प्रयोजमम् ? Why ?

नेयं विभाषा This $(v\bar{a})$ does not denote $vibh\bar{z}$ s् \bar{a} .

किं तर्हि ? What then?

आदेशोऽयं विधीयते । वेत्ययमारेशो भवत्यनेयौ परतः, वायुरिति This is enjoined as an $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$. Hence the $s\bar{u}tra$ means that the root aj takes the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}_{\perp}v\bar{a}$ when it is followed by yu to secure the from vāyuļi.

ण्यक्षत्रियापित्रितो यूनि छगणित्रोः (2, 4, 58)

अणिञ्रोर्छकि तद्राजाद्यवप्रत्ययस्योपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add yuvapratyaya after tadrāja with reference to the luk of an and $i\tilde{n}$.

अणिञोर्छीके तद्राजाद्युगप्रत्ययस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तन्यम् - बौधिः पिता, बौधिः पुत्रः ; औदुम्बरिः पिता, औदुम्बरिः पुत्रः ।

There is need to add yuvapratyaya after tadrāja with reference to the luk of an and in. Viz. Baudhih (father), Baudhih (son); Audumbarih (father). Audumbarih (son).

अपर आह Another says.

अणिञ्रेर्छिकि क्षत्रियगोतमात्राद्यवप्रस्यस्थोपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add yuvapralyaya after kşalriyagolra alone with reference to the luk of an and $i\tilde{n}$.

अणिञोर्नुकि क्षत्रियगोत्रमात्राद्युवप्रत्ययस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यमिति । जावालिः पिता, जागालिः पुत्रः

There is need to add yavapratyaya after K satriyagōtra alone with reference to the luk of an and $i\tilde{n}$. Viz $J\bar{a}b\bar{a}lih$ (father), $J\bar{a}b\bar{a}lih$ (son).

अपर आह Another says

अब्राह्मणगोत्रमात्राद्यवप्रत्ययस्रोपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add yuvapratyaya after abrāhmaṇagōtra alone.

अत्राह्मणगोत्रमात्राद्युवप्रत्ययस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यमिति

There is need to add yuvapratyaya after abrāhmaṇagōtra alone.

कि प्रयोजनम् ? Why

इदमपि सिद्धं भवति, भाण्डिजङ्घिः पिता, भाण्डिजङ्घिः पुत्रः, कार्णसरिकः पिता, कार्णसरिकः पुत्रः

The following forms also can be secured. Viz. Bhāṇḍijaṅghiḥ (father), Bhāṇḍijaṅghiḥ (son); Kārṇakharakiḥ (father), Kārṇakharakih (son),

Ahnika - Twentyseven ends.

'(Second Adhyāya fourth pāda first āhnika) ends.

Ahnika - Twenty eight

(Second Adhyāya, fourth pāda, second āhnika)

तद्राजस्य बहुषु तेनैवास्त्रियास् (२, ४, ६२)

Whether the stem of bahuşu denotes bahuvacanapratyaya or bahuvacana pratyayārtha is the only topic that is discussed here.

तद्राजादीनां छिकि समासबहुत्वे प्रतिषेधः

Need to prohibit the luk of $tadr\bar{a}j\bar{a}dis$ with reference to samāsabahutva.

तद्राजादीनां लुकि समासबहुत्वे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - पिय आङ्ग एषां त इमे पियाङ्गाः, प्रियो वाङ्ग एषां ते इमे प्रियवाङ्गा इति

There is need to prohibit the luk of $tadr\bar{a}j\bar{a}dis$ when the plural number in compound is taken into account, as in priyāngāḥ (priyaḥ āṅgaḥ ēṣām tē) and priyavāṅgāḥ (priyaḥ vāṅgaḥ · ēsām tē).

किमुच्यते समासबहुत्वे प्रतिषेध इति, यदा तेनैव चेत्कृतं वहुत्वम् इत्युच्यते, न चात्र तेनैव कृतं बहुत्वम् ?

Why is it said that there is need for the pratisedha with reference to samāsabahutva, since the sūtra enjoins luk only when bahutva is concerned with tadrājānta and it is found here?

भवति वै किञ्चित् - आचार्याः क्रियमाणमपि चोदयन्ति तद्वा कर्तव्यं, तेनैव चेद् बहुत्वमिति, समासबहुत्वे वा प्रतिवेधो वक्तव्य इति

It sometimes happens that Acaryas, doing one thing, suggest another. The vārttika suggests that either the word tēnāiva is to be read in the sūlra or it (the vārllika Tadrājādīnām luki samāsabahutvē pratisēdhah) is to be read.

अवहत्वे छुग्वचनम्

Need to enjoin luk when $sam \tilde{c}sa$ has no plural suffix.

अवहुत्वे च लुग् वक्तव्यः - अतिकान्तोऽक्वान् अत्यक्न इति

There is need to enjoin luk when $sam\bar{a}sa$ does not have plural suffix after it as in atyanga.

बहुवचने परतो यस्तद्राज इत्येवं च कृत्वा चोद्यते । अथ किमर्थं पुनिरदं ? न बहवचन इत्येव सिद्धम ?

The discussion rests on the assumption that the tadrājānta is followed by plural suffix. In that case, what is the need for this vārttika? Is not its purpose served by the bahuvacanapratyaya?

न सिध्यति; बहुवचन इत्युच्यते, न चात्र बहुवचनं पश्यामः No, it is not served; bahuvacana is stated there and we do not find bahuvacana here.

प्रत्ययसक्षणेन भविष्यति

It is taken to exist by Pratyryalöpē pratyayalakşanım (1, 1, 62)

न छुमता तस्मिन् इति प्रत्ययलक्षणस्य प्रतिपेधः

There is pratisēdha for pratyayalakṣaṇa from the principle Na lumatā tasmin.

Note:—Na lumatā tasmin is a vārttika found under the sūtra Na lumatā igasya (1, 1, 62).

न लुमताङ्गस्येति वक्ष्यामि

The sūlra 'Na lumatāngasya', shall I explain to give that meaning,

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ takes the $k\bar{a}rya$, whether it is enjoined in $ang\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ or elsewhere, to the anga when the pratyaya after it is dropped by stating the expressions luk etc. Luk here is the $k\bar{a}rya$ of the pratyaya and not $sumasl\bar{a}nga$.

ैनवं शक्यम् । इह हि दोषः स्यात् - पञ्चभिगांगीभिः क्रीतः पटः पञ्चगार्थः, दशगार्थे इति

Such an interpretation is not possible. For there will be difficulty here in the word pañcagārgyaḥ whose vigrahavākya is pañcabhir gūrgībhiḥ krītaḥ and in the word daśagārgyaḥ.

द्वन्द्वेऽबहुषु लुग्वचनम्

Need to enjoin luk in dvandva whose component members are not followed by plural suffix.

द्ध-द्वेऽबहुपु छुग् वक्तव्यः, गर्भवत्सवाजा इति

There is need to enjoin luk in dvandva whose component members are not followed by plural suffix. Viz. Gargavatsavājāh.

इह च छुग् वक्तज्यः - गर्भेभ्य आगतं गर्भरूष्यं, गर्गमयम् इति There is need to enjoin luk here too, in the words $gargar\bar{u}pyam$ and gargamayam whose derivation is Gargēbhya āgatam.

Note:— $Gargarar{u}pyam$ is secured from the $sar{u}tra$ $Har{\epsilon}tu$. manuşyēbhyōsnyatarasyām rūpyah (4, 3, 81) and gargamayam from the $s\bar{u}tra$ Mayat ca (4, 3, 82).

इह च अत्रय इति उदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरः प्रामोति

The anudātta (jas) following the $ud\bar{a}tta$ (dhak) which is dropped in atrayah will become udātta.

सिद्धं तु प्रत्ययार्थबहुत्वे लुग्वचनात्

The object is then achieved if it is conceded that there is luk for tadrajanta when the meaning of the plural suffix is taken into consideration.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

प्रत्ययार्थबहुत्वे लुग्वक्तव्यः

There is need to enjoin luk if plurality is taken into consideration.

यदि प्रत्ययार्थवहुरवे छुगुच्यते तेनापि अस्तियामिति वक्तव्यम् । इह मा मृत् आङ्गः स्त्रियः, वाङ्ग्यः स्त्रिय इति । यस्य पुनर्वहुवचने परतो लुगुच्यते तस्य ईकारेण व्यवहितत्वात्र भविष्यति ।

If it is conceded that there is luk if bahuvacanapratyayārtha follows, there is the necessity to read astriyam in the sutra to prevent luk in Angyalı (striyalı) and Vangyalı (striyalı.) But if it is taken that there is luk when bahuvacanapratyaya follows, $astriy\bar{a}m$ need not be read, since $\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}ra$ intercedes between $tadr\bar{a}j\bar{a}nta$ and plural suffix.

. यस्यापि तु बहुवचने परतो छुगुच्यते, तेनापि अस्त्रियाम् इति वक्तव्यम् -आम्बप्ट्याः स्त्रियः सौवीर्याः स्त्रियः इत्येवमर्थम् ।

There is need to read $astriy\bar{a}m$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ even by him who holds the view that there is luk when the plural suffix follows, to secure the forms $\bar{a}mbaslhy\bar{a}h$ (striyah), and $S\bar{a}uv\bar{v}ry\bar{a}h$ (striyah).

अत्रापि चापा व्यवधानम् Here too there is interception by $\bar{a}p$.

एकादेशे कृते नास्ति व्यवधानम्

There is no interception after ēkādēša is effected.

एकादेशः पूर्वविधौ स्थानिवद्भवतीति स्थानिवद्भावाद् व्यवधानमेव ।

There is interception through sthānivadbhāva from the dictum Ekādēśaḥ pūrvavidhāu sthānivad bhavati.

द्वन्द्वेऽबहुषु लुग्वचनम्

Need to enjoin *luk* in *dvandva* whose component members are not concerned with the meaning of plural suffix.

द्वन्द्वेऽबहुपु लुम्बक्तव्यः, गर्भवत्सवाजा इति

Luk is to be enjoined in dvandva whose component members have no concern with the meaning of plural suffix.

गोत्रस्य बहुषु लोपिनो बहुबचनान्तस्य प्रवृत्तौ द्येकयोरलुक्

Need to enjoin aluk to tadrāja followed by bahvarthatva when they are followed by gārapratyaya followed by the singular, or the dual, case-suffix.

गोत्रस्य बहुषु स्रोपिनो बहुवचनान्तस्य प्रवृत्तां खेकयोरसुम् वक्तव्यः । विदानामपत्यं माणवको वैदः, वैदां ।

There is need to enjoin aluk to tadrāja followed by bahvarthatva when they are followed by apatyapratyaya followed by the singular, or the dual, ease-suffix. Viz. Bāidaḥ (Bidānām apatyam māṇavakaḥ), Bāidāu.

किमर्थिमिदं? नाचि इत्येवालुक् सिद्धः? What is the need for this? Is not the purpose achieved by the sūtra Gōtrēslug aci (4, 1, 89)?

अचि इत्युच्यते, न चात्र अजादिं पश्यामः Aci is read and we do not see $aj\bar{a}di$ here.

प्रत्ययरुक्षणेन् By the dictum Pratyayalopē pratyayalakṣaṇาm.

The dictum— $Pratyayal\bar{o}p\bar{e}$ pratyayalakṣaṇam is not applicable with reference to the $k\bar{a}rya$ of a single letter.

एकवचनद्विवचनान्तस्य प्रवृत्तौ बहुषु लोपो यूनि

Need to enjoin $l\bar{o}pa$ of $tadr\bar{a}ja$ when the word is singular or dual and it is followed by $yuv \iota pratyay \imath$.

एकवचनद्विवचनान्तस्य प्रवृत्तौ बहुपु छोपो यूनि वक्तव्यः - बेदस्य अपत्यं बहवो माणवकाः - विदाः, बैदयोर्वा बिदाः; अञ्यो बहुपु यञ्यो बहुपु इत्युच्यनानो छुङ् न प्राप्नोति

It must be said that $tadr\bar{a}ja$ is dropped if the word ending in it is singular or dual and it is followed by yuvapratyaya followed by plural suffix. $Bid\bar{a}h$ ($B\bar{a}idasya$ apatyam bahavā $m\bar{a}navak\bar{a}h$), ($B\bar{a}iday\bar{o}r$ apatyam bahavah). There is no chance for the elision of $a\tilde{n}$ and $ya\tilde{n}$ which take place when it is followed by bahvarthatva.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads: Bidasya apatyāni bahūni iti añpratyayaḥ. Tadantād yūni Ata iñ iti iñ. Tasya Nyakṣatriyārṣa ñita iti luk.

मा मूदेवम्, अञन्तं यद् वहुपु यञन्तं यद् वहुपु इत्येवं भविष्यति
Let it not take place in the above interpretation. It does take place if it is interpreted thus:—añanta or yañanta when followed by bahvartha.

नैवं शक्यम् । इह हि दापः स्यात् - काञ्यपप्रतिकृतयः काञ्यप्र इति
This is not possible. In that case there will be difficulty with reference to the form Kāsyapāḥ which means Kāsyapaprati-krtayah.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads:—Kaśyapasya apatyam gōtram iti bidāditvād añ. Tataḥ kāśyapa iva tarpratikṛtaya ityarthē Ivē pratikṛtāu iti kanpratyayaḥ. Tasya Jīvikārthē cāpaṇyē iti vā Dēvapathādiṣvarcāsu pūjanīrthāsu citrakarmadhvajēṣu iti pāṭhād vā lup. Etad añantam bahuṣu vartatē iti luk-prasaṅgaḥ.

ततोऽयगाह - यस्य प्रत्ययार्थबहुत्वे छक् , द्रन्द्वेऽबहुपु छग्वचनमित्यस्य परिहारः ।

Hence he said Yasya pratyayārthabahutvē luk as parihāra to Drandvīsbahuşu lugvacanam.

न वा सर्वेषां द्वन्द्वे बह्वर्थत्वात्

This need not be since all component parts in dvandva are associated with bahvarthatva.

न वैष दोप: This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

सर्वेषां द्वन्द्वे वहर्थत्वात - सर्वाणि द्वन्द्वे बह्वर्थानि

On account of all component parts in drandva being associated with bahvarthatva. All component parts in dvandva are associated with bahvartha.

कथम् ! How ?

युगपदिधकरणविवक्षायां द्वन्द्रो भवति

Dvandva takes place only when there is $vivak s\bar{a}$ for the simultaneous $\bar{e}k\bar{a}dhikaranavrttitva$,

ततोऽयमाह - यस्य बहुवचने परतो छक्, यदि सर्वाणि द्वन्द्वे बहुर्थानि अहमपीदमचोद्यं चोद्ये - द्वन्द्वेऽबहुपु छुम्बचनिमिति, ममापि छत्र सर्वत बहुवचने परंभवति

Then says he who holds the bahuvacanapratyayapakṣa. "If all the component parts of dvandva are bahvartha, I too was found fault with where I should not be found fault with, since bahuvacana follows here in my opinion too".

लुकि क्रुने न श्रामोनि There is no chance when there is luk.

प्रत्ययस्रक्षणेन भविष्यति

It will, from the dictum Pratyayalāpē pratyayalakṣaṇam.

न छुमता तासिन् इनि प्रत्ययस्थणस्य प्रतिपेधः

There is $pratis\bar{e}dha$ to pratyayalakṣaṇa from the dictum 'Na $lumat\bar{a}$ tasmin'.

न छमताङ्गस्य इति वक्ष्यामि 1 shall read Na lumatānigasya

ननु चोक्तं नैवं शक्यम् इह हि दोष. स्य.त् - पञ्चिभः गार्गीभिः कीतः पटः पञ्चगार्गी दशगार्ग्य इति ।

Oh, it was said that it is not possible to interpret it in that manner, since there will be difficulty here in securing the form $Pa\tilde{n}cag\tilde{a}rgya\dot{h}$ whose $vigrahav\tilde{a}kya$ is $pa\tilde{n}cabhi\dot{h}$ $g\bar{a}rg\bar{a}bhi\dot{h}$ $kr\bar{\imath}ta\dot{h}$ $pata\dot{h}$ and $Da^{\xi}ag\bar{a}rgya\dot{h}$.

इष्टमेवैतत् सङ्गृहीतम् - पञ्चगर्गो दशर्गा इत्येव भवितन्यम् lt was conceded that the forms might be pañcagargaḥ and daśagargaḥ.

तथेदमंपरमचोद्यं चोद्ये - गर्गरूप्यं गर्गमयम् - अत्रापि बहुवचन इत्येव सिद्धम् Similarly I was questioned with reference to gargarūpyam and gargamayam, which I do not deserve, since it is secured from the mention of bahuvocanē.

कथम्? How?

समर्थाचिद्धित उत्पद्यते । सामर्थ्यं च सुवन्तेन । Taddhitapratyaya makes its appearance after samartha. There is sāmarthya with subanta.

ततोऽयमाह यस्य प्रत्ययार्थवहुत्वे छुक्, यदि समर्थाचाद्धित उत्पद्यतेऽहमपीद-मचोद्यं चोद्ये 'गोत्रस्य वहुपु लोपिनो वहुवचनान्तस्य प्रवृत्तो द्येक्योरछक्' इति Then says he who holds bahuvacanapratyayārthapakṣa that he need not be questioned with reference to Gōtrasya bahuṣu lōpinō bahuvacanāntasya pravṛttāu dvyēkayōr aluk.

Note:—Kāiyaļa reads:- Yō hi ubhayōr dōṣō na tam ēkaś cōdyaḥ.

कथम ? How?

यस्यापि बहुवचने परतो छुक् तेनाप्यताछुग् वक्तव्यः । तस्यापि ह्यत्र बहुवचनं परं भवति

Aluk must be enjoined even by him who holds bahuvacanapratyayapakṣa, since bahuvacana is here para even to him.

न वक्तव्यः, अचि इत्येवालुक् सिद्धः

No, it need not be enjoined. The object -aluk is achieved by the mention of aci (in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $G\bar{o}tr\bar{e}slug$ aci (4, 1, 89).

अचीत्युच्यते, न चात्राजादिं पश्यामः

There is mention of aci; but we do not see here ajādi.

प्रत्ययलक्षणेन

It is secured by the dictum Pratyayalope pratyayalaksanam,

ननु चोक्तं वर्णाश्रये नास्ति प्रत्ययरुक्षणम् इति

Oh, it was said that Pratyayalopē pratyayalakṣanam does not operate when the vidhi relates to varna.

यदि वा कानि चिद्धणीश्रयाण्यपि प्रत्ययलक्षणेन भवन्ति तथेदमपि भविष्यति । If some vidhis relating to varņa operate on the operation of the dictum Pratyayalōpē pratyayalakṣaṇam, this too follows them.

अथ वाविशेषेणालुकमुक्ता हरि न इति वक्ष्यामि

Or I shall read the sūtra Hali na after enjoining aluk as a general rule.

यद्यविशेषेणालुकमुक्त्या हलि न इत्युच्यते, विदानामपत्यं बहवो माणवकाः विदाः, अत्राप्यलुक् प्राप्नोति

If aluk is enjoined as a general rule and then Hali na is read, there is chance for aluk in the word $Bid\bar{a}h$ meaning $Bid\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ apatyam bahavā māṇavakāh.

अस्तु । पुनरस्य युवबहुत्वे वर्तमानस्य छुग्भविष्यति
Let it be. Luk, afterwards, sets in there by the sūlra Yañañōś ca (2, 4, 64) which relates to yuvabahutva.

पुनरलुक्सान्त भवति ? Why cannot aluk set in afterwards?

समर्थानां प्रथमस्य गोत्रप्रत्यययान्तस्यालुगुच्यते, न चैतत् समर्थानां प्रथमं गोत्रप्रत्ययान्तम् ।

Aluk is enjoined to the first gotrapratyaya which is samartha and this does not end in the gotrapratyaya which is first among samarthapratyayas.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads:—Prāthamakalpikē ca pratyayārthē vartamānasya prāthamyam. Yathā Gārgīyā iti. Atra yuva-pratyayasya luki krtē yuvalakṣaṇam dvitīyam artham upa-sankrāntōsñantaḥ śabda iti prāthamyābhāvaḥ.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

द्वितीयमर्थमुपसङ्कान्तम् It has reference to dvitīya-artha.

अवर्यं चतदेवं विज्ञेयम् - अत्रिभरद्वाजिका, वसिष्ठकर्यापिका, भृग्विङ्गरिसका, कुत्सकुशिकिका इत्येवमर्थम् ।

This interpretation is necessary, so that the forms $Atri-bharadv\bar{o}jik\bar{a}$, $Vasiṣṭha-Kaśyapik\bar{a}$, $Bhṛgvaigirasik\bar{a}$ and $Kutsa-kuśikik\bar{a}$ may be secured.

गर्गभार्गविकाग्रहणं वा नियमार्थम्

Or the reading of Gargabhargavikā is for niyama.

गर्गभार्गविकाग्रहणं वा कियते, तिनयमार्थ भविष्यति, एतस्यैव द्वितीयमर्थ-मुपसङ्कान्तस्यालुग् भवति नान्यस्य इति

Or mention is made of Garga- $bh\bar{a}rvik\bar{a}$ and it will serve as niyama, that the aluk of the pratyaya which is $upasa\dot{n}kr\bar{a}nta$ to the second artha takes place only here and nowhere else.

यद्प्युच्यते - एकवचनद्विवचनान्तस्य प्रवृत्तो बहुपु लोगो यूनि वक्तन्य इति, मा भृदेवम् - अञ्यो बहुपु यञ्यो बहुपु इति यञन्तं यहहुपु अञन्तं यहहुपु - इत्येवं भविष्यति

With reference to the statement Ekavacana-dvivacanānlasya pravṛtlāu bahuṣu lōpō yūni vaktavyaḥ, it need not be taken

thus: - añ yō bahuṣu, yañ yō bahuṣu, but it may be taken thus: - añantam yad bahuṣu, yañantam yad bahuṣu.

ननु चोक्तम् नैवं श्रवयम् ; इह हि दोषः स्थात् , काश्यपप्रतिकृतयः काश्यपा इशि Oh! it was said that this is not possible, since, in that case, there will be difficulty with reference to the form $K\bar{a}\dot{s}yap\bar{a}\dot{h}$ meaning $K\bar{a}\dot{s}yap$ pratikrtaya \dot{h} .

नैप दोपः । लेंकिकस्य तत्र गोत्रस्य अहणं, न चैतद् लेंकिकं गोत्रम्
This difficulty does not arise. That has reference to lāukika-gotra and this is not lāukika-gotra.

यस्य बहुवचने परतो लुक्, समासबहुत्वे तेन नेति प्रतिपेधो वक्तव्यः, तेनैव चेत् कृतं बहुत्विमिति वा वक्तव्यम् । यस्य प्रत्ययार्थबहुत्वे लुक् तेनास्त्रियामिति वक्तव्यम् । यस्य बहुवचने परतो लुक् तस्यायमधिको दोषः, अत्रय इति उदाच-निवृत्तिस्तरः प्राप्तोति । तस्मात् प्रत्ययार्थबहृत्वे लुग् इत्येष पक्षो ज्यायान् ।

By him who holds that luk takes place when plural suffix follows, either samāsabahutvē pratiṣēdhaḥ or Tēnāiva cēt kṛtam bahutvam has to be read; and by him who holds that luk takes place when bahuvacanapratyayārtha follows, Astriyām has to be read. There is this additional defect that the udāttanivṛttisvara will appear in Atrayaḥ in the pratyayaparatva-pakṣa. Hence the pakṣa pratyayārthabahutvē luk is better,

अथ इह कथं भिवतव्यम् - गार्गी च वात्स्यश्च वाज्यश्च इति? यदि तावदस्त्री विधिनार्श्रायते अस्त्यत्रास्त्रीति कृत्वा भिवतव्यं छुका । अथ स्त्री प्रतिषेधेनाश्रीयते अस्त्यत्र स्त्रीति कृत्वा भिवतव्यं प्रतिषेधेन ।

What is to happen here in Gārgī ca Vālsyaś ca Vājyaś ca? If astriyām is taken to be paryudāsa, there is chance for luk, taking that there is astrī here and if it is taken as prasajya-pratiṣādha, there is chance for pratiṣādha taking that there is strī here.

कि पुनरत्रार्थसतत्त्वम् What is the truth here?

देवा एतद् ज्ञातुमहन्ति

It is only the learned men that have to decide,

अथ यो लोप्यलोपिनां समासस्तत्र कथं भवितव्यम्?

What should happen in the case of samāsa where some of whose component members allow lopa and some do not?

उभयं हि हश्यते - शरद्वच्छुनकदर्भाद्भृगुवत्सात्रायणेषु, नोदात्तत्वरितोदय-मगार्भकार्यपगालवानाम् इति

Both are seen, as in Śaradvac-chunakadarbhād bhṛguvatsāgrā yanēsu (4, 1, 102) and Nodattasvaritodayam agārgyakāsyapa- $g\bar{a}lav\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ (8, 4, 67).

Note: -Kāiyata says there is luk in bhrgu and vatsa of the former and it is absent in $g\bar{a}rgya$ and $k\bar{a}syapa$ of the latter.

यञ्जोञ्च (12, 4, 64)

यञादीनामेकद्वयोवी तत्पुरुषे पष्ट्या उपसङ्ख्यानम्

There is need to read Yajñādīnām ēkadvayōr vā tatpuruṣē

यञादीनामेकद्वयोवी तत्पुरुपे पष्ठ्या उपसङ्ख्याने कर्तव्यम् - गार्थस्य कुलं sasthyāh. गार्ग्यकुलं गरीकुलं वा, गार्ग्ययोः कुलं गार्ग्यकुलं गरीकुलं वा; वैदस्य कुलं वैदकुलं विद्कुलं वा; वैद्योः कुलं वैद्कुलं विद्कुलं वा

There is need to enjoin luk with reference to sasthītatpurusa also where the former member is yañanta or añanta and is singular or dual so that Gargyasya kulum may compound into Gārgyakulam or Gargakulam and Gārgyayōh kulam compound into Gārgyakulam or Gargakulam; Bāidasya kulam may compound into Bāidakulam or Bidakulam ann Bāidayōh kulam may compound into Bāidakulam or Bidakulam.

यञ दीनामिति किमर्थम ?

Why is Yañādīnām read in the vārttika?

आङ्गस्य कुरुम् आङ्गकुरुम्, आङ्गदोः कुरुम् आङ्गकुरुम्

Since Angasya and kulam compound into angakulam and Āngryāh and kulam into āngakulam.

एकद्वयोरिति किमर्थम्? Why is ēkadvayōḥ read?

गर्गाणां कुलं गर्भकुलम्

So that Garganam and kulam may compound into Gargakulam.

तत्पुरुप इति किमर्थम् ? Why is talpuruse read?

गार्ग्यस्य समीपम् उपगार्ग्यम्

So that $G\bar{a}rgyasya$ and $sam\bar{\imath}pam$ may compound into $upa-g\bar{a}rgyam$.

पप्ट्या इति किमर्थम्? Why is şaṣṭhyāḥ read?

शोभनगार्थः, परमगार्थः

There is no luk in the prathamā-tatpuruṣa like śōbhanagārgyaḥ and paramagārgyaḥ.

बह्नच इञ: प्राच्यभरतेषु (2, 4, 66)

किमयं समुच्चयः प्राक्षु च भरतेषु च इति, आहोस्विद् भरतिवेशेपणं प्राग्प्रहणं प्राच्चो ये भरता इति?

Is prācyabharatēņu dvandvīsamāsa or prathamā-tatpuraņasamāsa?

कि चातः? What does it matter if it is either?

यदि समुचयो भरतग्रहणमनर्थकं, न ह्यन्यत्र भरताः सन्ति । अथ प्राग्यहणं भरतविशेषणं, प्राग्यहणमनर्थकं, न ह्यप्राञ्चो भरताः सन्ति ।

If it is dvandva, bharata need not be read; for Bharatas are not found except in the east. If it is prathamā-tatpuruṣa, prūk is unnecessary; for there are no bharatas who do not live in eastern countries.

एवं तर्हि समुचयः If so, it is dvandva.

ननु चोक्तं भरतप्रहणमनर्थदं न खन्यत्र भरताः सन्तीति

Oh, it was said that the word bharata then is unnecessary since bharatas are not found elsewhere.

नानर्थकम् ; ज्ञापकार्थम्

It is not unnecessary; it is used to suggest something else.

किं ज्ञाप्यते? What is suggested?

एतद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्थे अन्यत्र प्राग्यहणे भरतग्रहणं न भवति इति । $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $pr\bar{a}k$, elsewhere, does not refer to Bharatas ?

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् !

What is the benefit of this $j ilde{n}ar{a}$ pana?

इञः प्राचाम्, भरतग्रहणं न भवति; औद्दालिकः पिता, औद्दालकायनः पुत्रः इति

Bharatas are not taken into account in the application of the sūlra Iñah prācām (2, 4, 60) so that the father is Auddālakih and the son is Auddālakāyanah (and not Auddālakih).

न गोपवनादिभ्यः (2, 4, 67)

गोपवनादिप्रतिषेधः प्राग्घरितादिभ्यः

The pratisēdha Na gopavanādibhyah stops before Haritādibhyos- $\tilde{n}ah$ (4, 1, 100).

गोपवनादिभ्यः प्रतिषेधः प्राग्वरितादिभ्यो द्रष्टव्यः हारितः, हारितौ ; बहुषु हरिताः

The pratisēdha with reference to Gōpavanādi ceases to operate before the sūtra Haritādibhyōsñaḥ to secure hāritaḥ and hāritāu in the singular and the dual and haritah in the plural.

उपकादिभ्योऽन्यतरसामद्रन्दे (2, 4, 69)

किमर्थमद्वन्द्व इत्युच्यते ? Why is advandvē read?

द्वन्द्वे मा भृदिति To prevent the operation in dvandva.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् , इप्यते एव द्वन्द्वे - अप्र्क्तकिषष्ठलाः, अप्र्किकापिष्ठलय इति This is not the benefit. It is desired to operate in dvandva, as in bhrāstrakakapisthalāh and bhrāstrakikāpisthalayah.

अत उत्तरं पटति He (Vārttikakāra, answers this:—

अद्दन्द्व इति द्वन्द्वाधिकारनिवृत्त्यर्थम्

Reading of advandvē is for the nivrlti of dvandvādhikāra.

अद्व-द्व इत्युच्यते । द्व-द्वाधिकारनिवृत्त्यर्थम् - द्व-द्वाधिकारो निवर्त्यने, तस्मि-निवर्णेऽविशेषेण द्वन्द्वे च अद्वन्द्वे च भविष्यति ।

Advand $v\bar{e}$ is read. For the sake of the nivrti of dvand $v\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$. Dvand $v\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ is made to recede. When it recedes, it operates both with reference to dvandva and non-dvandva.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ notes that $adh^ik\bar{a}ra$ here does not refer to the technical one, but to that current in the world (i.e.) $ap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}-laksana$.

आगस्त्रकाण्डिन्ययोरगस्तिक्रण्डिनच् (2, 4, 70)

आगस्त्यकौण्डिन्ययोः प्रकृतिनिपातनम्

Need to read prakṛtēḥ in the sūtra.

आगस्त्यकौण्डिन्ययोः प्रकृतिनिपातनं कर्तव्यम् । अगस्तिकृण्डिनम् इत्येतै। प्रकृत्यादेशो भवत इति वक्तव्यम्

There is need to read prakṛtēḥ in the sūtra. It must be read that Agastya and Kuṇḍinac are the ādēšas of the prakṛti alone.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

लुक्प्रतिषेधे वृद्धचर्यम्

For the sake of vrddhi at the pratisēdha of luk.

छक्पतिषेषे वृद्धिर्थथा स्यात् To allow vrddhi at luk-pratisedha.

Note:—Kāiyaļa reads:—Gēlrēslug aci iti luki pratiṣiddhē talsanniyēgašiṣṭasya ādēšasyāpi abhāva iti āgastyašabdāt chaḥ siddhyati.

प्रत्ययान्तनिपातने हि वृद्धचभावः

Absence of vṛddhi only if ādēśa is for pratyayānta.

प्रत्ययान्तिनिपातने हि सित वृद्धचभावः स्यात्, आगग्तीयाः कौण्डिना इति ; यदि प्रकृतिनिपातनं कियते केनेदानीं प्रत्ययस्य लोपो भविष्यति ?

There will no vṛddhi only when the ādēśa replaces pratyayānta. Viz. Āgastīyāḥ, Kāuṇḍināḥ. If the ādēśa replaces pratyti alone, which will effect the pratyaya to elide?

अधिकारात्प्रत्ययलोपः Pratyayalöpa is from adhikāra.

अधिकारात् त्रत्ययलोपो भविष्यति Pratyaya elides from adhikāra.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$ as says that there is $adhik\bar{a}ra$ for luk and it elides.

तत्ति प्रकृतिनिपातनं कर्तव्यम्

If so, prakrtēh must be read in the sūtra.

न कर्तन्यम्? No, it need not.

'योगाविभागात्सिद्धम्

The object is achieved through sūtra-split.

योगविभागः करिप्यते आगस्त्यकौण्डिन्ययोः - आगस्त्यकौण्डिन्ययोर्वहुषु छुग् भवति । ततः अगस्तिकुण्डिनच् - अगस्तिकुण्डिनच् इत्येतोः च प्रकृत्यादेशौ भवत आगस्त्यकौण्डिन्ययोरिति ।

The $s\bar{u}tra$ is split into two:—First $s\bar{u}tra$ is $\bar{A}gastya$ - $K\bar{a}undinya-y\bar{o}h$, which means that there is luk when $\bar{A}gastya$ and $\bar{K}aundinya$ are followed by plural suffix. Then is the $s\bar{u}tra$ Agastikundinac read, which means that Agasti and Kundinac are the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sas$ of the prakrti of $\bar{A}gastya$ and $K\bar{a}undinya$.

एवमपि शत्ययान्तयोरेव प्राप्तोति । प्रत्ययान्ताद्धि भवान् षष्ठी शुचारयति आगस्त्यकाण्डिन्ययोरिति

Even then it may happen only to the pratyayānta in both. For you pronounce $sasth\bar{\imath}$ only after the pratyayānta in $\bar{A}gastyak\bar{a}undinyay\bar{o}h$.

नेप दोप. । यथा परिभाषितं प्रत्ययस्य छुक्र्छुषो भवन्तीति प्रत्ययस्यैव भविष्यति, अवशिष्टस्यादेशौ भविष्यतः

This difficulty does not arise. There is luk only to the pratyaya since it is so read in Pratyayasya lukślulupah and the ādēśas replace the parts which are left out.

यङोड च (2, 4, 74)

ऊतोडचि Need to read ūtah qualifying yan.

ऊतोऽचीति वक्तव्यम् - इह मा भूत् सनीस्त्रमो, दनीध्नस इति Ttah must be read to qualify yah, to prevent the elision of yah in sanīsrasah and danīddhvasah.

अथ ऊत इत्युच्यमाने इह कस्मान्न भवति - योयूयः, रोह्नयः If $\bar{u}lah$ is read, which prevents the elision of yah in $y\bar{v}y\bar{u}yah$ and $r\bar{v}r\bar{u}yah$?

विहितविशेषणम्कारमङ्गम् - ऊकारान्ताद्यो विहित इति $\overline{U}k\bar{a}ra$ is taken to be vihitavisēṣaṇa in the sense, that which is enjoined after $\bar{u}k\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । इष्टमेवैतत्संगृहीतं, सनीश्रंसो दनीध्वंस इत्येव भवितव्यम् । No, it need not. The forms sanīsramsah and danīdhvamsah are the desired ones.

गातिस्थाघुवाभूम्यः सिचः परसौपदेषु (2, 4, 77)

गापोर्प्रहणे इण्पिबत्योर्प्रहणम्

It must be read that $g\tilde{a}$ and $p\tilde{a}$ respectively refer to the roots in and $p\tilde{a}$ taking the $\tilde{a}d\tilde{e}\hat{s}a$ pib.

गापोर्भ्रहणे इण्पिवत्योर्भ्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । इणो यो गाशव्दः, पिवतेर्यः पाशव्द इति वक्तव्यम् ; इह मा भूत् अगासीन्नटः, अपासीद्धनम् इति

It is necessary to read $in\text{-}pibaty\bar{o}h$ qualifying $g\bar{a}p\bar{o}h$ so that the elision of sic appears after $g\bar{a}$, the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ of in and $p\bar{a}$, which takes the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ pib and not in $ag\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}t$ and $ap\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}t$ in $ag\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}nnatah$ and $ap\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}ddhanam$.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must then be read.

न वक्तन्यम् । इणो श्रहणे ताबद्वाचिम्; निर्देशादेव न्यक्तं छः विकरणस्य श्रहणिनिति । पाश्रहणे चापि वार्त्तम्; उक्तमेतत् सर्वत्रैव पाश्रहणेऽछु विकरणश्रहणिनिति । No, it need not be read. There is healthy sign that it refers to in alone, since $g\bar{a}ti$ clearly suggests that it belongs to the second conjugation. There is healthy sign that $p\bar{a}$ also refers

to that which takes the ādēśa pib, since it was noted that pā everywhere refers to that of the alugvikarana.

तनादिभ्यस्तथासोः (३, 4, 79)

तथासोरात्मनेपदवचनम्

Need to read $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pada$ as the adjunct of ta and $th\bar{a}s$.

तथासोरात्मनेपदस्य प्रहणं कर्त्रव्यम् - आत्मनेपदं यो तथासाविति वक्तव्यम् There is need to qualify of tathāsōḥ by ātmanēpada. Ta and thās must be stated to belong to ātmanēpada.

एकवचनग्रहणं वा

Or is the need to qualify them by ēkavacana.

अथ वा एक वचने ये तथासी इति वक्त व्यम्

Or it must be read that ta and $th\bar{a}s$ which are singular are referred to here.

तचावश्यमन्यतरत् कर्तव्यम्

Hence it is absolutely necessary to read either.

अवचने ह्यानिष्टप्रसङ्गः

For it will land us in difficulties if it is not read.

अनुच्यमाने हि एतिसान्निष्टं प्रसज्येत - अतिष्ट यूयम् , असिन्ष्ट यूयम् इति । If either is not read, there will be difficulty in arriving at the forms atanista yūyam and asanista yūyam.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् ? Is it then to be read?

न वक्तव्यम् । यद्यपि तावद्यं तश्वदो हप्टापचारः अस्ति आत्मनेपदम्, अस्त्येव परस्मेपदम्, अरत्येकवचनम्, अस्ति बहुवचनम्, अयं तु खलु थास्शव्दोऽहप्टापचार आत्मनेपदमेकवचनमेव च । तस्यास्य कोऽन्यः सहायो भवितुमर्हत्यन्यदत आत्मनेपदादेकवचनाच । तद्यथा - अस्य गोर्द्वितीयेनार्थ इति गारेवानीयते नाश्चो न गर्दभ इति ।

No, it need not be read. Even though the range of la is wide since it stands as both $\tilde{a}tman\tilde{e}pada$ and $parasm\tilde{a}ipada$, termination and as singular and plural, the range of $th\tilde{a}s$ is restricted

to ātmanēpada and singular. Which deserves to be in association with it other than that which is ātmanēpada and singular? It is analogous to this:—If one says that he wants a second to a cow on hand, only a cow is fetched and not a horse nor an ass.

आम: (2, 4, 81)

आमा लेलोंपे लुङ्लोटोरुपसङ्ख्यानम्

If there is lopa for li after am, there is need to add lun and lot.

आमो हेरोंपे छङ्कोटोरुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - तां वैजवापयो विदानकन्, अत्र भवन्तो विदाङ्कर्वन्तु

If there is $l\bar{o}pa$ for li after $\bar{a}m$, there is need to add $lu\dot{n}$ and $l\bar{o}t$ (in the $s\bar{u}lra$ $Kr\bar{n}c\bar{a}nuprayujyat\bar{e}$ liti 3, 1, 40), so that the forms $vid\bar{a}m$ -akran, $vid\bar{a}\dot{n}$ -kurvantu in the expressions $V\bar{a}ija$ - $v\bar{a}pay\bar{o}$ $vid\bar{a}makran$, Atra bhavant \bar{o} $vid\bar{a}nkurvantu$ may be secured.

Note:—This arises on the understanding that there is anuvrlli for $l\bar{e}h$ from the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ Mantr \bar{e} ghasa janibhy \bar{e} $l\bar{e}h$ (2, 4, 80) & it refers to lit.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् ; लियहणं निवर्तिष्यते

It need not be read; Lēh is not taken here by anuvrtti.

यदि निवर्तते प्रत्ययमात्रस्य छुक् प्रामोति

If, it recedes, there is chance for luk of any pratyaya that follows it.

इप्यत एव प्रत्ययमात्रस्य । आतश्चेष्यते, एवं ह्याह क्रञ्चानुप्रयुज्यते लिटि इति The luk of any pratyaya is desired for. It is desired from this fact also that Acārya has read the sūtra Kṛñcānuprayujyatē liţi.

यदि च प्रत्ययमात्रस्य छुग्भवति तत एतदुपपन्नं भवति

If the luk is allowed to any prutyaya, this may be considered to be free from defect.

आमन्तेभ्यो णलः प्रतिपेधः

There is need to prohibit the luk of nal after āmanta.

आमन्तेभ्यो णलः प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - शशान, तताम ; वृद्धौ कृतायामाम इति लुक् प्रामोति ।

There is need to prohibit the luk of nal after \bar{a} mantas. instance, after vrddhi takes place in śaśāma and tatāma, a after ām may be dropped by the sūtra Āmaḥ.

आमन्तेभ्योऽर्थवद्भहणाण्णलोऽप्रतिपेधः

There is no need to prohibit the luk of nal after $\bar{a}m$, since the $s\bar{u}lra$ $\bar{A}mah$ is concerned with $\bar{a}m$ which has a meaning for itself.

आमन्तेभ्योऽभैवद्भर्णाण्णलोऽपतिषेधः । अनर्थकः प्रतिषेधः अपतिषेधः

No purpose is served by enjoining the pratisēdha of nal after \bar{a} manta, since only the \bar{a} m which has meaning is taken here into account.

लुक् कस्मान्न भवति शशाम, तताम इति ?

Why is there no room for luk in śaśāma and tatāma?

अर्थवद्गहणात् । अर्थवतः आम्शब्दस्य प्रहणम्, न चैषोऽर्थवाम् Since $\bar{a}m$ which has meaning is taken here. Am which has meaning is taken into account and $\bar{a}m$ in $\dot{s}a\dot{s}\bar{a}ma$, $tat\bar{a}ma$ has no meaning for itself.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $\bar{A}m$ pratyaya is used in svārtha.

आमन्तेभ्योऽर्थवद्घहणाण्णलोऽप्रतिषेध इति चेदमः प्रतिषेधः

If the pratisēdha of luk of nal after āmanta is unnecessary from arthavadgrahana, there is need for the pratisēdha of luk of nal after the root am.

आमन्तेभ्योऽर्थद्रहणाण्णलोऽप्रतिषेध इति चेदमः प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - आम If the $pratisar{e}dha$ of luk of nal after $ar{a}manta$ is unnecessary on account of arthavadgrahana. the pratisēdha of luk of nal after the root am is needed for.

टक्तं वा. It has been answered.

किमुक्तम् ? How has it been answered?

सन्निपातलक्षणो विधिरनिमित्तं तद्विघातस्य इति

The rule bringing out the combination of two things never destroys it.

Note:—Final a made the root am become $\bar{a}m$ and hence $\bar{a}m$ cannot destroy \bar{a} .

किं पुनर्लुग् आदेशानामपवादः, आहोस्वित् कृतेष्वादेशेषु भवति ! Does luk operate before $luk\bar{\alpha}ras$ take their $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sas$ or after it ?

छुगादेशापदादः Luk serves as the apavāda of ādēśas.

लुग् आदेशानामपवादः Luk serves as the apavāda of ādēšas.

तिङ्कृताभावस्तु If so, the kāryn of tin will be absent.

तिङ्कृतस्य तु अभावः Absence of the kārya of tiin.

कस्य ? Of which ?

पद्त्वस्य Of padatva.

सुवन्त्रपदत्वात्सिद्धम् Padatva is secured through subantatva.

सुवन्तं पदमिति पदमंज्ञा भविष्यति

Padasanijñā is secured by Subantam padam.

कथं खादात्पत्तिः ! How will sup appear there ?

लकारस्य कृत्वात् प्रातिपदिकत्वं तदाश्रयं प्रत्ययविधानम्

lt is prātipadika, lakāra being krt. It gets sup on that basis.

लकारः कृत्; कृत्पातिपदिकामिति प्रातिपदिकसंज्ञा, तदाश्रयं प्रत्ययविधानं प्रातिपदिकाश्रयस्यात् स्वाद्युराचिभविष्यति ।

Lakāra is krt; lakārānta gets prātipadikasainjāā by the sūtra Krt-taddhita-samāsās ca (1, 2, 46). Appearance of sup depends upon prātipadikatva. Sup, having for its $\bar{a}s$ raya the $pr\bar{a}tipadika$, appears.

यद्येनं, सुपः श्रनणं प्रामोति If so, sup may present itself-अन्ययादिति लग्निविष्यति

It disappears by Avyayādāp supah (2, 4, 82.)

कथमन्ययस्वम् ? How does it get avyaya-samjñā?

अव्ययत्वं मकारान्तत्वात

It gets avyayatva on account of its ending in m.

कृदन्तं मान्तमव्ययसंज्ञं भवतीति अव्ययसंज्ञा भविष्यति

It gets avyayasamj $\tilde{n}a$ since it is krdanta and $m\tilde{a}$ nta (by the sūtra Krnmējantah 1, 1, 39)

स्वरः कथम् यत्प्रकारयाञ्चकार ?

On what basis does pra in yatprakārayāñ cakāra get its svara (anudāttatva)?

Note: -Kāiyaṭa says that pra is anudātta by Tihi Cōdāttavati (8, 1, 71) when tip etc. is elided.

खरः कृदन्तप्रकृतिखरत्वात्

It gets its svara since krdanta, the following member of compound, takes its prakrtisvara.

कृदन्तमुत्तरपदं प्रकृतिस्वरं भवतीत्येष स्वरो भविष्यति

The svara is secured on the strength of the statement Krdantam uttarapadam prakṛtisvaram bhavati.

तथा च निघातानिघातसिद्धिः

Hence are nighātatva and anighātatva secured.

तथा च निघातानिघातसिद्धिभेवति - चक्षुष्कामं याजयांचकार - तिङ्ङतिङ इति तस्य चानियातः, तसाच नियातः सिद्धो भवति

Hence both anighātatva and nighātatva are yājayāicakāra of the sentence cakṣuṣkāmam yājayāicakāra, ām, being followed by tin does not get nighātatva and cakāra gets it, since it is not followed by tin.

नञा तु समासप्रसङ्गः

There is chance for it to compound with nañ.

नञा तु समासः प्राप्तोति - न कारयाम्, न हारयाम्; नञ् सुवन्तेन सह समस्यत इति समासः प्रामोति

There is chance for $\bar{a}manta$ to compound itself with $na\tilde{n}$, so that na $k\bar{a}ray\bar{a}m$ and na $h\bar{a}ray\bar{a}m$ may compound into $ak\bar{a}ray\bar{a}m$ and $ah\bar{a}ray\bar{a}m$ on the strength of $Na\tilde{n}$ subantēna saha samasyatē,

उक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमुक्तम् ? How has it been answered?

असामध्यादिति - नात्र नञ आमन्तेन सामध्यम्

On account of $as\bar{a}marthya$. There is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ for $na\tilde{n}$ to compound itself with $\bar{a}manta$.

केन तर्हि ? With what then?

लिड-तेन - न चकार कारयाम्, न चकार हारयाम् इति

With lidanta thus:-Na cakāra kārayām, na cakāra hārayām.

अन्ययादाप्सुपः (2, 4, 82)

अन्ययादापा छुग्यचनानर्थक्यं लिङ्गाभावात

Injunction of luk of $\bar{a}p$ after avyaya is of no use on account of the absence of linga.

अञ्ययादापो लुम्बचनमनर्थकम्

Injunction of luk of ap after avyaya is of no use.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

लिङ्गाभावात् - अलिङ्गमन्ययम्

On account of the absence of linga. Avyrya denotes no gender.

िक्तिमदं भवान् सुपो लुकं मृष्यत्यापो न मृष्यति, यथैव खलिङ्गमन्ययम् प् प्वमसङ्ग्रचमिष १

How is it you tolerate the luk of sup and not that of $\bar{a}p$? As arayaya does not denote gender, it does not denote number too.

सत्यमेवमेतत् । प्रत्ययन्धाणमाचार्यः प्रार्थयमानः सुपो छकं मृष्यति, आपः पुनरस्य हिक सिन न किञ्चिः एपि प्रयोजनमन्ति ।

It is quite true. $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ tolerates the luk of sup since he wants to apply the principle $Pratyayal\bar{o}p\bar{e}$ pratyayalakṣaṇam. No purpose is served by the luk of $\bar{a}p$.

उच्यमानेऽप्येतस्मिन् खाद्यत्पत्तिने प्राप्नोति

Even though it is enjoined here, sup will not appear here,

किं कारणम् Why?

एकत्वादीनामभावात् । एकत्वादिष्वर्थेषु स्वादयो विधीयन्ते, न चैषामेकत्वादयः सन्ति ।

On account of the the absence of $\bar{e}katva$ etc. $Sv\bar{a}dis$ are enjoined when $\bar{e}katva$ etc. have to be denoted and $\bar{e}katva$ etc. have no chance to be associated with avyaya.

अविशेषणोत्पद्यन्ते, उत्पन्नानां नियमः क्रियते

All the sups are enjoined together and then one of them is restricted.

अथ वा प्रकृतानथानिषेक्ष्य नियमः क्रियते

Or niyama is enjoined with reference to the objects on hand.

के च प्रकृताः ? What are the objects on hand?

एकत्वादयः । एकिस्मिन्नेवैकवचनं न द्वयोर्ने बहुषु । द्वयोरेव द्विवचनं नैकासिन्न बहुषु । बहुप्वेव बहुवचनं नैकस्मिन् न द्वयोरिति ।

Ekatva etc. Ekaracana is used only to denote one and not two nor many; dviracana is used only to denote two and not one nor many; and bahuvacana is used to denote many and not one nor two.

अथ वा आचायपवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित उत्पद्यन्तेऽज्ययेभ्यः स्वादय इति, यदयम् अन्ययादाप्सुप इति छुकं शास्ति ।

Or the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that su etc. have a chance to appear ater avyaya since he enjoins lik of sup in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Avyay\bar{a}d\bar{a}p$ supah.

नाच्ययीभावादतोऽस्त्वपश्चम्याः (२, ४, ८३)

नाच्ययभावाद्त इति योगव्यसानम्

Nāvyayībhāvād ataḥ should be taken as a separate sūtra.

नाव्ययीभावादतः इति योगः व्यवसेयः ; नाव्ययीभावाद् अकारान्तात् सुपे। छुग् भवति ।

The $s\bar{u}tra$ should terminate in atah, so that the first $s\bar{u}tra$ is $N\bar{a}vyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}d$ atah, and it means that there is no luk to the sup which follows an $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ ending in $ak\bar{a}ra$.

ततः अस्य म्बन्याः इति

Amtvapañcamyāḥ should then be taken separately.

किमर्थो योगविभागः ! What for is the sūtra-split?

पञ्चम्या अम्प्रतिषेधार्थम् 1 To prohibit am replacing pañcamī.

पञ्चम्या अमः प्रतिषेधो यथा स्यात

To prevent am from replacing pañcamī.

एकयोगे ह्यभयोः प्रतिपेधः

For there will be pratisedha to both if it is taken as one sūtra.

एकयोगे द्युमयोः प्रतिषेधः स्यात्, अमोऽअलुकश्च

For, if it is one sūtra, both am and aluk will be prohibited.

स तर्हि योगविभागः कर्तव्यः

The sūtra has then to be split into two.

न क्तेन्यः No, it need not be split.

तुर्नियामकः The particle tu serves as niyāmaká.

तुः क्रियते, स नियामको भविष्यति, अमेवऽपञ्चम्याः

The particle tu is read and it serves as $niy\bar{a}maka$ that am alone refers to $apa\bar{n}cam\bar{i}$.

अमि पञ्जमीप्रतिषेथेऽपादानग्रहणम्

If am is prohibited to pañcamī, there is need to read apādāna as the višēṣaṇa of pañcamī.

1. Pratistdhatah is another reading.

ĀHNĪKA TWENTYEIGHT—TŖTĪYASAPTAMYŌR BAHULAM 209

अमि पञ्चमीप्रतिषेधेऽपादानग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - अपादानपञ्चम्या इति वक्तव्यम् If am is prohibited to $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$, $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ should be read as the viśēṣaṇa thus – apādānapañcamyāḥ.

किं प्रयोजनम ? Why?

कर्मप्रवचनिययुक्तेऽप्रतिषेधार्थम्

To avoid the pratisēdha to the pañcamī associated with karmapravacanīya-pralyaya.

कर्मश्रवचनीययुक्ते मा भूत् - आपाटिलपुत्रं वृष्टो देवः

To avoid the pratisēdha to that which is associated with karma pravacanīya. Viz. Ā-pāṭaliputram vṛṣṭō dēvaḥ.

न वोत्तरपदस्य कर्मप्रवचनीययोगात्समासात्पश्चम्यभावः

No, it need not be read. Only the second member of the compound is associated with karmapravacaniya and not the compound and hence there is no chance for pañcamī.

न वा वक्तव्यम् It need not be read.

किं कारणम्? Why?

उत्तरपद्मत्र कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्तम् । उत्तरपदस्य कर्मप्रवचनीययोगात् समासात् पञ्चनी न भविष्यति । यदा च समासः कर्मनवचनीययुक्तो, भवति तदा पञ्चमीप्रतिषेधः तद्यथा आ उपकुम्भात् , आ उपमाणिकाद् इति

The second member of the compound is here associated with karmapravacanīya. Since the uttarapada has yōga with karmapravacanīya, there is no chance for pañcamī after the samāsa. If the samāsa is, on the other hand, associated with karma. pravacanīya, there will be $pratisar{e}dha$ for $paar{n}camar{\imath}$. Viz. $ar{A}$ upakumbhāt and ā upamaņikāt.

तृतीयासप्तम्योर्वहुलम् (२, ४, ८४)

सप्तम्या ऋद्धिनदीसमाससङ्ख्यावयवेभ्यो नित्यम्

Replacing of saptamī by am is nitya with reference to rddhi, nadīsamāsa and sankhyāvayava.

सप्तम्या ऋदिनदीसमाससङ्ख्यावयवेभ्यो नित्यमिति चक्तव्यम् - ऋदि - सुमद्रं, सुमगधम्; नदीसमास - उन्मत्तगङ्गं, लोहितगङ्गम्; सङ्ख्यावयव - एकविंशतिभारद्वाजं, त्रिपञ्चाशद्गौतमम् ।

It must be stated that the replacing of saptamī by am is nitya with reference to rddhi. nadīsamāsa and sankhyāvayava. Viz. Rddhi — Sumadram, sumagadham; nadī-samasa - unmattagangam, lōhitagangam; sankhyāvayava - Ekavini satibhāradvājam tripancāsadgāutamam.

छटः प्रथमस्य डारोरसः (2, 4, 85)

There are two topics dealt with here:— (1) Is it necessary to state that $d\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$, and ras replace ta, $\bar{a}t\bar{a}m$, jha in lut by $p\bar{u}rvavipratis\bar{e}dha$ or by reading $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pad\bar{a}n\bar{a}\bar{n}$ ca? (2) Is it necessary for sitkarana in $d\bar{a}vik\bar{a}ra$?

T

टितां टेरोनिधेर्छटो डारौरसः पूर्वविप्रतिपिद्धम्

Need to state that dā, rāu and ras replace tē, itē, antē secured by the sūtra Ţita ātmanēpadānām ṭērē (3, 4, 79) by pūrvavipratiṣēdha.

टितां टेरोविधेर्छेटो डारोरसो भवन्ति पूर्वविश्वतिषेधेन । टेरेत्वस्यावकाशः -पचते पचेते पचन्ते । डारोरसामवकाशः, श्वः कर्ता, श्वः कर्तारो, श्वः कर्तारः । इहोभयं प्रामोति - श्वोऽध्येता, श्वोऽध्येतारो, श्वोऽध्येतारः इति - डारोरसो भवन्ति पूर्वविमितिषेधेने ।

Dārāurasāh operates in preference to Tita ātmanēpadānām ļērē (3, 4, 79) by pūrvavipratiṣēdha. The sūtra Tita ... ļērē operates with reference to pacatē, pacētē and pacantē, Dārāurasah operates with reference to kartā (śvaḥ), kartārāu (śvaḥ) and kartāraḥ (śvaḥ). Here in adhyētā (śvaḥ), adhyētārāu (śvaḥ) and udhyētāraḥ (śvaḥ), both have room to operate and arāurasaḥ operates by pūrvavipratiṣēdha.

स तर्हि पूर्वविधानिषेषा वक्तत्र्यः

Pūrvavipratisidha is, then, to be enjoined.

न वक्तव्यः No, it need not be enjoined.

आत्मनेपदानां चेति वचनात्सिद्धम्

It is accomplished by reading Atmanepadanañ ca.

आत्मनेपदानां च डारौरसो भवन्तीति. वक्तव्यम्

It must be read that $P\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras replace $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pada$ terminations also.

तच समसङ्ख्यार्थम्

It is for the sake of the same number in sthānin and in ādēśa,

तचावश्यमात्मनेपद्रमहणं कर्तव्यं समसङ्ख्यार्थम्, सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो यथा स्यात् । अक्रियमाणे ह्यात्मनेपद्रग्रहणे षट् स्थानिनः त्रय आदेशाः । वैषम्यात् सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो न प्राप्नोति ।

Atmanēpadānāñ ca must necessarily be read for the sake of having the same number both in sthanin and in adēśa, so that one may replace another respectively. $pad\bar{a}n\bar{a}\tilde{n}$ ca is not read, there will be six $sth\bar{a}nins$ and there Since they are not of the same number, it is not possible for $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}as$ to replace $sth\bar{a}nins$ in the order in which they are read.

पूर्वविप्रतिषेधार्थेन तावन्नार्थ आत्मनेपद्रमहणेन

It is not necessary that $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pad\bar{a}n\bar{a}\tilde{n}$ ca should be read to serve the purpose of pūrvavipratiṣēdha.

इद्मिह सम्प्रधायम् - डारोरसः कियन्तां टेरतेविमिति

This is here to be determined whether $d\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras appear first or tē. itē and antē.

किमल कर्तेव्यम् ? Which should be given precedence? परत्वादेत्वम् Etv m, being p ara, is to be given precedence.

नित्या डारोरसः । कृतेप्येत्वे प्राप्नुवन्त्यकृतेऽपि प्राप्नुवन्ति $D\bar{a}$, $t\bar{a}u$ and tas are nitya and they can appear both before ētva appears and after it.

देरेत्वमिष नित्यं, कृनेप्विष डारौरम्सु प्राम्नोति अकृतेप्विष प्राम्नोति । Etva to ți also is nitya; for it can appear both before ḍā, rāu and ras appear and after them.

अनित्यमेत्वम् । अन्यस्य कृतेषु डारारस्यु प्रामाति, अन्यस्य अकृतेषु प्रामाति । शब्दान्तरस्य प्रामानिन्विधरनित्यो भवति ।

Etva is anitya since it has a chance to appear in one place where $d\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras have appeared and in another place where they have not. The vidhi which operates in one place and which does not operate in another place is anitya.

डरीरसोऽप्यनित्याः, अन्यस्य कृते एत्वे प्राप्नुवन्ति अन्यस्य अकृते । शब्दान्तरस्य च प्राप्नुवन्तोऽनित्या भवन्ति ।

 $P\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras too are anitya, since they appear both where $\bar{\epsilon}tva$ has already set in and where $\bar{\epsilon}tva$ has not set in. The vidhis which operate in one place and which do not operate in another are anitya.

उभयोरनित्ययोः परत्वादेत्वम् । एत्वे कृते पुनःशसङ्गविज्ञानाद् डाराैरसो मविष्यन्ति ।

Since both are anitya, ēlva, being para, operates. After ēlva has appeared, dā, rāu and ras appear through punaliprasangaijāāna.

समसङ्ख्यार्थेन चापि नार्थ आत्मनेपदग्रहणेन । स्थानेऽन्तरतमेन व्यवस्था भविष्यति । There is no need to read Atmanēpadānāñ ca for the sake of samasankhyā. The correct ādēša is decided on the dictum Sthānēsntaratamah.

कुतः आन्तर्यम् १

From which aspect is similarity to be reckoned?

अर्थतः । एकार्थस्यकार्थः, व्यर्थस्य व्यर्थः, वहर्वस्य वहर्थः

Through meaning. Singular replaces the singular, dual, the dual and plural, the plural.

अथ वा आदेशा अपि पटेव निर्दिश्यने Or the ādēsas too read here are six. कथम् ? How?

एक शेषनिर्देशात् । एक शेषनिर्देशोऽयम्

Since it is taken to be $\bar{e}ka\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}a$. This is read in $\bar{e}ka\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ form.

अथैतासिन्नेकशेषानिर्देशे सित किमयं कृतैकशेषाणां द्वन्द्वो डा च डा च डा, रों चरों चरों, रश्च रश्च रः, डा च रों च रश्च डारौरस इति, आहोस्वित् कृतद्वन्द्वानामेकशेषः, डा च रौ च रश्च डारौरसः, डारौरसश्च डारौरसश्च डारौरस इति ? Is $d\bar{a}r\bar{a}urasah$ the dvandva of $\bar{e}kas$ $\bar{e}sa$ formed thus:- $d\bar{a}$ ca $d\bar{a}$ ca dā, rāu ca rāu ca rāu, raś ca raś ca rah, dā ca rāu ca raś ca $dar{a}rar{a}urasah$ or the $ar{e}kasar{e}sa$ of the dvandva formed thus- $dar{a}$ ca rāu ca ras ca dārāurasah, dārāurasas ca dārāurasas ca dāraurasah?

किं चात: ? What is the difference if it is either ?

यदि कृतैकशेषाणां द्वन्द्वः, अनिष्टः समसङ्ख्यः प्राप्ताति । एकवचनद्विवचनयोर्डा प्राप्तोति, बहुवचनैकवचनयो रे। प्राप्तोति, द्विवचनबहुव्चनयोश्च रस् प्राप्तोति । कृतद्वन्द्वानामेकशेषः, न दोषो भवति ।

If dvandva follows ēkaśēṣa, there is chance for undesirable samasa $\dot{n}khyar{a}$ thus :- $dar{a}$ will replace singular and dual termination, rāu plural and singular termination and ras, dual and plural termination. If ēkaśēṣa follows dvandva, there will be no defect.

यथा न दोषस्तथास्त

Let it be taken in the way which is unquestionable.

किं पुनरत्र ज्यायः ? Which is better here?

उभयमित्याह । उभयं हि दृश्यते, बहु शक्तिकिटकं, बहूनि शक्तिकिटकानि; वहु स्थार्रीपिठरं, वहूनि स्थार्रीपिठराणि !

Both, says he. For both are current :- Baku śaktikitakam and bahūni šaktikitakāni; bahu sthālīpitharam and bahūni sthālīpitharāni.

अथवा आन्तर्यतो व्यवस्था भविष्यति । आन्तर्याद् एकार्थस्यैकार्थः, द्यर्थस्य द्यर्थः, वहर्थस्य वहर्थ इति ।

Or the decision can be made through their similarity — singular in place of singular, dual in place of dual and plural in place of plural.

डारीरसः कृते टेरे यथा द्वित्वं प्रसारणे । समसङ्ख्येन नार्थोऽस्ति सिद्धं स्थानेऽर्थतोऽन्तरः ॥ आन्तर्थतो व्यवस्था त्रय एवेमे भवन्तु सर्वेपाम् । टेरेत्वं च परत्वारकृतेऽपि तस्मिन्निमे सन्तु ॥

The above two $\$l\bar{o}kav\bar{a}rttikas$ contain the ideas already dealtwith and perhaps belong to another $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$.

ΤI

डाविकारस्य शित्करणं सर्वादेशार्थम्

Need to read the ādēśa dā as dāś for sarvādēśa.

डाविकारः शित् कर्तव्यः

The $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ $d\bar{a}$ should be read with \hat{s} as it.

किं पयोजनम्? Why?

सर्वादेशार्थम् - शित्सर्वेस्य इति सर्वादेशो यथा स्यात् । अक्रियमाणे हि शकारे अलोन्त्यस्य विधयो भवन्तीति अन्त्यस्य प्रसज्येत ।

For the sake of sarvadēša. So that it may replace the whole by "... šit sarvasya" (1, 1, 55). Otherwise, it will replace only the final letter by Alontyasya.

निघातप्रसङ्गस्तु There is chance for nighāta too.

निपातस्तु प्रामोति । श्वः कर्ता, तासेः परं लसार्वधातुकम् अनुदात्तं भवती-त्येप स्वरः प्रामोति ।

It may take anudātta-svara. Ā in kartā of svah kartā will become anudātta by Tasyānudāttēt ... lasārvadhātukam anudāttam ... (6, 1, 186).

यचावदुच्यते टाविकारस्य शित्करणं सर्वादेशार्थमिति Firstly with reference to the statement Pāvikārasya sitkaraņam earvādītortham.

सिद्धमलोऽन्त्यविकारात

The object is achieved even if the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}a}$ replaces the antya.

सिद्धमेतृत् This is accomplished.

कथम्? How?

अलोऽन्त्यविकारात् । अस्त्वयमलोऽन्त्यस्य

By the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ replacing the final letter. Let the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ replace the final letter.

का रूपसिद्धिः ? What is the form secured?

दर्ता Kartā.

िंदित टेलींपाह्लोपः Ta may be elided since ți elides before dit.

डिति टेर्लोपेन लोपो भविष्यति

 $Lar{o}pa$ of ta may take place on account of the elision of tibefore dit.

अभन्वान प्राप्नोति

The sūtra Țēḥ (6, 4, 143) does not operate since the stem is not bha.

डित्करणसामध्यीद् भविष्यति

It (the $l\bar{o}pa$ of $\dot{t}i$) takes place on account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ of ditkarana.

अनिन्वाद्वा Or on account of its not getting the designation it.

अथ वा अनित्राद् एतत् सिद्धम्

Or this is accomplished on account of its not getting the designation it.

किमिद्मनित्त्वादिति ? What is conveyed by anitvat?

अन्त्यस्य अयं स्थाने भवन्न प्रत्ययः स्यात्; असत्यां प्रत्ययसंज्ञायामित्संज्ञा न; असत्यामित्संज्ञायां लोपो न, असति लोपे, अनेकाल्; यदा अनेकाल् तदा सर्वादेशः; यदा सर्वादेशः, तदा प्रत्ययः; यदा प्रत्ययः, तदा इत्संज्ञा यदा इत्संज्ञा; तदा लोपः ।

That which replaces the final of a pratyaya cannot itself be a pratyaya; if it is not a pratyaya, there is no chance for it-sanij $n\bar{a}$; in the absence of it-sanij $n\bar{a}$, there is no elision; it is $an\bar{e}k\bar{a}l$, if there is no elision; there is $sarv\bar{a}d\bar{e}s$ on its being $an\bar{e}k\bar{a}l$; it gets the designation pratyaya, when there is $sarv\bar{a}d\bar{e}s$; it gets $itsanij\bar{n}\bar{a}$, as soon as it gets the designation pratyaya; there is $l\bar{o}pa$ on its getting $itsanij\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

प्रश्निदिशाद्वा Or by taking it to be praślista-nirdeśa.

अथ वा प्रसिष्टिनिर्देशोऽयम्, डा आ डा । सः अनकोल् शित्सर्वस्य इति सर्वादेशो भविष्यति । यदा तर्हि अयमन्त्यस्य स्थाने भगति तदा तिङ्गारुणेन प्रहणं न प्रामोति ।

Or this is praśliṣṭanirdēśa (i.e.) $d\bar{a}$ stands for $d\bar{a}$ \bar{a} . It serves as $sarv\bar{a}d\bar{e}śa$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $An\bar{e}k\bar{a}l$ $\acute{s}it$ sarvasya. If it appears, on the other hand, in place of antya, it cannot be called $ti\dot{u}$.

तिङ्ग्रहणमेकदेशविक्रतस्थानन्यत्वात्

It gets the designation tin on the dictum Ekadēsavikrtam ananyavad bhavati.

एकदेशिवकृतमनन्यवद्भवतीति तिङ्गाहणेन महणं भिवष्यति । It gets the designation tin on the dietum Ekadēšavikṛtam ananyavad bhavati.

खरः कथम् ? How is the proper svara secured ?

खरे विप्रतिषेधात् सिद्धम्

The proper svara is secured through vipratisidha.

इदिमिह सम्प्रधार्यम् - टारीरसः क्रियन्ताम्, अनुदात्तत्वम् इति This has to be decided whether the adisas da, rau and ras precede the anudattatra of tip or succeed.

किंगन कर्नन्यम् ? What is to be done here?

पानवादनुराधालम्, Anudattatea, being para, should precede it.

ĀHNIKA TWENTYEIGHT—LUŢAḤ PRATHAMASYA PĀRĀU ... 217

नित्या डारौरसः, कृतेऽप्यनुदात्तत्वे प्राप्नुवन्त्यकृतेऽपि प्राप्नुवन्ति $Dar{a}$, $rar{a}u$ and ras are nitya, since they appear whether anudāttatva has set in or no.

अनुदात्तत्वमपि नित्यं, कृतेष्वपि डारौरस्सु प्राप्तोति, अकृतेष्वपि प्राप्तोति । Anudāttatva, too, is nitya, since it chances to appear both before $d\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras set in and after they have set in.

अनित्यमनुदात्तत्वम् ; अन्यस्य कृतेषु डारौरस्सु प्राप्नोति, अन्यस्याकृतेषु ; शब्दान्तरस्य च प्रामुत्रन्विधरनित्यो भवति ।

Anudattatva is anitya. It has a chance to operate both when $d\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras make their appearance in others or not. dietum is Śabdāntarasya ca prāpnuvan vidhir anityō bhavati.

डारीरसोऽप्यनित्याः; अन्यथास्वरस्य कृतेऽनुदात्तत्वे प्रामुवन्ति, अन्यथा स्वरस्य अकृते । स्वरभिन्नस्य च प्राप्नुवन्तोऽनित्या भवन्ति ।

 $D\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras too are anityas. They operate both when anudāttatva replaces one svara and it does not do so. Those that operate with reference to different svaras are anityas.

उभयोरप्यनित्ययोः परत्वादनुदात्तत्वम् । अनुदात्तत्वे कृते पुनःप्रसङ्गविज्ञाना-

Both being anitya, anudāttatva sets in, being para. ड़ारेरासः । anudātta appears, dā, rāu and ras make their appearance by punaḥ-prasaṅga-vijñāna.

टिलोपे उदाचनिवृत्तिस्वरेण सिद्धम्

At the elision of the final syllable, it is achieved by udattanivrttisvara.

न सिध्यति It is not achieved.

, किं कारणम् ! Why?

अन्तरङ्गत्वाङ्चारौरसः

Pā, rāu and ras operate first, they being antaraiga. तत्रान्तरङ्गत्वाड्डारोरस्तु कृनेप्वनुदात्तत्वं कियतां टिलोप इति ।

After $D\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}u$ and ras operate being antaranga, which appears earlier, anudattatva or $til\bar{a}pa$?

किमन कर्तव्यम् ? What is to be done here?

परत्वाहिलोपेन भवितव्यम् $Til\bar{o}pa$ is to precede, since it is para.

एवं तर्हि स्वरविपतिषेधात्सिद्धम् । न्याय्य एवायं स्वरे विप्रतिषेधः

If so, the object is achieved by srara-vipratiṣēdha. It is but legitimate to have vipratiṣēdha with reference to svara.

इदमिह सम्प्रधार्थम् - अनुदात्तत्वं कियताम्, उदात्तिवृत्तित्वर इति
This has to be decided whether anudāltatva is to precede
udāļtanivṛttisvara.

किमन्न कर्तव्यम्? What is to be done here?

परत्वादनुदात्तत्वम्

Anudattatva should precede, it being para.

अनुदात्तत्वे कृते पुनःप्रसङ्गविज्ञानाद् उदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरो भविष्यति । After Anudāttatva operates, udāttanivṛttisvara may set in by punahprasangavijñāna.

तदेतत् क सिद्धं भवति ! Where will this be achieved ? यत् पिद्वचनम् Where it is read pit.

यद्पिद्वचनं तत्र न सिध्यति ?

Is it not achieved where it is not read pit?

तत्रापि सिद्धम् It is achieved there too.

कथम्! How?

इदमच लसार्वधातुकानुदात्तत्वं प्रत्ययस्वरस्यापवादः । न चापवादिविषये उत्सर्गोऽभिनिविशते । पूर्वं द्यपवादा अभिनिविशन्ते पश्चादुत्सर्गाः, प्रकल्प्य वापवाद-विषयं तत उत्सर्गोऽभिनिविशते ।

The sūtra Tāsyanudāttēn ... lasārvadhātukam anudāttam anhvināḥ (6, 1, 186) enjoining anudāttatva to dā etc. is the apavāda to the sūtra Ādyudāttas ca (3, 1, 3) enjoining anudāttatva to the pratyaya. Utsarga does not operate where the apavāda is to operate. First do apavādas operate and then only do utsargas. Or utsarga operates after reserving room for apavāda.

तन्न तावदत्र कदाचित् प्रत्ययस्वरो भवति अपवादं स्रसार्वधातुकानुदात्तत्वं प्रतीक्षते । तत्रानुदात्तत्वं कियतां लोप इति, यद्यपि परत्वाल्लोपः, सोऽसावविद्यमानो-दात्तत्वे अनुदात्ते उदात्तो छुप्यते ।

Protyayasvara does never set in and expects the apavāda lasārvadhātukānudāttatva to operate. The question arises whether anudattatva is to operate or lopa, Even though there is chance for lopa, it being para, the udatta elides giving room to anudattaiva secured by the absence of udattaiva.

प्रत्ययस्वरापवादो लसार्वधातुकानुदात्तत्वम् । तेन तत्र न प्रसक्तः प्रत्ययस्वरः कदाचित् ॥ प्रत्ययस्वरश्च तासेर्वृत्तिसंनियोगशिष्टः । तेन चाप्यसावुदाचो लोप्स्यते तथा न दोषः ॥

The above are the two ślokavārttikas containing the ideas mentioned above.

Ahnika Twentyeight ends.

(Second $adhyar{a}ya$, fourth $par{a}da$, second Ahnika ends) Volume VI ends.



CORRIGENDA

		CORRIGENDA	n . 1
Page	Line	For	Read avyaya•
32 49 129 168 177	27,30 24 30 27 24	anya- pradhana manses सिद्धम् यथ चाक्षिटः bhārvikā	pradhāna menses सिद्धम् यथा चक्षिटः bhārgavikā आचार्य-
177 178 193 207	19,22 23 25		

i. INDEX OF SUTRAS

(Number refers to page)

अकेनो ... 139 अज़े: ... 180 अदो जिंग्धः ... 173 अधीगर्थ ... 126 अन्ररान्तरेण युक्ते 75 अनभिहिते 58 अनेकमन्यपदार्थे 1 अपथं ... 161 अपवर्गे ... 79 अपादाने ... 101 अर्द्धर्चाः ... I62 अल्पाच्तरम् 50 अव्ययाद् ... 206 आगस्त्य ... 198 आम: 202 आईधातुके 169 इणो गा लुङि 175 इत्थंभूतलक्षणे 97 इदमः ... 163 उपकादिभ्यः ... 197 उपसर्जनं ... 49 उभयप्राप्ती ... 134 पतद्स्र ... 166 क्तस्य च 134 कडाराः ... 57 (ंकर्तृकर्मणोः ... 130 कर्त्वकरणयोस्तृतीया 93 कर्मप्रवचनिययुक्ते 80 कर्मणि द्वितीया 78 कालाध्वनोः ... 77 कृत्यानां ... 189

् गत्यर्थकर्मीण ... 85 गाङ्ख्रिटि 175 गातिस्था ... 200 चक्षिङ: ... 178 चतुर्ध्यर्थे ... 129 चतुर्थी सम्प्रदाने 87 🕠 चार्थे द्वन्द्वः 32 ण्यक्षत्रियः ... 183 णो गमि ... 175 तत्पुरुपोऽनञ् 154 तत्र तेनेदमिति ... 31 तद्वाजस्य 185 तनादिभ्यः ... 201 तृतीया च हो ... 74 तृतीयासप्तम्योः ... तेन सहित ... 28 -द्विगुरेकवचनम् 142 द्वितीया 128 द्वितीयादौस्खेन: 167 दिङ्नामानि ... 28 दूरान्तिक ... 106 नक्षत्रे च लुपि 112 न गोप ... 197 नमः खस्ति ... 90 न लोक ... 136 नाव्ययीभावाद् 207 निष्ठा 54 प्रसित 111 प्रातिपदिकार्थ ... 113 ब्रेप्य ... 128 पञ्चमी विभक्ते 110

परविश्वज्ञम् 156 पृथग्विना ... 104 बह्वचः ... 196 मन्यकर्माणे ... 91 यङोऽचि च 199 यज्ञोश्च 195 यसाद्धिकं ... 81 यस्य च भावेन 109 येनाङ्गविकारः 97 रात ... 161 रुजर्थानां 128 लुङ् सनोः ... 174 लुटः प्रथमस्य विभाषा समीपे 153
पण्ड्यतसर्थ: 103
पष्टी देशे 121
सङ्ख्यया ... 24
सनि च 175
सप्तम्यधिकरणे 107
सप्तमीपश्चम्यौ ... 80
सप्तमी विदेशिणे ... 53
संज्ञाऽन्यतरस्यां ... 98
सहयुक्ते 95
साधुनियुण ... 111
हेतौ 100

ii. INDEX OF VĀRTTIKAS

अकस्य 139 अकाकारयोः ... ¹³⁴ अकारकार्हाणां ... 108 अकारान्तोत्तर \dots 162अङ्गाद्विकृतात् ⁹⁷ अज्वरि ... 128 अणिजो: ... ¹⁸³ अत्यन्तसंयोगे ... 77 अतन्त्रे ... 51 अद्वन्द्व इति ... ¹⁹⁷ . अध्वन्यर्थ ... 85 अध्वनश्च ... 87 अध्वनः प्रथमा च 102 अधिकरणे च 101 अधिकारे हि \dots 104 अन्तरान्तरेण ... 76 अन्न ... 180 अन्यत्रापि ··· 43

अन्यवचनात् ¹⁰⁶ अन्यवाचकेन \dots 41 अन्वादेशश्च 163 अन्वादेशे \cdots 163अनधिकारात् 104 अनभिहितवचनं ... ⁵⁸ अनभिहित ... अनर्थकम् ⁷¹ अनभिहितस्तु 61अनभिहिते हि ... ⁶⁹ अनित्वाहा अनुवाकादयः ... ¹⁶⁷ अनेकप्राप्तौ \cdots 52अनेकवचनं \cdots 4 अनो नलोपश्च 162 अप्रत्यादिभिः 111 अव्राह्मण ... 184 अवद्युत्वे ... 185 अभ्यहिंतं 52

INDEX OF VÄRTTIKAS

घञपोः ... 180 घस्लभावे ... 174 चक्षिङ: 178 चतुर्थी ... 87 चार्थे ... 35 चेप्रायां ... 86 ज्ञापकं वा ... 176 जग्ध्यादिषु ... 169 दितां देरे ... डाविकारस्य \cdots डिति देः ... णत्वं ... 179 तच समसङ्ख्यार्थम् तत्र प्रथमाविधिः 123 तत्र पुंचद्भाव ... 36 तत्रानुप्रयोगस्य ... 143 तत्रोत्सर्ग ... 169 तथा च निघात ... तथा चोत्तरस्य ... 15 तथा सोरात्मनेपद ... 20। तद्यकात् ... 102 तद्विपर्यासे च 108 तिद्विषयं च42तयोरनेकार्थत्वाद् \dots 40 तस्माच्छित्करणम् 165 तस्य कर्मकर्वर्थं 131 तिङ्कृत् ... 67 तिङ्कृताभावस्तु ²⁰⁴ तिङ्ग्रहणं तिङ्समानाधिकरणे ... 118 तुर्नियामकः 208 ततीयाविधाने · · 93 तृतीयासप्तम्यन्तेषु ... 31 द्वन्द्वे ध्यजा ... 56

द्वन्द्वेऽबहुषु ... 187, 188 द्वयोः क्रिययोः 68 द्वितीयाविधाने ... ⁷³ द्विषः ... 138 दर्शनं वै हेतुः 42 दिक्समाससहयोग ... 28 दूरान्तिकार्थेभ्यः ... 106 **देवदत्तं ...** 163 धर्मादिषूभयम् ⁵³ नञा तु समास 205नञोऽस्त्यर्थानां च $14\,$ **न** वा तत्रापि ... 107 **न** चान्त्यस्य 165 न वान्यतरेण ... 69 न वान्यस्यं ... 144न वानभिधानात् \cdots 14 न वानभिहितत्वात् 6 न वानिष्टदर्शनात् 49 न वा प्रतिषेधः ... 55 न वाव्ययत्वात 17 न वा वाक्यार्थत्वात् 117 न वा सर्वेषां ... ¹⁹⁰ न वा सुजन्तत्वात् 26 न वेत्थंभूतस्य ... 98 न वैकविभक्तित्वात् 4 न वेंकशेष ··· 31 न वोत्तरपदस्य ... ⁵⁵ न वोत्तरपदस्य कर्म ... नाव्ययीभावात् ... 207 नेघातप्रसङ्गस्तु निपातनात् .. 158 निमित्तकारण ... 100 निमित्तात् … 108 निष्ठाया ··· 54

यजादीनां ... 195 प्रत्यधिकरणं ... 142 यतश्चाध्व ... 101 प्रत्ययान्त्रनिपातने ... 198 यस्य च ... 82 प्रत्ययावधारणात् 122 प्रतिपेधे ... 55 योगविभागात् 129, 199 प्रथमानुपपत्तिस्तु 82, 84 रुविधि: ... 179 प्रयोजनं 176 लकारस्य छत्यात् .. 204 प्रयोजनं सौप्रख्ये 179 लघ्यक्षरं .. **52** प्रश्नाख्यानयोश्च 101 लटः शत्शानचौ 176 प्रश्रिष्ट ... लुक्प्रतिपेधे .. 198 प्रहरण ..°56 **लुगांद्**श .. 204 प्रातिपदिकार्थ ... 117 वर्जने .. 180 प्रादिभ्यो ... I4 वर्णानाम् .. 53 वा प्रियस्य 54 प्राप्तादिषु .. 160 पञ्चम्याः .. 208 चाचन्तः 162 पञ्चमीविधाने [0] विश्रहे च .. 38 पथ: ... 161 विप्रतिपिद्धेषु .. 36 पदार्थाभिधाने ... 6 विभक्त्यर्थ ... 8 परवाल्लंङ ... 157 श्रेवहुजकश्च 61 परविञ्जङ्गिमिति शब्दशब्दार्थी 158 शतुशानयोश्च ... 119 पुण्य ... 161 शब्दपौर्वापर्य ... 37 पूर्वदीर्घार्थं च 31 शानंश्च ... 138 पूर्वपदस्य च 157 शित्करणं ... 164 पृथगादिषु 104 पष्ट्यन्तयोः ... 49 पौर्वापर्य 170 पष्ट्यर्थे ... 129 वहुलं तिण 180 पष्टीप्रसङ्ख्य 127 वहुवीहिः .. 13 पष्टी शेष इति 123 बहुवीही 53 खर: कृद्दन्त ... **20**5 बहुवचन ... 163 खरे विप्रतिपेधात् .. **भ्रातुश्च ..** 53 खवचनात्त ... 83 भव्यादीनां ... 139 खस्तियोगे ... 90 भावलक्षणे .. 109 सङ्ख्यायाः ... 53 मत्वर्थे .. 28, 31, 32 सङ्ख्यासमासे 25 मन्यकर्मणि ... 92 सप्तम्या ऋद्धि … मेश्च ... 177 सप्तम्याः पूर्वनिपाते ... 54

INDEX OF VĀRTTIKAS AND PARIBHĀSĀS Etc.

सतस्युपमान ... 13 सिद्धं तु प्रत्यय ... 187 सत्तमीविधाने 107 सिद्धं त भाव 109 समयानिकपा ... 73 सिद्धं तु सार्वधातुके 171 सिद्धमलोऽन्त्यः ... समासाद 157 समाहार ... 144 सिद्धं त्वधिकान्त ... 47 सिद्धं त्वसंप्राप्त ... 87 समुदाय ... 13 समुदायात ... 39 सिद्धं त यथा \dots gसस्थानत्वं ... 180 सिद्धं तु युगपद ... 35 सहयुक्ते ... 96 सुवधिकारे ... 17 संज: ... 98 सुवन्तात् ... 204 साध्वसाधु 107 हविपः ... 128 सामान्य ... 169, 170 हितयोगे च 90 सिद्धं तु हिगु ... 143

iii. INDEX OF PARIBHĀSĀS etc.

अन्तरङ्गानिप विधीन वहिरङ्गो ल्यव वाधते 173
अन्तरेणापि चितमितिदेशो गम्यते 137
अनिर्ज्ञातेऽर्थे वहुवचनं प्रयोक्तव्यम् 24
इतरेतराश्रयाणि च कार्याणि न प्रकल्पन्ते 88
उपपद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिर्वलीयसी 77, 85, 96
न केवला प्रकृतिः प्रयोक्तव्या न च कवल प्रत्ययः 68
न च अपचाद्विपये उत्सर्गोऽभि- विविशते 119

नियतविषयाः शब्दा हश्यन्ते 43

अग्रौकरवाणिन्यायः 19

यथालक्षणमत्रयुक्ते 169
लक्षणप्रतिपद्गक्तयोः प्रतिपद्गक्तस्यैव
प्रहणम् 7
वर्णाश्यये नास्ति प्रत्ययलक्षणम् 189
सत्ताऽप्यविचक्षा भवति 122, 125
सन्देहनिवस्यर्थे विशेपार्थिना विशेपोऽ
नुप्रयोक्तव्यः 8
सिन्निपातलक्षणो विधिरानामित्तं तद्धिवातस्य 204
समानदेशैरपवादेश्त्सर्गाणां वाधनं
भवति 61
समुदाये वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिः 114
शब्दा अवयवेष्वपि वर्तन्ते 23
सामान्याभिधाने हि विशेपानाभिधानम्

मण्डकाप्लनयोऽधिकाराः 106, 168

iv. INDEX OF IMPORTANT SANSKRIT WORDS

आचार्यप्रवित्तः ३, ८८, ८९, ११, ११६, २०७ अग्निहोत्रशब्दः 75 आचार्याः 185 अय्रोकरणानिन्यायः 19 अतन्त्रम् *50*, *51* आस्नाती 107 - अतिप्रसङ्घः ३० आश्रयतः 9. 33 अहप्रापचार: 76, 81, 201 इतरेतरयोगः 46 अधीती 107 इन्द्र: 35 अधीयानानाम् ३३ इधिनः 182 अन्तरालम् 29 ईहमानानाम् 33 अन्यवाचकेत 41 **उत्पातेन** 89 अन्वर्थग्रहणम् 143 उद्धतोदना *16* अन्वाचयः 46 उद्ग**्यकः** 129 अन्वादेशे 168 उन्माद्कः *129* अनभिधानात् *15* उपचारः 8, 9अनभिहितम् 58 उपद्शम् 154 अनभिहितत्वात् ७ उपद्या: 27, 28 अनर्थान्तरम 58 उपदेशिवद्वचनम् 178 अनवकाशा 71 उभयपदार्थप्रधानः 156 अनुप्रयोगः 6, 7 उभयवचनाः 65अनुवाकः 79 उपसर्जनम् 4, 49 अपशब्द: 182 उपद्यतपशु: 16 अपुत्र: 14 ऊदरथः 16 अभिशक्तः 129 एकत्वादय: 61, 73 अभिद्दितत्वात् 🛭 एकदेशिसमासः 160 अभिहितार्थत्वात् २६ एकविभक्तियोगः 5 अर्थघमें। *5*3 एकविंशतिः *1*8 अर्थसतस्त्रम् 194 एकसंद्याधिकारे 2 यर्धततीयाः 19, 22 एकादश 47 अर्डीपप्पली *161* एकार्थस्वात् ३१ अस्पाच्नरम् 50, 51, 56 क्रियापचर्गः 79 अध्ययसंद्रा 32, 33, 205 क्रियामध्ये 80 अविवसा 122, 127 क्रोदाः 77 अशिष्यः 27, 31 कडाराद्यः 57 अष्टापदः *G0* कण्डेकालः 13

कमण्डल्द्रः 70

अस्तिक्षीरा 17

नियतविषयाः ⁴³ कमण्डलुपाणिम् m, 140नियागनः 66कर्मविशेषः 64.65 प्रकृत्यादिभ्यः 93 कर्मधारयः 155 प्रकृतिनिपाननम् 198, 199 कर्माद्यः 61-63 प्रवेता 182 कामार्थी 53 प्रश्किप्रनिर्देशः 137, 165 कालभेदान 70 त्राजिता ¹⁸² काइयपाः 194 प्राथमकल्पिकी ⁴⁵ किसब्रह्मचारी 17, 18 प्राप्तिगः 182 कशकेश 32 प्रसन्यग्रीची ३७, ३८ गुणवचनानाम् ³³ पञ्चकपालग्रदः 144 गुणवचनेषु 🛭 पञ्चाधिष्ठाने ²⁵ पर्यायवचनानाम् ^{82, 84} गोम्बस्य 3 चातुःशन्यम् 136 परक्वार्यत्वे 2 चित्रगुः 4 परप्रधाना 66 ल्यायसः ⁵³ परभावस्य ⁵⁵ परिगणनम् 13, 15, 63, 64, 67 जावालिः 184 त्रिदशाः 25 परिगाणिती 107 त्त्रप्रा 35 परिमाणिनि 27 तहणसंविशानम् ²² पाध्यिक: 120 तत्पुरुपः 2, 3, 155 पाणिनेः ¹³⁴ पूर्वनिपातः 49, 50, 52, 54, 55तिङोक्ताः 63 तुल्यकारणत्वात् ⁴² वह्**च:** 34 इ. द्वः ३, ३२, ४७, ४८ वहिरङ्गा 17 चहुवीहिः 1, 2, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19 द्वादश 47 24. 25, 27, 29, 31 द्विताः ²⁴ द्विदशाः 25 वहुवचनम् ²⁵ द्वेतम् 120 भाषितपुंस्कस्य ²⁹ दर्शनम् 42मत्वर्थीयः ⁵⁹ दाक्षायणस्य ¹³⁴ मधुनः ³ मलवद्वाससम् 129 दुरुतेन 182 भवन्तीपरः *63, 118, 119* देवानां प्रियः 182 धनपतिरामकेशवानाम् 50मुसलोद्यतः ⁵⁶ मृदङ्गञ्जङ्गतूणवाः ⁵⁰ धर्मादिपु ⁵³ निकवा 73

वृत्तिः 7, 10, 15

यथान्यासम् 45, 110, 171 याञ्चि हः ३४ युगपद्धिकरणवचने ३६, ३८, ४५ .यूथम् *39* योगः 28. 31-33 योगविभागः 91, 116, 140, 199, 208 शरचापम् 52 **लक्षणम्** 109 लक्षणप्रतिपदोक्तयोः 76 लध्बक्षरम् 52 -लिङ्गवचनानि 83 लोकाश्रयत्वात् *4*8 लोहितोष्णीपाः 22 व्यतिकरः 11 व्यवस्था 67 व्यवस्थाशव्दौ 29 वितिनिर्देशः 137 वनम् 39चर्त्तिः *15* चरुण: 35 चाक्यार्थः 117 वाचनिकम् ३३ वात्स्यखण्डः 57 वायुः 85 चार्तम् 21, 200 विप्रतिपिद्धेषु 36, 37 वित्रतिपेधेन 72 विभक्त्यर्थाः ६१-६३, ६५, ७३ विभक्तिनियमः 121

विश्वदेचः 54

विशेष्यम् ६६

वृत्तिमात्रे 30, 31 वैयाकरण: 34, 182 शतम् 39 शतसहस्रम् 48 शिशिरवसन्तौ 52 खाभाधिकम् 33, 41 सङ्घासमासे 25, 26 सङ्गहस्य 134 सप्तपर्णः 60 समजः 181 समया 73 समयात् 11 समाजः 181 समाहारः 46 संपन्नक्षीरतमा 110 सम्बयः 46, 196 समुदायशब्दः 97 सर्पिपः व सहभूता 44 साधनभेदात 70 साधनापवर्गः 79 सामयिकी 66 सामीप्यम् 28 सावकाशा 71 सुनताजिनवाससा ³ सुसूक्ष्मजटकेशेन 3 स्तुन: 182

सप्तम्युपमान ... 13
सप्तमीविधाने 107
समयानिकपा ... 73
समासाद् 157
समाहार ... 144
समुद्राय ... 13
समुद्रायात् ... 39
सस्यानत्वं ... 180
सह्युक्ते ... 96
संज्ञः ... 98
साध्वसाधु 107
सामान्य ... 169, 170
सिद्धं तु हिगु ... 143

अग्नौकरचाणिन्यायः 19

सिद्धं तु प्रत्यय ... 187
सिद्धं तु भाव 109
सिद्धं तु सार्वधातुके 171
सिद्धमलोऽन्त्यः ...
सिद्धं त्वधिकान्त ... 47
सिद्धं त्वसंप्राप्त ... 87
सिद्धं तु यथा ... 9
सिद्धं तु यथा ... 9
सिद्धं तु युगपद ... 35
सुवधिकारे ... 17
सुवन्तात् ... 201
हविषः ... 128
हितयोगे च 90

iii. INDEX OF PARIBHĀŞĀS etc.

अन्तरङ्गानिप विधीन विद्या विषय वाधते 173
अन्तरेणापि वितमितिदेशो गम्यते 137
अनिर्ज्ञातेऽर्थे वहुवचनं प्रयोक्तव्यम् 24
इतरेतराश्रयाणि च कार्याणि न
प्रकल्पन्ते 88
उपपद्यिभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिवंळीयसी
77, 85, 96
न केवला प्रकृतिः प्रयोक्तव्या न च
कवल. प्रस्ययः 68
न च अपवाद्विषये उत्सर्गोऽभिनिविशते 119

नियनविषयाः शब्दा दश्यन्ते 43

यथालक्षणमप्रयुक्ते 169
लक्षणप्रतिपदाक्तयोः प्रतिपदोक्तस्यैय
प्रहणम् 76
वर्णाश्रये नास्ति प्रत्ययंलक्षणम् 189
सताऽप्यविवक्षा भवति 122, 125
सन्देहनिवस्यर्थं विशेषार्थिना विशेषोऽनुप्रयोक्तव्यः 8
सन्निपातलक्षणो विधिरानिमित्तं तद्धि. घातस्य 204
समानदेशैरपवादैरुत्सर्गाणां वाधनं
भवति 61
समुद्राये वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिः 114
शब्दा अवयवेष्वपि वर्तन्ते 23
सामान्याभिधाने हि विशेपानाभिधानम् 7

मण्डूकाप्लुतयोऽधिकाराः 106, 168

iv. INDEX OF IMPORTANT SANSKRIT WORDS

अग्निहोत्रशब्दः 75

अय्रोकरणानिन्यायः 19अतन्त्रम् *50, 51*

अतिप्रसङ्गः ३०

अद्यापचारः 76, 81, 201

अधीती 107

अधीयानानाम् 33

अन्तरालम् 29

अन्यवाचकेन् 41

अन्वर्थग्रहणम् 143

अन्वाचयः ४६

अन्वादेशे 163

अनभिधानात् *15*

अनभिहितम् 58

अनभिद्यितत्वात् θ

अनर्थान्तरम् 58

अनयकाद्या 71 अनुप्रयोगः 6, 7

अनुवाकः 79

अपदाच्दः 182

अपुत्र: *11*

आचार्यप्रवित्तः 3, 88, 89, 116, 207 आचार्याः *185*

आम्नाती 107

્ર આશ્રયતઃ ૭, ૩૩ इतरेतरयोगः 46

इन्द्र: 35 .

इधिन्नः 182

ईहमानानाम् 33 उत्पातेन 89

उद्धतोदना *16*

उद्गन्धुकः *129*

उन्मादुकः 129

उपचारः 8,9

उपद्शम् 154 उपदशा: 27, 28

उपदेशिवद्वचनम् 173

उभयपदार्थप्रधानः' *156*

उभयवचनाः *65*

उपसर्जनम् 4, 49

उपद्यतपञ्जः 16

उद्धरथः 16

नियताविपयाः ^{.13} कमण्डलुपाणिम् १७७, 110 नियोगतः ⁶⁶ कर्मविशेषः 64, 65 प्रकृत्यादिभ्यः ⁹³ कर्मधारयः 155 प्रकृतिनिपातनम् ¹⁹⁸, ¹⁹⁹ कर्माद्यः 61-63 प्रवेता 182 कामार्थी 53 प्रिक्षिप्रनिर्देशः 187, 165 कालभेदात् 70 प्राजिता 182 काञ्यपाः 194प्राथमकल्पिको *45* किसब्रह्मचारी 17, 18 प्राप्तियः 182 प्रसन्यत्रोधौ ३७, ३८ केशाकेशि 32 पञ्चकपालशब्दः 144 गुणवचनानाम् ³³ गुणवचनेप्र $\, heta \,$ पञ्चाधिष्ठाने ²⁵ पर्यायवचनानाम् 82, 84 गोमूत्रस्य 3 चातुःभव्यम् 136 परङ्कार्यत्वे ² परप्रधाना ⁶⁶ चित्रगुः 4 परभावस्य ⁵⁵ ज्यायसः ⁵³ परिगणनम् 13, 15, 63, 64, 67 जावालिः 184परिगणिती 107 त्रिद्शाः ²⁵ परिमाणिनि 27 त्वप्रा 35 तद्गुणसंविज्ञानम् 22 पाक्षिक: 120 तत्पुरुपः 2, 3, 155 पाणिनेः ¹³⁴ पूर्वनिपातः 49, 50, 52, 54, 55तिङोक्ताः ⁶³ तुल्यकारणत्वात् ⁴² बह्नचः 34द्र: इ: ३, ३२, ४७, ४८ वहिरङ्गा ¹⁷ बहुवीहिः 1, 2, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19 द्घादश ⁴⁷ 24, 25, 27, 29, 31 द्विताः ²⁴ बहुवचनम् 25द्विदशाः 25भाषितपुंस्कस्य ²⁹ द्वैतम् ¹²⁰ मत्वर्थीयः ⁵⁹ दर्शनम् 42दाक्षायणस्य 134 मधु**नः** ³ मलवद्वाससम् 129दुरुतेन 182 भवन्तीपरः 63, 118, 119देवानां प्रियः ¹⁸² धनपतिरामकेशवानाम् 50 मुसलोद्यतः 56मृदङ्गशङ्घतूणवाः ⁵⁰ . धर्मादिषु 53 ानकषा ⁷³

यथान्यासम् 45, 110, 171

याजि **हः** 34

युगपद्धिकरणवचने ३६, ३८, ४५

यूथम् 9

योगः 28, 31-33

योगविभागः 91, 116, 140, 199, 208 द्वारचापम् 52

स्थणम् 109

लक्षणप्रतिपदोक्तयोः 76

लध्वक्षरम् 52

लिङ्गवचनानि *8*3

लोकाश्रयत्वात् *4*8

लोहितोष्णीवाः 22

व्यतिकरः 11

व्यवस्था 67

व्यवस्थाशब्दौ 29

चतिनिर्देशः 137

वनम् 39

चर्त्तिः *15*

चरुणः 35

चाक्यार्थः 117

वाचनिकम् ३३

चारस्यखण्डः 57

वायुः 85

चार्तम् 21, 200

विप्रतिपिद्धेषु ३७, ३७

विप्रतिपेधेन 72

विभक्त्यर्थाः 61-63, 65, 73

विभक्तिनियमः 121

विश्वदेचः 54

विशेष्यम् *66*

वत्तिः 7, 10, 15

वृत्तिमात्रे 30, 31

वैयाकरण: 34, 182

शतम् 39

शतसहस्रम् 48

शिशिरवसन्तौ 52

खाभाविकम् 33, 44 सङ्घासमासे 25, 26

सङ्गहस्य 134

सप्तपर्णः 60

समजः 181

समया 73

समयात् 11 समाजः 181

समाहारः 46

संपन्नक्षीरतमा 110

समुख्यः 46, 196 समुदायशब्दः 97

सर्पिपः 3

सहभूता 41

साधनभेदात् 70

साधनापचर्गः 79 सामयिकी 66

सामीप्यम् 28

सावकाशा 71

सनताजिनवाससा है

सुसृक्ष्मजदकेशेन ३

मृत: 182